APPM 5610 - Homework 2

Eappen Nelluvelil

February 2, 2022

1. Show that the Hilbert matrix (see below) is positive definite. Hint: Use

$$\int_0^1 x^{i+j-2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{i+j-1}.$$

To show that the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix is positive definite, it is enough to show that it is a Gramian matrix formed from linearly independent vectors. That is, it is enough to show that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v}$, where \mathbf{v} is a vector with linearly independent columns.

Consider the matrix $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v}$, where \mathbf{v} is a row vector given by

$$\mathbf{v}\left(t\right) = \begin{bmatrix} t^0 & t^1 & \dots & t^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We see that the (i, j) entry of the matrix $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v}$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v})_{(i,j)} = \int_0^1 t^{i-1} t^{j-1} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_0^1 t^{i+j-2} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{1}{i+j-1},$$

which is the $(i, j)^{th}$ entry of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix. Since \mathbf{v} is a vector whose entries are the monomials up to degree n-1, the columns of $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v}$ are linearly independent by construction, and \mathbf{H} is a positive-definite matrix.

2. Implement the power method for finding the dominant eigenvalue λ_1 , $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge ... \ge |\lambda_n|$. Use the power method to find the dominant eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector for the Hilbert matrix,

$$A_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i+j-1},$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The dominant eigenvalue is well-separated in this case.

The attached code is an implementation of the power method, which is then used to find the dominant eigenvalue λ_1 and corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{v}_1 for the Hilbert matrix for $n=2,\ldots,16$.

3. Use the power method (with any modification that you may find necessary) to find the smallest eigenvalue of the Hilbert matrix with n=16. How accurate is this eigenvalue? Is this consistent with the estimate $\min_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})} |\lambda - \mu| \leq ||\mathbf{E}||_2$, where μ is the eigenvalue is the perturbed matrix $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$? **Note:**

We modify the power method by shifting the matrix, i.e., we apply the power iteration to the modified matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}$, where λ_1 is the largest eigenvalue (in magnitude) of \mathbf{A} . Since Hilbert matrices of any order are symmetric and positive definite, the eigenvalues are positive. The largest eigenvalue (in magnitude) of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is $\lambda_n - \lambda_1$. Upon applying the power iteration to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, in theory, we would obtain the eigenvalue $\lambda_n - \lambda_1$, from which we can obtain the smallest eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} .

However, in practice, the obtained eigenvalue, after rearranging to solve for λ_n , is not close to the smallest eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . This is because the Hilbert matrix is ill-conditioned, which can be seen in the attached code. Beyond n=2, the modified power iteration returns eigenvalues that are less and less accurate when compared to the smallest eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix.

Despite the smallest computed eigenvalues not being accurate beyond n=2, the computed eigenvalues is consistent with the estimate $\min_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{H})} |\lambda - \mu| \le ||\mathbf{E}||_2$, where $\mathbf{E} = -\lambda_1 \mathbf{I}$ and $||\mathbf{E}||_2 = \lambda_1$. This is because $|\lambda_n - \lambda_1| \le \lambda_1$ since $\lambda_n < \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_n > 0$.

4. Assume that real symmetric matrix **A** has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2$ and $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| > |\lambda_3| \ge \ldots \ge |\lambda_n|$. Suggest a modification of the power method to find corresponding eigenvectors.

Since **A** is a real symmetric matrix, it is unitarily diagonalizable, with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T$, where **U** are the eigenvectors of **A** and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

If we start the power iteration with a vector $\mathbf{q}^{(0)} = a_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots a_n \mathbf{u}_n$, where \mathbf{a}_i 's are scalars and $\mathbf{u}_i's$ are the eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} , we see that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}^{(0)} = a_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + a_n \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n$$
$$= a_1 \lambda_1 \left(\mathbf{u}_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \mathbf{u}_2 + \sum_{j=3}^n \left(\frac{a_j}{a_1} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_1} \right) \mathbf{u}_j \right)$$

Applying the power iteration to \mathbf{A} with $\mathbf{q}^{(0)}$, we get an eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_1 = \widetilde{a}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 - \widetilde{a}_2 \mathbf{u}_2$, where $\widetilde{a}_1, \widetilde{a}_2$ are scalars. If we then apply the power iteration to \mathbf{A}^2 , taking the initial vector to be $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1$, we get an eigenvector corresponding to \mathbf{A}^2 given by $\mathbf{x}_2 = \widetilde{a}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \widetilde{a}_2 \mathbf{u}_2$. This can be seen by the following computation:

$$\mathbf{A}^{2}\lambda_{1} \left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} - \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2} \right) = \widetilde{a}_{1}\lambda_{1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_{1} - \widetilde{a}_{2}\lambda_{1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_{2}$$

$$= \widetilde{a}_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2}\mathbf{u}_{1} + \widetilde{a}_{2}\lambda_{1}^{2}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_{2}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}^{2} \left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} + \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2} \right)$$

$$= \lambda_{1}\mathbf{x}_{2}.$$

Thus, applying the power iteration to $\bf A$ and $\bf A^2$ yields the eigenvectors ${\bf x}_1$ and ${\bf x}_2$, respectively. Then, to obtain $\widetilde{a}_1{\bf u}_1$ and $\widetilde{a}_2{\bf u}_2$, the eigenvectors corresponding to λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively, we can perform the following computations:

$$\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} - \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\right) + \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} + \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)}{2\lambda_{1}^{2}},$$

$$\widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2} = \frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} - \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\right) - \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1} + \widetilde{a}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)}{-2\lambda_{1}^{2}}.$$

5. A real symmetric matrix **A** has eigenvalue 1 of multiplicity 8; the rest of the eigenvalues are less than 0.1 in absolute value. Describe an algorithm, based on the power method, for finding an orthogonal basis of the 8-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue. Estimate the number of necessary iterations to achieve double precision accuracy.

We can invoke the power method on \mathbf{A} 8 times, using the first 8 canonical basis vectors as the initial vectors for the iteration, respectively. This will result in us obtaining the 8 linearly independent eigenvectors that correspond to the eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} with multiplicity 8. In the case that we obtain linearly dependent eigenvectors, we simply run the power iteration as many times as necessary to obtain the remaining linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to the first eigenvalue.

Once we have obtained the 8 linearly independent eigenvectors, we can use Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization to produce an orthogonal basis for the 8-dimensional subspace spanned by the eigenvectors.

The number of necessary iterations to obtain double precision accuracy is given by the ratio of $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^n$, where n is the current iteration of the power method. Specifically, we want to find the n such that $\left|\frac{0.1}{1}\right|^n \leq 10^{-16}$, from which we get that $n \geq 16$.

```
clc;
clear;
n \max = 16;
max_iters = 100;
actual_evals = zeros(n_max-1, 1);
dom_evals
            = zeros(n_max-1, 1);
dom_evecs
             = zeros(n_max-1, n_max-1);
for n = 2:n \max
   H = hilb(n);
    [V, D] = eigs(H);
    z_0 = zeros(length(H), 1); z_0(1) = 1;
    [lambda, v] = power_method(H, z_0, max_iters);
    actual_evals(n-1) = D(1, 1);
    dom_evals(n-1)
                    = lambda;
    dom_evecs(1:n, n-1) = v;
end
disp("Actual eigenvalues and computed eigenvalues of Hilbert matrix");
fprintf("\t\t\t\t\t\tActual eigenvalues\t Computed eigenvalues\n");
disp([(2:n_max)', actual_evals, dom_evals]);
fprintf("\n\nCorresponding computed eigenvectors\n");
disp(dom_evecs);
% Run the modified power iteration to obtain the smallest eigenvalues of
% the Hilbert matrix
actual_smallest_evals = zeros(n_max-1, 1);
smallest evals
                     = zeros(n_max-1, 1);
disp("Actual smallest eigenvalues and computed smallest eigenvalues of Hilbert
matrix");
fprintf("\t\t\t\t\tActual smallest eigenvalues\t Computed smallest
 eigenvalues\n");
for n = 2:n_max
   H = hilb(n);
    [V, D] = eigs(H, n);
    z = 0 = zeros(length(H), 1); z = 0(1) = 1;
    [lambda_2, ~] = power_method_inv(H, z_0, max_iters);
    disp([n, lambda_2, min(diag(D))]);
end
응
```

```
% A = diag([1, -1, 0.5]);
% [1 1, v 1] = power method(A^2, max iters);
% [1_2, v_2] = power_method(A^2, max_iters);
% disp([v_1, v_2]);
% A = rand(9);
% A = triu(A) - diag(diag(A)) + diag([1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1e-2]);
V = zeros(length(A)-1, 1);
% D = zeros(length(A), 8);
% I = eye(size(A));
% for i = 1:8
      z 0 = I(:, i);
      [lambda, v] = power_method(A, z_0, max_iters + 1000);
      V(i) = lambda;
응
     D(:, i) = v;
% end
% disp([A*D(:, 2), V(2)*D(:, 2)]);
function [lambda, v] = power_method(A, z_0, max_iters)
    for k = 1:max_iters
        z_k = A*z_0;
        q_k = z_k/norm(z_k);
        lambda = dot(q_k, A*q_k);
        z_0 = z_k;
    end
    v = q_k;
end
function [lambda, v] = power_method_inv(A, z_0, max_iters)
    [largest_e_val, ~] = power_method(A, z_0, max_iters);
    A_tilde = A - largest_e_val*eye(size(A));
    for k = 1:max_iters
        z_k = A_{tilde} z_0;
        q_k = z_k/norm(z_k);
        lambda = dot(q_k, A_tilde*q_k);
        z_0 = z_k;
    end
    lambda = lambda + largest_e_val;
    v = q_k;
end
Actual eigenvalues and computed eigenvalues of Hilbert matrix
```

Actual eigenvalues Computed eigenvalues

2.000000000000000	1.267591879243998	1.267591879243999
3.000000000000000	1.408318927123654	1.408318927123654
4.0000000000000000	1.500214280059243	1.500214280059243
5.000000000000000	1.567050691098231	1.567050691098231
6.000000000000000	1.618899858924339	1.618899858924339
7.000000000000000	1.660885338926931	1.660885338926931
8.000000000000000	1.695938996921948	1.695938996921950
9.000000000000000	1.725882660901847	1.725882660901847
10.000000000000000	1.751919670265177	1.751919670265178
11.000000000000000	1.774883179499381	1.774883179499381
12.000000000000000	1.795372059561997	1.795372059561997
13.000000000000000	1.813830118796977	1.813830118796977
14.000000000000000	1.830594695920393	1.830594695920394
15.000000000000000	1.845927746153488	1.845927746153487
16.000000000000000	1.860036442743326	1.860036442743327
Corresponding computed Columns 1 through 3	eigenvectors	
0.881674598767944	0.827044926972009	0.792608291163764
0.471857925532024	0.459863904365544	0.451923120901600
0	0.323298435244499	0.322416398581825
0	0	0.252161169688242
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
U	U	U
Columns 4 through 6		
0.767854735065807	0.748719218879095	0.733225603080613
0.445791060462709	0.440717503243512	0.436359150069654
0.321578294480220	0.320696869822252	0.319779114044051
0.253438943245175	0.254311386340474	0.254885556321454
0.209822636563631	0.211530840078965	0.212844074668574
0.209022030303031	0.181442976648769	0.183143115876329
0	0.181442970048709	0.160939670445336
	•	
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	-	_
	0	0
0	0 0 0	0 0 0

0 0	0 0	0 0
Columns 7 through 9	9	
0.720271369439766 0.432526015446475 0.318843646420194 0.255242887149454 0.213861951108334 0.184519788905940 0.162514374457332 0.145343694357308	0.709181673545698 0.429099404025192 0.317905990066158 0.255441586730359 0.214656002709988 0.185646845839823 0.163832328894961 0.146769904688278 0.133026481716317 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.699514891247007 0.425998912750593 0.316976987916454 0.255523005939058 0.215277839514775 0.186578238283591 0.164946526309270 0.147992143235347 0.134310446361981 0.123016712833186
Columns 10 through	12	
0.690967022219495 0.423166915294328 0.316063689551723 0.255516792083251 0.215765222817530 0.187353973498587 0.165896666582998 0.149048683067852 0.135430727654193 0.124173014540756 0.114697309959483 0 0 0 0 0 Columns 13 through	0.683320332980505 0.420560375555992 0.315170440634100 0.255444491435235 0.216146230854872 0.188004207938112 0.166712975590447 0.149968894636363 0.136415432471856 0.125196312973031 0.115741728398817 0.107656409926997	0.676413810285274 0.418146135572765 0.314299742629568 0.255321987188084 0.216442076211893 0.188552035725572 0.167418826249705 0.150775661872475 0.137286595261618 0.126107640595545 0.116676703819235 0.108603548511526 0.101607996027037 0 0
0.670125208584176 0.415898015563803 0.313452862688510 0.255161147889428 0.216669024253455 0.189015404362822 0.168032553618079 0.151487066617891 0.138061729038196 0.126923795796249 0.117518265401015	0.664359644626561 0.413794933147330 0.312630251060571 0.254970953283049 0.216839717460734 0.189408449910796 0.168568730094388 0.152117579820088 0.138754928128587 0.127658360865445 0.118279424744030	0.659042077332539 0.411819631422644 0.311831823367739 0.254758273966824 0.216964104228668 0.189742442594352 0.169039075659429 0.152678917240549 0.139377664467761 0.128322440217573 0.118970859915509

0.109459554540885	0.110236853081041	0.110945674034342
0.102470633381607	0.103256551896694	0.103975532710293
0.096346867680367	0.097135934951716	0.097859772735758
0	0.091721739694777	0.092446507883094
0	0	0.087618467755674

Actual smallest eigenvalues and computed smallest eigenvalues of Hilbert matrix

matrix		
n Actual smallest 2.000000000000000000000000000000000000	eigenvalues Comput 0.065741454089335	ted smallest eigenvalues 0.065741454089335
3.000000000000000	0.002687381641412	0.002687340355773
4.000000000000000	0.006336016073612	0.000096702304023
5.000000000000000	0.009521353380193	0.000003287928772
6.000000000000000	0.011164257025210	0.00000108279948
7.000000000000000	0.011014567912347	0.00000003493899
8.000000000000000	0.009736752934525	0.00000000111154
9.000000000000000	0.008209232928134	0.00000000003500
10.000000000000000	0.006950412341298	0.000000000000109
11.000000000000000	0.006103781087902	0.00000000000003
12.000000000000000	0.005628391022755	0.00000000000000
13.000000000000000	0.005433514672554	0.0000000000000
14.000000000000000	0.005433855752781	-0.00000000000000
15.000000000000000	0.005563536430085	-0.00000000000000
16.000000000000000	0.005775344100157	-0.00000000000000

Published with MATLAB® R2021b