# Reinforcement Learning: Core Algorithms

**Earl Wong** 

## Core Algorithms

We examine the following algorithms:

- PPO
- Soft Actor Critic
- DDPG

#### PPO

- PPO is a model free, on policy based algorithm (-evolution of the base actor critic algorithm), succeeding TRPO.
- PPO prevents large, positive losses from being back propagated into the network - losses that could destabilize actor / policy training.
- This is achieved by computing the following ratio  $r_t(\theta) = \frac{\pi_{\theta}(a_t \mid s_t)}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(a_t \mid s_t)}$  between the current policy and the old policy, and clipping the ratio when it becomes too large.
- Under PPO, the policy improves gradually and steadily.

#### PPO

- Paper title: Proximal Policy Optimization Algorithms
- Authors: Schulman, et. al.

- PPO can be viewed as the "engineering" implementation / realization of a more theoretically grounded algorithm, TRPO.
- In TRPO, actor / policy updates are performed in a constrained optimization framework.
- A second order conjugate gradient optimizer is used to update the network parameters.
- In TRPO, a KL divergence constraint is applied to the old and current policies, ensuring that the two policies remain close to each other.

- PPO "trades off" the guarantees from TRPO, with ease of use and solid empirical results.
- In PPO, actor / policy updates are performed in an unconstrained optimization framework.
- The stochastic gradient descent optimizer is used to update the actor / policy network parameters.
- In PPO, the KL divergence constraint is enforced using a heuristic clipping function.

#### PPO

```
Algorithm 1 PPO, Actor-Critic Style
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{for} \ \text{iteration}{=}1,2,\dots \, \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{for} \ \text{actor}{=}1,2,\dots, N \ \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{Run} \ \text{policy} \ \pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}} \ \text{in environment for} \ T \ \text{timesteps} \\ \textbf{Compute} \ \text{advantage estimates} \ \hat{A}_1,\dots,\hat{A}_T \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \textbf{Optimize surrogate} \ L \ \text{wrt} \ \theta, \ \text{with} \ K \ \text{epochs and minibatch size} \ M \leq NT \\ \theta_{\text{old}} \leftarrow \theta \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \end{array}
```

- The first main detail of the algorithm, is the surrogate loss function, used to train the actor / policy.
- In TRPO, the following constraint existed:  $D_{\text{KL}}^{\text{max}}(\theta_{\text{old}}, \theta) \leq \delta$
- This constraint required the old policy and current policy to be "close" for every point in the state space.
- Since this constraint was not practical, it was relaxed in the TRPO paper to an "average" KL divergence.

- In PPO, clipping is applied to the policy ratio for every point in the state space.
- Specifically  $\min \left( r_t(\theta) \hat{A}_t, \text{ clip } \left( r_t(\theta), 1 \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon \right) \hat{A}_t \right)$
- The clip function discourages back propagating large, positive losses that can destabilize training.
- i.e. It is better to take small, positive steps to encourage actions with positive advantage, rather than taking a single, large, positive step.
- Note: One of the goals of TRPO, was to determine the largest permissible step that could be taken (i.e. trust region) while satisfying a closeness constraint between the old and new policies.
- Note: The surrogate function (shown above) does not protect against taking large, negative steps.

- The second main detail involves the advantage estimates  $\hat{A}_t$ that are used to train the critic.
- In practice, generalized advantage estimates are used, to balance the bias - variance tradeoff.
- i.e. If the critic is updated using single time steps (or 1step advantage estimates), the variance will be low, but the bias will be high.
- i.e. If the critic is updated using the complete monte carlo rollout, the variance will be high, but the bias will be low.

One step advantage estimate:  $\hat{A}_t = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)$ 

$$\hat{A}_t = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)$$

Generalized advantage estimate:  $\delta_t = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)$ 

$$\delta_t = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)$$

$$\hat{A}_t = \sum_{l=0}^{T-t-1} (\gamma \lambda)^l \delta_{t+l}$$

- $\lambda$  controls the bias variance tradeoff.
- If  $\lambda = 1$ , we have the high variance and low bias scenario.

 The final detail involves the introduction of an entropy term in the surrogate loss function, to prevent pre-mature convergence:

$$\mathcal{H}[\pi(\cdot \mid s_t)] = -\sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s_t) \log \pi(a \mid s_t)$$

- This term helps to keep exploration alive, when the surrogate loss is small.
- In practice, sample based entropy  $\log \pi(a \mid s_t)$  is used to compute entropy.
- Note: As the confidence in a policy action increases, the entropy will naturally decrease.

- PPO can be applied to both continuous and discrete action spaces.
- For discrete action spaces, the logits are converted to action probabilities via the softmax function.
- For continuous action spaces, the network outputs mean and standard deviation values for every input state.
- A gaussian distribution is then created and sampled from, to obtain the continuous action associated with the input state.
- In practice, the reparameterization trick is used, to back propagate through the process to train the network.

- The reparameterization trick results from earlier work in variational auto encoders (VAE).
- There, a gaussian distribution was used.
- However, other distributions can also be used.
- i.e. The reparameterization trick can be applied, using other distributions.

In practice, gaussian distributions are a "fan favorite" because:

- Gaussian distributions occur naturally in nature.
- Gaussian distributions have nice properties, like smoothness / differentiability.
- Gaussian distributions are mathematically tractable / usually result in closed form, analytic solutions.
- Example: VAE's minimize KL divergence. If both terms are gaussian distributions, a closed form expression results.

- Now, what is the trick, and why is it needed?
- First, let's address the need.

#### Flow:

- For a given state input, the network outputs a mean value (say b) and std value (say a).
- A normal random variable y is created, using these output values:

$$y \sim N(b, a^2)$$

The random variable is then sampled -corresponding to an action.

#### The problem:

- Gradients cannot be back propagated through a sampling process.
- What is the workaround?
- The reparameterization trick.

How does it work?

Define a new function / random variable:  $z = \mu + \sigma \cdot \epsilon$ 

$$z = \mu + \sigma \cdot \epsilon$$

- Where  $\epsilon \sim N(0,1)$
- By construction, z is a differentiable function with respect to the mean and standard deviation.
- Now, back propagation can occur.
- Note: Sampling now results from the epsilon random variable.

- Does the new random variable z, have the same distribution as the normal distribution y, created earlier?
- Yes.
- Why?
- We have applied a linear transformation aX + b, to a N(0,1) random variable with mean b and standard deviation a.
- The resulting random variable z, has the same distribution as  $v \sim N(b, a^2)$

#### Soft Actor Critic

- Soft actor critic is a model free, off policy algorithm (-evolution of the base actor critic algorithm), designed for continuous action spaces.
- Soft actor critic employs a stochastic policy usually gaussian.
- Soft actor critic introduces entropy directly into the objective function (vs the loss function).
- As a result, the objective changes from reward maximization to BOTH reward maximization and entropy maximization.
- Soft actor critic employs two critics to reduce over estimation biases.

#### Soft Actor Critic

- Paper title: Soft Actor-Critic: Off Policy Maximum Entropy Deep Reinforcement Learning with a Stochastic Actor
- Authors: Haarnoja, Zhou, Abbeel, Levine

- In PPO, entropy was introduced into the LOSS FUNCTION, to keep training alive / prevent early convergence to a local minima.
- In SAC, entropy is directly infused into the TRAINING OBJECTIVE, to encourage BOTH high reward and high exploration.
- In SAC, entropy is also introduced into the two target Q networks, used to train the two main Q networks (critics).
- The latter entropy helps keep training alive / prevents early convergence of the two main Q networks (critics), to a local minima.

- In SAC, continuous actions are obtained by sampling a gaussian distribution.
- The gaussian distribution is created from u and std vector outputs from a neural network, for a given state vector input.
- In order to successfully perform back propagation through this structure, the reparameterization trick is employed.
- The reparameterization trick was first introduced during the training of variational auto encoders.

- Whenever  $\max_a Q(s, a)$  appears in a policy, over estimation biases result.
- This is because of the inherent noise present, in the action value function output.
- i.e. By taking the max of noisy expected returns, over estimation results.
- Over estimation bias was first addressed by Van Hasselt in 2010 for the tabular data case, with the introduction of two Q-tables.
- Soft Actor Critic addresses overestimation bias by 1) estimating two Q networks (two Q critics) and 2) taking the min of the values produced.

- Like DQN, training stability of the Q network was of paramount importance.
- With DQN, a target network was introduced, storing a "delayed" version of the main Q network parameters, to enhance learning stability.
- In Soft Actor Critic, two target Q networks are introduced alongside the two main Q networks (critics).
- In Soft Actor Critic, polyak averaging is used to update the parameters of the two target Q networks.

- Since SAC is an offline algorithm, SAC samples from and writes to (=updates the inputs in) a replay buffer.
- This activity parallels the activity described in DQN algorithm training.
- i.e. Experience replay and sampling from a replay buffer.

# Soft Actor Critic - original paper

#### Algorithm 1 Soft Actor-Critic

Initialize parameter vectors  $\psi$ ,  $\bar{\psi}$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ .

for each iteration do

for each environment step do

$$\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\phi}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t})$$

$$\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{D} \cup \{(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}, r(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}), \mathbf{s}_{t+1})\}$$

end for

for each gradient step do

$$\psi \leftarrow \psi - \lambda_V \hat{\nabla}_{\psi} J_V(\psi) 
\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i - \lambda_Q \hat{\nabla}_{\theta_i} J_Q(\theta_i) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} 
\phi \leftarrow \phi - \lambda_\pi \hat{\nabla}_{\phi} J_\pi(\phi) 
\bar{\psi} \leftarrow \tau \psi + (1 - \tau) \bar{\psi}$$

end for

end for

# Soft Actor Critic - from openAl

#### **Pseudocode**

#### Algorithm 1 Soft Actor-Critic

- 1: Input: initial policy parameters  $\theta$ , Q-function parameters  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ , empty replay buffer  $\mathcal{D}$
- 2: Set target parameters equal to main parameters  $\phi_{\text{targ},1} \leftarrow \phi_1, \ \phi_{\text{targ},2} \leftarrow \phi_2$
- 3: repeat
- 4: Observe state s and select action  $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- 5: Execute a in the environment
- 6: Observe next state s', reward r, and done signal d to indicate whether s' is terminal
- 7: Store (s, a, r, s', d) in replay buffer  $\mathcal{D}$
- 8: If s' is terminal, reset environment state.
- 9: **if** it's time to update **then**
- 10: **for** j in range(however many updates) **do**
- 11: Randomly sample a batch of transitions,  $B = \{(s, a, r, s', d)\}$  from  $\mathcal{D}$
- 12: Compute targets for the Q functions:

$$y(r, s', d) = r + \gamma(1 - d) \left( \min_{i=1,2} Q_{\phi_{\text{targ},i}}(s', \tilde{a}') - \alpha \log \pi_{\theta}(\tilde{a}'|s') \right), \quad \tilde{a}' \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s')$$

13: Update Q-functions by one step of gradient descent using

$$\nabla_{\phi_i} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{(s,a,r,s',d) \in B} (Q_{\phi_i}(s,a) - y(r,s',d))^2 \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

14: Update policy by one step of gradient ascent using

$$\nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{s \in B} \Big( \min_{i=1,2} Q_{\phi_i}(s, \tilde{a}_{\theta}(s)) - \alpha \log \pi_{\theta} \left( \tilde{a}_{\theta}(s) | s \right) \Big),$$

where  $\tilde{a}_{\theta}(s)$  is a sample from  $\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$  which is differentiable wrt  $\theta$  via the reparametrization trick.

15: Update target networks with

$$\phi_{\text{targ},i} \leftarrow \rho \phi_{\text{targ},i} + (1 - \rho)\phi_i$$
 for  $i = 1, 2$ 

- 16: end for
- 17: end if
- 18: **until** convergence

Soft Actor Critic maximizes both return and exploration.

$$\nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{s \in B} \left( \min_{i=1,2} Q_{\phi_i}(s, \tilde{a}_{\theta}(s)) - \alpha \log \pi_{\theta} \left( \tilde{a}_{\theta}(s) | s \right) \right),$$

 Soft Actor Critic trains two main Q networks (critics), using the squared error loss.

$$\nabla_{\phi_i} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{(s,a,r,s',d) \in B} (Q_{\phi_i}(s,a) - y(r,s',d))^2$$

- In the first equation, entropy is specifically added to the training objective.
- Recall (previous):

$$\pi_* = argmax_{\pi} E_{\tau \sim \pi} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t, a_t) \right]$$

Now:

$$\pi_* = argmax_{\pi} E_{\tau \sim \pi} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (R(s_t, a_t) + \alpha H(\pi(\cdot \mid s_t))) \right]$$

The state value function now becomes the "soft" version:

$$V_{\pi}(s) = E_{\tau \sim \pi} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} (R(s_{t}, a_{t}) + \alpha H(\pi(\cdot \mid s_{t}))) \mid s_{0} = s \right]$$

The action value function now becomes the "soft" version:

$$Q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \left( R(s_{t}, a_{t}, s_{t+1}) + \alpha H(\pi(\cdot \mid s_{t})) \right) \, \middle| \, s_{0} = s, a_{0} = a \right]$$

Linking state and action value functions via entropy:

$$V_{\pi}(s) = E_{a \sim \pi}[Q_{\pi}(s, a)] + \alpha H(\pi(\cdot \mid s))$$

 With the addition of entropy, the Bellman Equation for the Q network now becomes:

$$Q_{\pi}(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot \mid s,a)} \left[ R(s,a,s') + \gamma \left( Q_{\pi}(s',a') + \alpha H \left( \pi(\cdot \mid s') \right) \right) \right]$$

Or:

$$Q_{\pi}(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot \mid s,a)} \left[ R(s,a,s') + \gamma V_{\pi}(s') \right]_{a' \sim \pi(\cdot \mid s')}$$

- Soft Actor Critic samples from a gaussian distribution, and uses the reparameterization trick to back propagate through the network.
- In python code:

```
def sample_action_manual(mu, std):
    epsilon = torch.randn_like(std)  # Sample from N(0, 1)
    action = mu + std * epsilon  # Reparameterized sample
    return action
```

```
def sample_action_rsample(mu, std):
    dist = torch.distributions.Normal(mu, std)
    action = dist.rsample()
    return action
```

```
mu, std = policy(state)

# Manual
action_manual = sample_action_manual(mu, std)

# One-liner
action_rsample = sample_action_rsample(mu, std)
```

 Soft Actor Critic reduces over estimation bias by utilizing two target Q networks, and selecting the action that results in the minimum return.

$$y(r, s', d) = r + \gamma (1 - d) \left( \min_{i=1,2} Q_{\phi_{\text{targ},i}}(s', \tilde{a}') - \alpha \log \pi_{\theta}(\tilde{a}'|s') \right), \quad \tilde{a}' \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s')$$

- Soft Actor Critic introduces entropy into the target network used for main Q network training, to reduce the chance of early convergence to a local minima.
- Soft Actor Critic updates the target network using polyak averaging between the main and target network parameters.

$$\phi_{\mathrm{targ},i} \leftarrow \rho \phi_{\mathrm{targ},i} + (1 - \rho)\phi_i$$

#### DDPG

- Deep deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG) is a model free, off policy based actor-critic algorithm.
- DDPG extends DQN, by producing a policy with continuous actions, enabling the algorithm to be applied to physical control tasks.
- DDPG learns policies with deterministic actions.

## DDPG

- Paper title: Continuous Control with Deep Reinforcement Learning
- Authors: Lillicrap, et. al.

- DDPG extends DQN to continuous action spaces.
- DDPG uses the same two tricks to train DQN: 1) target network and 2) replay buffer with temporally uncorrelated / near IID inputs.
- DDPG applies normalization across input features, much like classical machine learning approaches.

#### DDPG

#### Algorithm 1 DDPG algorithm

Randomly initialize critic network  $Q(s, a|\theta^Q)$  and actor  $\mu(s|\theta^\mu)$  with weights  $\theta^Q$  and  $\theta^\mu$ .

Initialize target network Q' and  $\mu'$  with weights  $\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \theta^{Q}$ ,  $\theta^{\mu'} \leftarrow \theta^{\mu}$ 

Initialize replay buffer R

for episode = 1, M do

Initialize a random process  $\mathcal{N}$  for action exploration

Receive initial observation state  $s_1$ 

for t = 1, T do

Select action  $a_t = \mu(s_t|\theta^{\mu}) + \mathcal{N}_t$  according to the current policy and exploration noise

Execute action  $a_t$  and observe reward  $r_t$  and observe new state  $s_{t+1}$ 

Store transition  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$  in R

Sample a random minibatch of N transitions  $(s_i, a_i, r_i, s_{i+1})$  from R

Set  $y_i = r_i + \gamma Q'(s_{i+1}, \mu'(s_{i+1}|\theta^{\mu'})|\theta^{Q'})$ 

Update critic by minimizing the loss:  $L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (y_i - Q(s_i, a_i | \theta^Q))^2$ 

Update the actor policy using the sampled policy gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta^{\mu}} J \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \nabla_{a} Q(s, a | \theta^{Q})|_{s=s_{i}, a=\mu(s_{i})} \nabla_{\theta^{\mu}} \mu(s | \theta^{\mu})|_{s_{i}}$$

Update the target networks:

$$\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^Q + (1 - \tau)\theta^{Q'}$$
$$\theta^{\mu'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^{\mu} + (1 - \tau)\theta^{\mu'}$$

end for end for

 Like REINFORCE in vanilla policy gradient, DDPG wants to find the network weights that will maximize the return.

$$J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim u} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t) \right]$$

 However, instead of maximizing the return over a trajectory using a stochastic policy, the return is now maximized over a deterministic policy based on a state visitation distribution.

$$J(\theta) = E_{s \sim \rho^u} \left[ Q^u(s, u_{\theta}(s)) \right]$$

•  $\rho^{u}(s)$  Is used to denote the state visitation distribution.

It is formally defined as: 
$$\rho^{u}(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} P(s_{t} = s \mid u_{\theta})$$

The objective function can now be written as:

$$J(\theta) = \int \rho^{u}(s) r(s, u_{\theta}(s)) ds = E_{s \sim \rho^{u}} \left[ Q_{u}(s, u_{\theta}(s)) \right]$$

Differentiating the objective, we obtain:

$$\nabla_{\theta}J(\theta) = \int \rho^{u}(s) \, \nabla_{\theta}Q_{u}(s, u_{\theta}(s)) ds$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \int \rho^{u}(s) \nabla_{a} Q_{u}(s, a) \big|_{a = u_{\theta}(s)} \nabla_{\theta} u_{\theta}(s)) ds$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = E_{s \sim \rho^{u}} \left[ \left. \nabla_{a} Q_{u}(s, a) \right|_{a = u_{\theta}(s)} \nabla_{\theta} u_{\theta}(s) \right) \right]$$

- Note:  $\rho^{u}(s)$  does depend on the network parameters.
- However, the author has chosen to ignore this "indirect" dependency, and the corresponding chain rule term associated with it.

 DDPG encourages exploration by adding a noise term to the deterministic action produced by the policy.

$$a_t = u(s_t | \theta^u) + N_t$$

 DDPG uses two target networks -one for the Q function and one for the target policy:

$$\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \theta^Q, \theta^{u'} \leftarrow \theta^u$$

 DDPG updates the network parameters in the target Q function and target policy using weighted averaging:

$$\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^Q + (1 - \tau)\theta^{Q'}$$

$$\theta^{u'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^u + (1 - \tau)\theta^{u'}$$