

# Homework 5

STAT 984

*Emily Robinson*

*October 10, 2019*

## Exercise 2.24

Prove Slutsky's Theorem, Theorem 2.39, using the following approach:

(a) Prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.42** Let  $\mathbf{V}_n$  and  $\mathbf{W}_n$  be  $k$ -dimensional random vectors on the same sample space.

If  $\mathbf{V}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{V}_n + \mathbf{W}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{V}$ .

**Hint:** For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  denote the  $k$ -dimensional vector all of whose entries are  $\epsilon$ . Take  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be a continuity point of  $\mathbf{F}_v(\mathbf{v})$ . Now argue that  $\mathbf{a}$ , since it is a point of continuity, must be contained in a neighborhood consisting only of points of continuity; therefore,  $\epsilon$  may be taken small enough so that  $\mathbf{a} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  are also points of continuity. Prove that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{V}_n \leq \mathbf{a} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - P(\|\mathbf{W}_n\| \geq \epsilon) &\leq P(\mathbf{V}_n + \mathbf{W}_n \leq \mathbf{a}) \\ &\leq P(\mathbf{V}_n \leq \mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) + P(\|\mathbf{W}_n\| \geq \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Next, take  $\limsup_n$  and  $\liminf_n$ . Finally, let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.*

□

(b) Show how to prove Theorem 2.39 using Lemma 2.42.

**Hint:** Consider the random vectors

$$\mathbf{V}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_n \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{W}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Y}_n - \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.*

□

## Exercise 3.2

The diagram at the end of this section suggests that neither  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  nor  $X_n \xrightarrow{qm} X$  implies the other. Construct two counterexamples, one to show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  does not imply  $X_n \xrightarrow{qm} X$  and the other to show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{qm} X$  does not imply  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ .

(1)

(2)

### Exercise 3.3

Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  denote a sequence of events. Let  $B_n$  i.o., which stands for  $B_n$  infinitely often, denote the set

$$B_n \text{ i.o.} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{for every } n, \text{ there exists } k \geq n \text{ such that } \omega \in B_k\}.$$

Prove the *First Borel-Cantelli Lemma*, which states that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) < \infty$ , then  $P(B_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .

**Hint:** Argue that

$$B_n \text{ i.o.} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k,$$

then adapt the proof of Lemma 3.9.

### Exercise 3.4

Use the steps below to prove a version of the Strong Law of Large Numbers for the special case in which the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  have a finite fourth moment,  $E[X_1^4] < \infty$ .

- (a) Assume without loss of generality that  $E[X_1] = 0$ . Expand  $E[(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4]$  and then count the nonzero terms. **Hint:** The only nonzero terms are of the form  $E[X_i^4]$  or  $(E[X_i^2])^2$ .
- (b) Use Markov's inequality (1.35) with  $r = 4$  to put an upper bound on

$$P(|\bar{X}_n| > \epsilon)$$

involving  $E[(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4]$ .

- (c) Combine parts (a) and (b) with Lemma 3.9 to show that  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ . **Hint:** Use the fact that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} < \infty$ .

### Exercise 3.13

Prove that if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\sup_n E[Y_n]^{1+\epsilon} < \infty$ , then  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is uniformly integrable sequence.

**Hint:** First prove that

$$|Y_n| I\{|Y_n| \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^\epsilon} |Y_n|^{1+\epsilon}.$$

### Exercise 3.14

Prove that if there exists a random variable  $Z$  such that  $E|Z| = \mu < \infty$  and  $P(|Y_n| \geq t) \leq P(|Z| \geq t)$  for all  $n$  and for all  $t > 0$ , then  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a uniformly integrable sequence. You may use the fact (without proof) that for a nonnegative  $X$ ,

$$E[X] = \int_0^{\infty} P(X \geq t) dt.$$

**Hint:** Consider the random variables  $|Y_n|I\{|Y_n| \geq t\}$  and  $|Z|I\{|Z| \geq t\}$ . In addition, use the fact that

$$E|Z| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[|Z|I\{i-1 \leq |Z| < i\}]$$

to argue that  $E[|Z|I\{|Z| < \alpha\}] \rightarrow E|Z|$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .