Review of JCGS-18-293.R1

"Calendar-based graphics for visualizing people's daily schedules"

by Earo Wang, Dianne Cook & Rob J Hyndman

Thanks for addressing my previous comments. Nice revision overall!
Only a few minor comments remain.

One suggestion to make it easier for reviwers of your revisions
in the future: Work with latexdiff (https://ctan.org/pkg/latexdiff?lang=en).

Specific comments:

-general: please check (multiple times): Shouldn't it be "weekday[s]" (without a space)
instead of "week day[s]" (or speak of "day of the week" if that is intended).
Major online dictionaries only list the "weekday" spelling.

- p2, l9: "restructuring of the data, that can" - remove the comma

- p7: In your (i, j) grid position notation, make clear immediately that i is the row
and j is the column, with (1, 1) being in the upper left corner. This becomes apparent
from the figures, but not from the text itself. Same for (m, n).
Your first paragraph on p9 comes too late and only provides part of the explanation.

- p10, l48: "Note that, the algorithm" - remove the comma

- p10, l50: "which occurs to May and October." -> "which occurs in May and October."

- p11, l5: "The date argument specifies the date variable used to construct the calendar layout."
Not very informative. Either omit or provide an example, e.g., date in which format
(numerical, text, ...), from-to dates, etc.

- Figs 6-9 captions: You speak of "line glyphs", "line graphs", and "line charts". These
mean the same - so be consistent and use just one of the three terms throughout the
figure captions (and main text).

- p22, l23: You wrote "boxes for household 2 indicate greater variability in daily energy
consumption with noticeable variations on Thursdays," -- isn't this on Fridays?

- p22 vs. Fig 14: You speak of 6am, 6pm, 3pm, etc. in the text, but use 0, 6, 12, 18, 24
hour labels in the graph. Be consistent and use the international 0-24 hour notation in the
text as well.

- Fig 15: It appears that April 30 is wrapped to the start of the monthly display?

If so, add a comment similar to the one from Fig 6 to the figure caption.

- p26, l20: "and the another one starting" - delete "the"

- References:

o Kothari, A. & Ather (2016). I visited https://github.com/AtherEnergy/ggTimeSeries. Ather seems to be a company, so perhaps this needs to be listed as "Ather Energy Pvt Ltd" as on the parent github page?!?