Response to reviewers

JCGS-18-293 5 March 2019

Thanks to the three reviewers for some very helpful comments which have led to many improvements of the paper. My response are in black, the reviewers' comments are in red.

Reviewer 1 comments

Reviewer 2 comments

p.10, l.1-15 It wasn't clear to me why the subtraction was performed in the equation (2) because I couldn't find any description for the global coordinate system of the canvas. The value of y could be negative. Please add the description how to deal with the coordinate system, especially the y-axis.

The reason why the subtraction is performed was originally given in the following paragraph of Equation (2).

Reviewer 3 comments

p1, l32: 'information, and events.' - remove the comma

We have removed the comma and elsewhere, and adjusted the language based on the comments.

p2, l9: 'grammar of graphics' - provide reference immediately here and not only later in the article and explain what the grammar of graphics is; also 'piped into' may not be understood by outsiders

Done.

Fig.1 (& related figs): I suppose you use a '3-class Dark2' color scheme from Rcolorbrewer? This does not work well when printed in gray scale: The colors become almost indistinguishable. . . .

We have changed the colour scheme from 'Dark2' to '4-class PuOr' (without faint orange) in Figure 1 and all consecutive figures.

Fig.4: Colors orange & purple are hard to distinguish in grayscale. Moreover, you should use different colors here as these 2 colors are already related to the 3 stations.

We have changed the colour to red and blue picked from a '4-class RdYlBu' colour scheme, which is different from the colours used for the 3 stations.

Fig. 2: Instead of 'Jan 2016' ... 'Jan 2017', be specific and list 'Jan 1, 2016' ... 'Jan 1, 2017'

Done.

Fig.2: Can you match the minor grid lines with the start of a month, rather than the middle of a month? This will make it much easier to identify the approximate dates for some of the other spikes. No need to label these minor grid lines.

Done.

Response to reviewers 2

Fig.2: You mention 'small multiples' only in a figure caption. This concept is a central part of your calendar graphics and should be summarized in more details (including references) in the main text. Also mention Unwin & Valero-Mora's 'Ensemble Graphics', JCGS, 27(1), as a major concept that applies to both sets of related figures in your article.

ToDo

Fig.3: Add tick marks at 0 and 24. See whether tick mark labels fit. If not, OK to omit those for 0 and 24.

Done.

p6, l8: You mention ggTimeSeries & ggcal. For completeness, also cite Jones (2016) Calendar Heatmaps, https://rpubs.com/haj3/calheatmap, and possibly Wong's TimeProjection R package, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TimeProjection/index.html

Done.

p6, l49-...: Starting a section called 'Data transformation' with an example/figure seems to be strange. Can you first start with the formal steps and then place this example/figure after the formal part, i.e., around p.8, l38.

Done.

Fig.4: Colors orange & purple are hard to distinguish in grayscale. Moreover, you should use different colors here as these 2 colors are already related to the 3 stations.

Done.

Fig.4: Prior to reading the text on p8, I was really confused and even assumed there was a major bug in your R code. Having 2 days in early May and 1 day in early Oct, then 3 days missing, and then the remainder of the month is really confusing for someone who only looks at the figure without reading the text in detail. At least mention this layout anomaly in the figure caption.

Thanks. I have pointed out the layout anomalies for May and October in the Figure 6 caption (previously Figure 4).

p8, l19: 'wrap the last few days up to the top row of the block': This answers my comment for Fig.4 now, but this layout still remains misleading. We expect to see some similar temporal pattern in nearby graphs. But there could be considerable differences over a 30-day period, e.g., in your example from Section 3: What if these 1 or 2 days are after some summer vacation with lots of air conditioning use, but the vacation already started in the previous month and continued through the middle of the current month. Suddenly, there will be a few huge spikes that interrupt the overall low-energy pattern. I could think of 2 possible solutions: (i) Add a 6th week for each month; or (ii) Add the extra days to the start of the next month. This is sometimes called a 'Calendar Heatmap Tetris Chart', see for example https://stackoverflow.com/questions/27000131/calendar-heat-map-tetris-chart [both of these features could become additional user options for your R function]

ToDo.

p8, l44: 'Between each month requires some small amount of white space, denoted by b.' - strange sentence; rephrase

Done.