

Conversations in time: interactive visualisation to explore structured temporal data

by Earo Wang and Dianne Cook

Abstract An abstract of less than 150 words.

Introduction

- An ensemble of graphics
- Accelerate the exploratory data visualization process

Background: tidy temporal data and workflow

The **tsibble** package (Wang et al., 2020) introduces a unified temporal data structure, referred to as a **tsibble**, to represent time series and longitudinal data in a tidy format (Wickham, 2014). That said, a **tsibble** extends the `data.frame` and **tibble** class with temporally contextual metadata: index and key. The index declares a data column that holds time-related indices. The key identifies a collection of related series or panels observed over the index-defined period, which can comprise multiple columns. Below displays the monthly Australian retail trade turnover data (`aus_retail`), available in the **tsibbledata** package. The `Month` column holds year-months as index. The `State` together with `Industry` are the identifiers for these 152 series, highlighted as key. Note that the column `Series ID` could be an alternative option for setting up key, but `State` and `Industry` are more readable and informative. The index and key are “sticky” columns to a **tsibble**, forming critical pieces for fluent temporal data analysis later.

```
#> # A tsibble: 64,532 x 5 [1M]
#> # Key:      State, Industry [152]
#>   State      Industry      `Series ID`   Month Turnover
#>   <chr>      <chr>      <chr>      <mt>    <dbl>
#> 1 Australian Capital ~ Cafes, restaurants and cat~ A3349849A 1982 Apr     4.4
#> 2 Australian Capital ~ Cafes, restaurants and cat~ A3349849A 1982 May     3.4
#> 3 Australian Capital ~ Cafes, restaurants and cat~ A3349849A 1982 Jun     3.6
#> 4 Australian Capital ~ Cafes, restaurants and cat~ A3349849A 1982 Jul      4
#> 5 Australian Capital ~ Cafes, restaurants and cat~ A3349849A 1982 Aug     3.6
#> # ... with 64,527 more rows
```

In the spirit of tidy data to the **tidyverse** (Wickham et al., 2019), the **tidyverts** suite features **tsibble** as the foundational data structure, in order to build a fluid and fluent pipeline for time series analysis. Besides **tsibble**, the **feasts** and **fable** packages fill the role of statistical analysis and forecasting in the **tidyverts** ecosystem. When time series analysis starts taking off, series of interest denoted by the key variables often remain unchanged over the course of analysis, from trend inspection to forecasting performance.

Figure 1a gives an overview of 152 series for the retail data using an overlaid time series plot, while Figure 1b presents a scatterplot, where each series is represented by a dot in the feature space (trend versus seasonal strength). The plot making of Figure 1b is aided with the `features()` function from **feasts**, which summarises original data by each series down to various statistical features. This function along with other **tidyverts** functions is **tsibble**-aware, and outputs a table in a reduced form where each row corresponds to a series, thus graphically displayed as Figure 1b.

Figure 1 highlights not only a series with strongest seasonality, but also a need to querying interesting series on the fly. Without interactivity, one needs to first filter the interesting series out from the features table, and join back to the original **tsibble** in order to examine its trend in relation to others. This procedure can soon grow cumbersome if many series to be discovered. Despite that the two plots are static, they can be considered as linked views via the common key variables between two tables. This motivates enabling interactivity of **tsibble** and **tsibble**-derived objects for rapid exploratory data analysis.




Figure 1: ToDo

Overview of interactivity

- {cranvas} and {cranvastime}
- [crossfilter.js](#) & [dc.js](#)
- {crosstalk} and html widgets
- {rJava}, {rbokeh} {looon}

Interactivity for coordinated views via shared temporal data

The [tsibbletalk](#) package, inspired by the [crosstalk](#) package, introduces a shared tsibble data structure on top of a tsibble to allow for frictionless communication between different plots for temporal data. The `as_shared_tsibble()` function provides an entry point in the integrated flow, turning a tsibble to a shared instance (i.e. `SharedTsibbleData` subclassing of `SharedData` from [crosstalk](#)) that powers data transmission across multiple views. The [tsibbletalk](#) package aims to streamline interactive graphical analysis with the focus of temporal and structured linking.

As opposed to one-to-one linking, [tsibbletalk](#) defaults to categorical linking where marking one or more observations in one category will broadcast to all other observations in this category. Given time series plots, click any data point on a line, highlighting the whole line as a result. The `as_shared_tsibble()` uses tsibble's key variables to achieve these types of linking, and the `spec` argument takes one step further in constructing hybrid linking, such as hierarchical and categorical linking. For example, each series in the `aus_retail` data corresponds to all possible combinations of the State and Industry variables. They are intrinsically crossed with each other. If one variable is nested within another, this lends itself to a hierarchical structure, like geographical hierarchy. Such collection of inter-related time series are referred to as hierarchical and grouped time series in the literature ([Hyndman and Athanasopoulos, 2017](#)).

To incorporate structured specifications in the key, a symbolic formula can be passed to the `spec` argument. Adopting Wilkinson notations for factorial models ([Wilkinson and Rogers, 1973](#)), the `spec` follows the `/` and `*` operators tradition to declare nesting and crossing variables respectively. The `spec` for the `aus_retail` data is therefore specified as `State * Industry` or `Industry * State`, which is the default for the presence of multiple key variables. If there is a hierarchy in the data, using `/` is required to indicate the parent-child relation, as strictly one direction parent/child.

The `tourism_monthly` dataset packaged in [tsibbletalk](#), contains monthly domestic overnight trips across Australia, to give an illustrator of nesting and crossing. The key is comprised of three identifying variables: State, Region, and Purpose (of trip), in particular State nesting of Region, together crossed with Purpose. This specification can be translated as follows:

```
library(tsibbletalk)
tourism_shared <- tourism_monthly %>%
  as_shared_tsibble(spec = (State / Region) * Purpose)
```




Figure 2: ToDo

This dataset contains a three-level hierarchy: the root node is implicitly Australia, and geographically disaggregated to states and lower-level tourism regions. A new handy function `plotly_key_tree()` has been implemented to address the need of hierarchical discovery arising from the data. It interprets hierarchies in the shared tsibble's spec as a tree view, built with `plotly`. The following code line produces the linked tree diagram and fills the left panel of Figure 2. The visual of tree hierarchy untangles a group of related series and snapshots the data organisation from a bird's eye view.

```
p_l <- plotly_key_tree(tourism_shared, height = 1100, width = 800)
```

The tree plot provides backbones of the data, and much flesh yet to be attached. Small multiples of time series lines are composed and placed at the top right of Figure 2 to unpack the temporal trend across regions by purposes of trips. The shared tsibble data can be directly piped into `ggplot2` code.

```
p_tr <- tourism_shared %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Month, y = Trips)) +
  geom_line(aes(group = Region), alpha = .5, size = .4) +
  facet_wrap(~ Purpose, scales = "free_y") +
  scale_x_yearmonth(date_breaks = "5 years", date_labels = "%Y")
```

To tease apart these overlaid time series, they are funnelled through the `features()` S3 method to extract some key characteristics, including the measurements of trend and seasonality. A scatterplot is populated from these statistics for each series.

```
tourism_feat <- tourism_shared %>%
  features(Trips, feat_stl)
p_br <- tourism_feat %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = trend_strength, y = seasonal_strength_year)) +
  geom_point(aes(group = Region), alpha = .8, size = 2)
```

Lastly, three graphics are composed as an ensemble of coordinated views for multi-faceted exploration, shown as Figure 2 (the interactive realisation of Figure 1). Routine functions bring about new interaction with temporal data on the client side.


```
subplot(p_l,
  subplot(
    ggplotly(p_tr, tooltip = "Region", width = 1100),
    ggplotly(p_br, tooltip = "Region", width = 1100),
    nrow = 2),
  widths = c(.4, .6)) %>%
  highlight(dynamic = TRUE)
```

Since all plots are stemmed from one shared tsibble data source, they are self-linking views. Nodes, lines, and points are hoverable and clickable. Given the spec, clicking either one element in any plot highlights all points that match the Region category, briefly "categorical linking". In Figure 2, when hovering and selecting the circle associated with "Sydney" in the scatter plot, all data records with shared values of "Sydney" listen and react to this interaction via self updating in red. In order for comparison with other regions or states, press the "Shift" key to enable persistent selection, and simultaneously select the parent node on the tree, saying "Western Australia", to include all the children by switching to the blue colour. The domestic tourism sees Sydney as one of the most popular destinations in realm of business and friends visiting over years. Despite of relatively weaker performance in Western Australia, Australia's North West region sees the strongest upward trend, bypassing Sydney in some years.


In summary, shared tsibble data nicely bridges between the `crosstalk` and `tidyverts` ecosystems. The `as_shared_tsibble()` provides a symbolic user interface for effortless construction of a hybrid of hierarchical and categorical linkings. And the `plotly_key_tree()` in turn decodes the specification to plot a tree for data overview and navigation, accompanied with more detailed plots.

Slicing and dicing time


The shared tsibble data leverages the key attribute to converse with many coordinated views, with or without `shiny`. On the other hand, a second critical attribute—`index`—lays the foundational temporal context that augments the conversation. When temporal data are plotted and stretched against the entire span like Figure 1a, it puts emphasis on the trend perception. Yet to digest periodic/aperiodic patterns, data should be wrapped over relative time units that are origin-less, such as one quarter or one day.



(a) (1)



(b) (2)



(c) (3)

Figure 3: ToDo

The city of Melbourne has sensors installed to count hourly tallies of pedestrians in order to capture downtown daily rhythms (cite). Figure 3 shows the first five months of 2020 foot traffic at four locations, with the depiction of three pronounced slices in time. Figure 3a unfolds all counts from January to May on their absolute time scales, faceted by four sensors. On March 16, Melbourne went to the stage three lockdown due to COVID-19, seeing a significant decline in traffic volume across the city. These lines are then folded into daily and weekly sections, shown as Figure 3b and 3c respectively. Seasonal variations have been popped out to viewers, complementing the not-just-magnitude-drop story. The pre-lockdown period is coloured with dark green and lockdown with orange.

The wrapping procedure involves slicing time indices into seasonal periods of interest and their corresponding time dices. For example, hourly pedestrian data can be decomposed into 24-hour blocks grouped by all respective days, like Figure 3b. Figure 3 suggests that there could be more than one eye-catching slices out of many possible combinations, and thus repeated wrappings can be unwieldy. To visually locate an interesting slice, the `tsibbletalk` package implements a shiny module, a pair of UI and server functions, to automate this wrapping procedure.

This shiny module, decoupled to `tsibbleDiceUI()` and `tsibbleDiceServer()`, presents a clean interface and forms a reusable piece in a shiny application. Like all shiny modules, the first argument in both functions requires a user-supplied session id that must be unique. The UI function `tsibbleDiceUI()` simply shows a slider that animates or controls the number of periods to be diced. The workhorse is certainly the server function `tsibbleDiceServer()`, encapsulating the core algorithm that transforms data and sends messages to update the plot accordingly. It expects a `ggplot` or `plotly` object, where one can plot data using either lines or other graphical elements (such as boxplots). As the function name suggests, a (shared) `tsibble` is needed to start the engine. The `period` argument is to specify the minimum number of seasonal blocks, for example data shifted by “1 day”, “2 days”, or “1 week”, etc. The following code chunk generates Figure 3.

```
p_line <- pedestrian20 %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Date_Time, y = Count, colour = Lockdown)) +
  geom_line(size = .3) +
  facet_wrap(~ Sensor, scales = "free_y") +
  labs(x = "Date Time") +
  scale_colour_brewer(palette = "Dark2") +
  theme(legend.position = "none")

ui <- fluidPage(
  tsibbleDiceUI("dice")
)
server <- function(input, output, session) {
  tsibbleDiceServer("dice", ggplotly(p_line, height = 700), period = "1 day")
}
shinyApp(ui, server)
```

The initial state is

(Data flows) Transformed data generally requires redrawing the plot, and worsen the performance of shiny. The underlying `tsibble` data is called back and transformed in R. Using the `plotly.js` react method, only transformed data is sent to the server side, while keeping the rest configuration unchanged (e.g. layout and graphical elements). It is performant, and users will not experience notable delay in response to the change in the slider input. Dissect time index, and propagate transformed data to shiny server.

Conclusions and discussions

Bibliography

- R. J. Hyndman and G. Athanasopoulos. *Forecasting: Principles and Practice*. OTexts, Melbourne, Australia, 2017. URL [OTexts.org/fpp2](https://otexts.org/fpp2). [p2]
- E. Wang, D. Cook, and R. J. Hyndman. A new tidy data structure to support exploration and modeling of temporal data. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 0(0):1–13, 2020. doi: 10.1080/10618600.2019.1695624. [p1]
- H. Wickham. Tidy data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59(10):1–23, 2014. [p1]

H. Wickham, M. Averick, J. Bryan, W. Chang, L. D. McGowan, R. François, G. Golemund, A. Hayes, L. Henry, J. Hester, M. Kuhn, T. L. Pedersen, E. Miller, S. M. Bache, K. Müller, J. Ooms, D. Robinson, D. P. Seidel, V. Spinu, K. Takahashi, D. Vaughan, C. Wilke, K. Woo, and H. Yutani. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43):1686, 2019. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686. URL <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>. [p1]

G. N. Wilkinson and C. E. Rogers. Symbolic description of factorial models for analysis of variance. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 22(3):392–399, 1973. ISSN 00359254, 14679876. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346786>. [p2]

Earo Wang
The University of Auckland
Department of Statistics
The University of Auckland
New Zealand

earo.wang@auckland.ac.nz

Dianne Cook
Monash University
Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics
Monash University
Australia

dicook@monash.edu