

ECE552 Computer Architecture

Lab 1 Rerport

Yang Li (1002066792)

Hao Liu (1002614487)

September 30, 2019

1 Percentage of Performance Drop

```
sim: ** simulation statistics **
sim_num_insn      279373007 # total number of instructions executed
sim_num_refs      109106589 # total number of loads and stores executed
sim_elapsed_time   41 # total simulation time in seconds
sim_inst_rate     6813975.7805 # simulation speed (in insts/sec)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_q1  97388830 # total number of RAW hazards (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_q2  88922682 # total number of RAW hazards (q2)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_one_cycle_stall_q1  9206516 # total number of RAW hazard with one cycle stall (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_two_cycle_stall_q1  88182314 # total number of RAW hazard with two cycle stall (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_one_cycle_stall_q2  68796288 # total number of RAW hazard with one cycle stall (q2)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_two_cycle_stall_q2  20126394 # total number of RAW hazard with two cycle stall (q2)
CPI_from_RAW_hazard_q1  1.6642 # CPI from RAW hazard (q1)
CPI_from_RAW_hazard_q2  1.3903 # CPI from RAW hazard (q2)
```

Figure 1: Simulation result from gcc.eio

The simulation result of gcc.eio showed the CPI_from_RAW_hazard for Question 1 is 1.6642, and for Question 2 the value is 1.3903. Therefore we can derive the % drops as following:

$$p_1 = \frac{CPI_from_RAW_hazard_q1 - 1}{1} = \frac{1.6642 - 1}{1} \times 100 \% = 66.42 \%$$
$$p_2 = \frac{CPI_from_RAW_hazard_q2 - 1}{1} = \frac{1.3903 - 1}{1} \times 100 \% = 39.03 \%$$

2 Micro-benchmark for Part 1

In the micro-benchmark written for part1, below behaviours has been evaluated

- Propagated stalls
- Read after write with 1-cycle stall
- Read after write with 2-cycle stall
- Read after load with 2-cycle stall
- Store after load with 2-cycle stall
- Store after write with 2-cycle stall

Within each for-loop counters should record 4 2-cycle stalls and 1 1-cycle stall; Plus, the for-loop boundary check itself triggers 2 2-cycle stalls. Therefore by iterating the process 100000 times, the statistics should provide around 60000 2-cycle stall and 10000 1-cycle stall. Benchmark result is shown as below, matches the expected value.

```
sim_num_RAW_hazard_one_cycle_stall_q1  100080 # total number of RAW hazard with one cycle stall (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_two_cycle_stall_q1  600851 # total number of RAW hazard with two cycle stall (q1)
```

Figure 2: Simulation result from mbq1