

# Appendix A. Bourbaki–Style Foundations of Kleis

## A.0. Introduction

The present appendix establishes, in a form adhering to the structural method, the foundational principles upon which the Kleis language is constructed. Kleis is intended to serve as a formal system for the description of mathematical structures, their relations, and the operations defined upon them. Rather than adopting a computational viewpoint, we adopt here the classical framework of *structures* as introduced in the *Éléments de mathématique*.

Throughout, we assume the existence of a background universe  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently large to contain all sets considered. No distinction is made between sets and classes except where explicitly noted. All constructions are understood to take place within  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## A.1. Species of Structures

A *species of structure* in the sense of Bourbaki is determined by:

- (i) a finite family of underlying sets,
- (ii) a finite family of operations of finite arity,
- (iii) a finite family of relations of finite arity,
- (iv) a finite family of axioms expressed as identities or implications.

In Kleis, such a species corresponds precisely to a declaration of the form:

`structure S(X1, ..., Xn) { ... }`

The sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  constitute the underlying sets of the structure; the operations and relations correspond to the `operation` and `element` declarations; the axioms correspond to the `axiom` declarations.

Thus, a Kleis structure is a *formal species of structure* in Bourbaki’s sense.

## A.2. Morphisms of Species and the extends Relation

Given two species of structures  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ , a *morphism of species* is any mapping which respects:

- the number and positions of underlying sets,
- the signature of operations and relations,
- the axioms imposed.

The Kleis construct:

`structure T(...) extends S(...)`

represents precisely such a morphism of species in which:

- each operation of  $\mathcal{S}$  is preserved in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,
- each axiom of  $\mathcal{S}$  holds in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,
- additional operations and axioms may be added.

Thus, `extends` corresponds to the inclusion of one species of structure into another.

### A.3. Structures Dependent on Other Structures and the `over` Clause

In Bourbaki's formalism, certain structures are defined relative to a previously chosen structure. For example, a vector space is defined only after a field has been designated.

Kleis formalizes this by the construct:

`structure V(X) over Field(F) { ... }`

This expresses that  $V$  is a species whose definition presupposes the choice of a structure of type `Field`. Formally, this corresponds to the notion of a *parametrized species of structure*, or, in categorical language, to a *fibred* family of structures indexed by the models of the parameter species.

### A.4. Realizations of Structures and the `implements` Clause

A *structure of species*  $\mathcal{S}$  in the sense of Bourbaki is given by:

- a family of sets,
- interpretations of each operation symbol as a genuine function,
- interpretations of relations as subsets,
- verification that all axioms hold.

In Kleis:

`implements Field() { ... }`

declares that the species `Field` is realized on the set  $\mathbb{R}$ . The operations and elements given in the implementation constitute the interpretation of the signature, and the axioms are asserted to hold in this interpretation.

Thus `implements` corresponds exactly to the Bourbaki notion of a *structure of a given species*.

## A.5. Conditions on Realizations and the where Clause

Many mathematical constructions impose side conditions on underlying sets or operations (e.g., commutativity, order-completeness). In Bourbaki’s methodology, these appear as *subspecies of structures*.

The Kleis construct:

```
implements R(T) where C(T)
```

specifies that the realization of  $R$  on  $T$  is valid only in cases where  $T$  satisfies an auxiliary species  $C$ .

Thus, **where** produces a *subspecies* of the original species, in the Bourbaki sense.

## A.6. Nested Structures and Composite Species

A Bourbaki structure may itself contain other structures whose underlying set is identical. For example, a ring consists of an additive commutative group and a multiplicative monoid defined on the same set.

In Kleis:

```
structure Ring(R) {  
  structure additive : AbelianGroup(R)  
  structure multiplicative : Monoid(R)  
}
```

This corresponds to a composite species of structure in Bourbaki’s terminology: the ring structure is obtained by amalgamating two subspecies defined on the same underlying set and adding compatibility axioms.

Thus, nested Kleis structures represent the Bourbaki notion of a structure *with internal substructures of species*.

## A.7. Algebraic Data Types and Inductive Species

An inductive Kleis data type:

```
data Option(T) = None | Some(T)
```

corresponds to the initial model of a finitary algebraic species. In Bourbaki’s terminology, this is a *free structure* on the given signature.

Given a functor:

$$F(X) = 1 + T \times X,$$

the type defined above is the initial object of the category of  $F$ -algebras.

## A.8. Axioms as Structural Relations

A Kleis axiom:

axiom associativity:

$$(x \ y \ z : M). (x \bullet y) \bullet z = x \bullet (y \bullet z)$$

corresponds to an identity constraint on the operations of the species. In Bourbaki's setting, these axioms complete the specification of the structure by imposing structural relations on the operations.

## A.9. Functoriality and Polymorphism

Many Kleis expressions, particularly polymorphic type constructors, correspond to functors between categories of structures.

For a type operator such as:

`Matrix(m,n,T)`

we obtain a mapping:

$$\text{Matrix}_{m,n} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U},$$

which, when restricted to appropriate categories of structures, becomes a covariant functor.

Polymorphic Kleis functions correspond to families of functions natural with respect to such functorial actions.

## A.10. Summary

In this appendix, we have identified the principal constructs of the Kleis language with the corresponding notions in Bourbaki's theory of structures:

Kleis Construct	Bourbaki Concept
<code>structure</code>	species of structure
<code>extends</code>	morphism of species / species inclusion
<code>over</code>	parametrized species
<code>implements</code>	structure of a given species
<code>where</code>	subspecies via conditions
nested structures	composite species / associated structures
algebraic data types	free or inductive structures
polymorphism	functorial dependence

Thus, Kleis may be regarded as a formal, executable language for defining and manipulating Bourbaki-style mathematical structures.