


CMPT 476 Lecture 4

Operators



Last class we learned about quantum **states** and **measurement**. As a recap,

States : $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q_i |i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\sum_i |q_i|^2 = 1$

measurement : $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q_i |i\rangle \xrightarrow{|q_i|^2} |i\rangle$

Today we'll learn about **gates**, or **unitary transformations**, the main way we **compute** in QC.

Unitary transformations arise as a natural consequence of the fact that states are unit vectors. Much like **Stochastic matrices** and **probability vectors**, unitary operations assure that we don't "break" nature, and specifically measurement.

We will see that they can also be viewed as **change of basis** matrices for the same reason.

What are operators?

What are unitary operators?

What are linear operators?

What are projectors?

What is an orthogonal projector?

What is a hermitian projector?

What are the pauli gates?

What is a hamiltonian?

For the schrodinger equation, when was the integral of $\phi(t)$ introduced? I am unable to see how the derivative of $\phi(t)$ was removed from the simplification of the equation?
Given the schrodinger equation, how do we know the current energy in the system?

(Unitary operators and state space evolution)

In the last class, we saw the **hadamard basis** $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ of \mathbb{C}^2 . We can write the change of basis matrix from $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ to $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ as

With the columns of a unitary basis, it forms an orthonormal basis

The measurement of a basis can mean changing to the computational basis

Measurement over a basis is equivalent to a change of basisd

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix H is called the **hadamard gate**. Observe that $H|0\rangle = |+\rangle$ and $H|1\rangle = |-\rangle$, and in particular the columns of H are **unit vectors**, like we had with stochastic matrices. Quantum gates satisfy an even more restrictive property called **unitarity** which means that their **Hermitian conjugate** (i.e. dagger or conjugate-transpose) is equal to their **inverse**.

A unitary matrix takes a unit vector and returns a unit vector.

"if and only if"



A complex-valued matrix U is **unitary** iff

$$UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$$

Unitary measurement example:

Where

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{n1} & & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^* & a_{21}^* & \dots & a_{n1}^* \\ a_{12}^* & a_{22}^* & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{n2}^* & & \dots & a_{nn}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

(i.e. take transpose and conjugate entry-wise)

Circuit notation

In circuit notation, a unitary is a labeled box



Ex.

The following matrices are unitary

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{CNOT} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(matrix)

We saw the X or NOT gate already, which maps

$$X: |0\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \quad |1\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{bit flip}$$

The Z gate is sometimes called a **phase flip**:

$$Z: |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \quad Z: |1\rangle \mapsto (-1)|1\rangle$$

↑ phase

Can we relate any two matrices using the hadamard gates?

The two are related by a **basis change**:

$$HXH = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = 2$$

Do each of these matrices not have the standard basis?

What basis do they have?

In circuit notation, HXH is written



Note also that Z acts like X in the $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ basis:

$$Z|+\rangle = Z\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) = |-\rangle$$

$$Z|-\rangle = Z\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) = |+\rangle$$

In particular, to flip a bit $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$, we could either apply X, or apply a change of basis $H|0\rangle = |+\rangle$, phase flip $Z|+\rangle = |-\rangle$, then change back $H|-\rangle = H|+\rangle = |1\rangle$. As matrices,

$$X = HZH$$

(Unitaries are norm preserving)

A crucial property of unitary transformations
is that they are inner product preserving:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_n, u_n \rangle &= (\psi | u_n^{\dagger}) (u_n | \psi) \\ &= (\psi | u_n + u_n u_n^{\dagger}) \\ &= \langle \psi | u_n \rangle\end{aligned}$$

These are equivalent since the dagger is the complex conjugate, the complex conjugate of a vector is its bra

This implies that unitaries take states to states,
since in particular

$$||u_n|u\rangle|| = \sqrt{\langle u_n, u_n \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} = |||\psi\rangle||$$

Ex.

An inverse for this system does not exist.

If you have the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

ad - bc = 0, which
implies there is no inverse.
So the transformation is not
unitary.

Is this an acceptable way
to approach it?

Is the transformation $H_0 : |\psi\rangle \mapsto |\psi\rangle$ unitary?

Consider $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Then

$$H_0|\psi\rangle = (a+b)|+\rangle = |\psi'\rangle$$

But $\langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = |a|^2 + a^*b + ab^* + |b|^2 \neq 1$ in general.

We could have also written

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and calculated } H_0 H_0^+ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Columns of unitaries)

The columns of an $n \times n$ unitary matrix U form
an orthonormal basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n , and U is the
change of basis matrix $\{|e_i\rangle\} \xrightarrow[U]{\sim} \{|e_i\rangle\}$

Recall how Dirac notation simplified our calculations involving vectors. Now we introduce some tools from **operator theory** that will allow us to do the same for matrix calculations.

(Operators)

An operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a linear transformation $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Recall that linear means that for all $|ψ\rangle, |\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$

$$A(a|\psi\rangle + b|\varphi\rangle) = aA|\psi\rangle + bA|\varphi\rangle$$

Ex.

An example of an operator in this more abstract sense is an **outer product**. In Dirac notation, we can write the outer product of two vectors $|\psi\rangle, |\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ as

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\varphi|$$

This operator (linearly, by definition) maps

$$|\Phi\rangle \mapsto \langle\varphi|\Phi\rangle|\psi\rangle$$

Using Dirac notation, this is simply

$$\begin{aligned} (|\psi\rangle\langle\varphi|)|\Phi\rangle &= |\psi\rangle(\langle\varphi|\Phi\rangle) \\ &= \langle\varphi|\Phi\rangle|\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$|\Phi\rangle = |\alpha\rangle_0|\beta\rangle_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{projects the } 0 \text{ component} \\ &|\Phi\rangle = \alpha|\Phi\rangle_0 + \beta|\Phi\rangle_1 \\ &= \alpha|\Phi\rangle_0 + \beta|\Phi\rangle_1 \\ &= \alpha|\Phi\rangle_0 \end{aligned}$$

Ex.

$$\text{Let } |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$

1. What is the matrix of $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$?

What does the outer product represent?

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. What is the matrix of $|0\rangle\langle 0|$?

There is an error with the second vector. It should be $[1 \ 0]$

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. What is $(|0\rangle\langle 0|)|\psi\rangle$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. What is $(|1\rangle\langle 1|)|\psi\rangle$ in Dirac notation?


$$|1\rangle\langle 1| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle\langle 1| \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle\langle 1| \cdot |1\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle$$

What is a projector?

The outer products $|0\rangle\langle 0|$, $|1\rangle\langle 1|$, $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ are special operators called **projectors**. Intuitively, $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ projects a state onto its **$|0\rangle$ part**. More generally, $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ projects onto the line spanned by $|\psi\rangle$.

The outer product projects onto the line since we are multiplying the ket by the inner product of the bra and another vector?



Not norm preserving,
hence not unitary!

(Projectors, formal definition)

An operator P on \mathcal{H} is a projector iff

$$P^2 = P$$

I.e. projecting onto the same line (or subspace)

For $|0\rangle\langle 0| * |0\rangle\langle 0|:$

again does nothing since we're already on the line.

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| * |0\rangle\langle 0| =$$

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| |0\rangle\langle 0| =$$

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| =$$

(Resolution of the identity)

Let $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ be a basis of \mathcal{H} . Then

$$I = \sum_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ex.

In C^2 , we have $|0\rangle\langle 0| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $|1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

With the basis $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$,

$$|+\rangle\langle +| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|-\rangle\langle -| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|+\rangle\langle +| + |-\rangle\langle -| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

(Theorem, matrix representations)

Let $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then any linear operator T on \mathcal{H} can be written as

$$T = \sum_{i,j} T_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j|$$

where $T_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | T | \psi_j \rangle$

Pf.

$$\begin{aligned} T &= I T I = (\sum_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|) T (\sum_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} |\psi_i\rangle (\underbrace{\langle \psi_i | T | \psi_j \rangle}_{\text{This value becomes a scalar, and can be pulled out front.}}) \langle \psi_j| \\ &= \sum_{i,j} T_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j| \end{aligned}$$

□

Is t_{ij} an operator t which takes two arguments?

The expression $\sum_{i,j} T_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j|$ is the **matrix** of T over the basis $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$. In particular, observe:

$$|0\rangle \langle 0| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |0\rangle \langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$|1\rangle \langle 0| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |1\rangle \langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = a|0\rangle \langle 0| + b|0\rangle \langle 1| + c|1\rangle \langle 0| + d|1\rangle \langle 1|$$

Aside

Formally, the space of linear operators on \mathcal{H} , denoted $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a vector space. The above Thm states that $\{|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j|\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

(Back to quantum)

All of this (aside from introducing the language of operators which we will frequently come back to) is to say that the **dagger** of $T = \sum_{ij} T_{ij} |e_j\rangle\langle e_i|$ can be written concisely as

$$T^+ = \sum_{ij} T_{ij}^* |e_j\rangle\langle e_i|$$

conjugated
swapped

Note that $(AB)^+ = B^+A^+$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, by properties of the transpose.

(Reversibility (preview))


We close with the observation that **unitary** evolution implies the **reversibility** of time (not actually just state evolution). In particular, if a computation sends

$$|\psi\rangle \xrightarrow{U} |\psi'\rangle$$

then we could just invert U to get back the original state

$$|\psi'\rangle \xrightarrow{U^{-1}} |\psi\rangle$$

Can we do this with **classical computation**? In particular recall the AND gate



If $x \text{ AND } y = 0$, can we retrieve the values of x and y ?
NO! ($0 \text{ AND } 0 = 0 \text{ AND } 1 = 1 \text{ AND } 0$)

If we expect **quantum** computation to be more powerful than **classical**, we'll have to reconcile this issue somehow in upcoming classes!