

TREES!!

TREEES

Eli Ben-Michael

Department of Statistics

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720-1776, USA

EBENMICHAEL@BERKELEY.EDU

Editor:

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Keywords: TREEES

1. Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

2. Bayesian Optimization and Bandit-Based Algorithms

2.1 Bayesian Optimization

2.2 SuccessiveHalving and Hyperband

3. A Trio of Tree Algorithms

3.1 SequentialHalving with Decision Tree inputs

3.2 SequentialTree

3.2.1 ALGORITHM

Algorithm 1 SequentialTree

```

1: procedure SEQUENTIALTREE(Box constrained space  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , budget  $T, \eta, m$ )
2:   Assign  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{X}\}$  and n_nodes =  $m$ 
3:   for  $r = 1 \dots \lceil \log_\eta(m) \rceil$  do
4:     Assign the number of pulls per element of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $n_r = \left\lfloor \frac{T}{|\mathcal{P}| \lceil \log_\eta(m) \rceil} \right\rfloor$ 
5:     Assign  $\mathcal{A}_r = \emptyset$  and  $\hat{B}_r = \emptyset$ 
6:     for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  do
7:       Remove  $p$  from  $\mathcal{P}$ 
8:       Sample  $\{x_{p1}, \dots, x_{pn_r}\}$  independently and uniformly over  $p$ 
9:       Let  $\{y_{p1}, \dots, y_{pn_r}\}$  be the result of querying the function at these points
10:      Train a decision tree with n_nodes nodes,  $t_p = \text{DECISIONTREE}(y_p \sim X_p)$ 
11:      Add the n_nodes elements of the partition of  $p$  defined by  $t_p$  to  $\mathcal{A}_r$ 
12:      Add the prediction from  $t_p$  for each element to  $\hat{B}_r$ .
13:    end for
14:    if  $|\mathcal{P}| > \eta^2$  then
15:      Set  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_r$  with predictions in the top  $\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{\eta^2}$  of  $\hat{B}_r$ .
16:    else
17:      Let  $\hat{p}$  be the element of  $\mathcal{P}$  with the best prediction
18:      return MIDPOINT( $\hat{p}$ )
19:    end if
20:    n_nodes =  $\eta$ 
21:  end for
22: end procedure

```

3.3 PartitionTree

3.3.1 ALGORITHM

Algorithm 2 PartitionTree

```

1: procedure PARTITIONTREE(Box constrained space  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , budget  $T, \eta, R, k$ )
2:   Assign  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{X}\}$ , and  $m = \eta^2$ 
3:   for  $r = 1, \dots, R$  do
4:     The number of pulls per element of  $\mathcal{P}$   $n_r = \left\lfloor \frac{T}{|\mathcal{P}|R} \right\rfloor$ 
5:     Assign  $\mathcal{A}_r = \emptyset$  and  $\hat{B}_r = \emptyset$ 
6:     for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  do
7:       Remove  $p$  from  $\mathcal{P}$ 
8:       Sample  $\{x_{p1}, \dots, x_{pn_r}\}$  independently and uniformly over  $p$ 
9:       Let  $\{y_{p1}, \dots, y_{pn_r}\}$  be the result of querying the function at these points
10:      Train a decision tree with  $m$  nodes,  $t_p = \text{DECISIONTREE}(y_p \sim X_p)$ 
11:      Train a random forest with  $k$  trees and  $m$  nodes per tree
12:       $rf_p = \text{RANDOMFOREST}(y_p \sim X_p)$ 
13:      Add the  $m$  elements of the partition of  $p$  defined by  $t_p$  to  $\mathcal{A}_r$ 
14:      Add the prediction from  $rf_p$  for the mid point of each element to  $\hat{B}_r$ .
15:    end for
16:    Set  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_r$  with predictions in the top  $\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{\eta}$  of  $\hat{B}_r$ 
17:    Let  $\hat{p}$  be the element of  $\mathcal{P}$  with the best prediction
18:     $m = \eta$ 
19:  end for
20:  return MIDPOINT( $\hat{p}$ )
21: end procedure

```

4. Empirical Evaluation

Algorithm	Branin		Hartmann 3D		Hartmann 6D	
	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sigma = 5$	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sigma = 5$	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sigma = 5$
Bayesian Optimization	-1.65	-0.05	-0.19	-0.06	0.02	-
Hyperband	-0.75	-0.56	-0.57	-0.45	-0.14	-0.18
SequentialHalving	-0.83	-0.65	-0.62	-0.54	-0.12	-0.18
PartitionTree	-0.19	-0.31	-0.12	-0.16	-0.02	-0.03
SequentialTree	-0.28	-0.38	-0.29	-0.48	-0.08	-0.10

Table 1: Empirical Rates

5. Conclusion

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge

TREES!!

Appendix A.