

Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

Lectures for PHD course on
Numerical Optimization

Enrico Bertolazzi

DII – Università di Trento



Notes

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

Algorithm (General quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

 $k \leftarrow 0$ ;  $x_0$  assigned;  $g_0 = \nabla f(x_0)^T$ ;  $H_0 = \nabla^2 f(x_0)^{-1}$ ;
while  $\|g_k\| > \epsilon$  do
  — compute search direction
   $d_k = -H_k g_k$ ;
  Approximate  $\arg \min_{\lambda > 0} f(x_k + \lambda d_k)$  by linsearch;
  — perform step
   $s_k = \lambda_k d_k$ ;
   $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$ ;
   $g_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k+1})^T$ ;
   $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$ ;
  — update  $H_{k+1}$ 
   $H_{k+1} = \text{some\_algorithm}(H_k, s_k, y_k)$ ;
   $k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;
end while

```



Notes

Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

- Let B_k and approximation of the Hessian of $f(x)$. Let x_k , x_{k+1} , g_k and g_{k+1} points and gradients at k and $k+1$ -th iterates. Using the Broyden update formula to force secant condition to B_{k+1} we obtain

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{(y_k - B_k s_k) s_k^T}{s_k^T s_k},$$

where $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ and $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$. By using Sherman–Morrison formula and setting $H_k = B_k^{-1}$ we obtain the update:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{(H_k y_k - s_k) s_k^T}{s_k^T s_k + s_k^T H_k g_{k+1}} H_k$$

- The previous update does not maintain symmetry. In fact if H_k is symmetric then H_{k+1} not necessarily is symmetric.



Notes

- To avoid the loss of symmetry we can consider an update of the form:

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T$$

- Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse) we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{H}_k\mathbf{y}_k + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$$

from previous equality

$$\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k + \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^{1/2}$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k} = \frac{\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{(\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^{1/2}}$$



Notes

- substituting the expression of u

$$u = \frac{s_k - H_k y_k}{(y_k^T s_k - y_k^T H_k y_k)^{1/2}}$$

in the update formula, we obtain

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w_k^T y_k} \quad w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

- The previous update formula is the **symmetric rank one** formula (SR1).
- To be definite the previous formula needs $w_k^T y_k \neq 0$.
Moreover if $w_k^T y_k < 0$ and H_k is positive definite then H_{k+1} may lose positive definiteness.
- Have H_k symmetric and positive definite is important for **global convergence**



Notes

This lemma is used in the forward theorems

Lemma

Let be

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

with $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{g}_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}_k \\ &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_k = \nabla q(\mathbf{x}_k)^T$.



Notes

Theorem (property of SR1 update)

Let be

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x + c$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let x_k and H_k produced by

1 $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$,

2 H_{k+1} updated by the SR1 formula

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w_k^T y_k} \quad w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

If s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} are linearly independent then $H_n = A^{-1}$.



Notes

Proof: (Proof. (1/2)) We prove by induction the hereditary property $\mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$.

BASE: For $i = 1$ is exactly the secant condition of the update.

INDUCTION: Suppose the relation is valid for $k > 0$ then we prove that it is valid for $k + 1$. In fact, from the update formula

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j + \frac{\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j}{\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \mathbf{w}_k \quad \mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$

by the induction hypothesis for $j < k$ and using lemma on slide 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j &= \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_j \\ &= \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. For $j = k$ we have $\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$ trivially by construction of the SR1 formula. \square



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (2/2)) To prove that $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ notice that

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j, \quad \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{y}_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and combining the equality

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{s}_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to the linear independence of \mathbf{s}_i we have $\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ i.e. $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. □



Notes

- 1 The SR1 update possesses the natural quadratic termination property (like CG).
- 2 SR1 satisfy the hereditary property $\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$ for $j < k$.
- 3 SR1 does maintain the positive definiteness of \mathbf{H}_k if and only if $\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$. However this condition is difficult to guarantee.
- 4 Sometimes $\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k$ becomes very small or 0. This results in serious numerical difficulty (roundoff) or even the algorithm is broken. We can avoid this breakdown by the following strategy

Breakdown workaround for SR1 update

- 1 if $|\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k| \geq \epsilon \|\mathbf{w}_k^T\| \|\mathbf{y}_k\|$ (i.e. the angle between \mathbf{w}_k and \mathbf{y}_k is far from 90 degree), then we update with the SR1 formula.
- 2 Otherwise we set $\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k$.



Notes

Theorem (Convergence of nonlinear SR1 update)

Let $f(x)$ satisfying standard assumption. Let be $\{x_k\}$ a sequence of iterates such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_*$. Suppose we use the *breakdown workaround for SR1 update* and the steps $\{s_k\}$ are uniformly linearly independent. Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|H_k - \nabla^2 f(x_*)^{-1}\| = 0.$$



A.R.Conn, N.I.M.Gould and P.L.Toint

Convergence of quasi-Newton matrices generated by the symmetric rank one update.

Mathematic of Computation **50** 399–430, 1988.



Notes

Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

- The SR1 update, although symmetric do not have minimum property like the Broyden update for the non symmetric case.
- The Broyden update

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}_k + \frac{(\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{s}_k) \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k}$$

solve the minimization problem

$$\|\mathbf{A}_{k+1} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_F \leq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_F \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$$

- If we solve a similar problem in the class of symmetric matrix we obtain the Powell-symmetric-Broyden (PSB) update



Notes

Lemma (Powell-Symmetric-Broyden update)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and $s, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $As \neq y$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid Bs = y, B = B^T\}$$

then there exists a **unique** matrix $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|A - B\|_F \leq \|A - C\|_F \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathcal{B}$$

moreover B has the following form

$$B = A + \frac{\omega s^T + s \omega^T}{s^T s} - (\omega^T s) \frac{ss^T}{(s^T s)^2} \quad \omega = y - As$$

then B is a rank two perturbation of the matrix A .



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (1/9)) First of all notice that \mathcal{B} is not empty, in fact B satisfy $Bs = y$ so that the set is not empty. Next we reformulate the problem as a constrained minimum problem:

$$\arg \min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (A_{ij} - B_{ij})^2 \quad \text{subject to } Bs = y \text{ and } B = B^T$$

The solution is a stationary point of the Lagrangian:

$$g(B, \lambda, M) = \frac{1}{2} \|A - B\|_F^2 + \lambda^T (Bs - y) + \sum_{i < j} \mu_{ij} (B_{ij} - B_{ji})$$

□



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (2/9)) taking the gradient we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B_{ij}} g(\mathbf{B}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{B}) = A_{ij} - B_{ij} + \lambda_i s_j + M_{ij} = 0$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu_{ij} & \text{if } i < j; \\ -\mu_{ij} & \text{if } i > j; \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

The previous equality can be written in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{s}^T + \mathbf{M}.$$

where \mathbf{M} is an antisymmetric matrix. □



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (3/9)) Imposing the symmetry for B

$$A + \lambda s^T + M = A^T + s\lambda^T + M^T = A + s\lambda^T - M$$

solving for M we have

$$M = \frac{s\lambda^T - \lambda s^T}{2}$$

substituting in B we have

$$B = A + \frac{s\lambda^T + \lambda s^T}{2}$$

□



Notes

Proof:(Proof.(4/9)) Imposing $s^T B s = s^T y$

$$s^T A s + \frac{s^T s \lambda^T s + s^T \lambda s^T s}{2} = s^T y \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda^T s = (s^T \omega) / (s^T s)$$

where $\omega = y - A s$. Imposing $B s = y$

$$A s + \frac{s \lambda^T s + \lambda s^T s}{2} = y \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\omega}{s^T s} - \frac{(s^T \omega)s}{(s^T s)^2}$$

next we compute the explicit form of B .

□



Notes

Proof:(Proof.

(5/9)) Substituting

$$\lambda = \frac{2\omega}{s^T s} - \frac{(s^T \omega)s}{(s^T s)^2} \quad \text{in} \quad B = A + \frac{s\lambda^T + \lambda s^T}{2}$$

we obtain

$$B = A + \frac{\omega s^T + s\omega^T}{s^T s} - (\omega^T s) \frac{ss^T}{(s^T s)^2} \quad \omega = y - As$$

next we prove that B is the **unique minimum**.

□



Notes

substituting ω with $\mathbf{E}s$ in \mathbf{B} of slide N.16 and noticing that $\mathbf{E}^T = \mathbf{E}$ we have

22/62

Proof:(Proof. (7/9)) consider now the product $(B - A)z$ where z is a vector orthogonal to s (i.e. $z^T s = 0$) which result in

$$\begin{aligned}(B - A)z &= \frac{E s s^T z + s s^T E z}{s^T s} - (s^T E s) \frac{s s^T z}{(s^T s)^2} \\ &= \frac{s s^T}{s^T s} E z\end{aligned}$$

so that using Frobenius norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|(B - A)z\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{s s^T}{s^T s} E z \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \frac{s s^T}{s^T s} \right\|_F \|E z\|_2 \\ &\leq \|E z\|_2 = \|(C - A)z\|_2\end{aligned}$$

□



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (8/9)) So that considering n orthonormal vector $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ with $v_1 = s / \|s\|_2$ we have

$$\|(B - A)v_k\|_2 \leq \|(C - A)v_k\|_2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and using the properties of Frobenius norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|B - A\|_F^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \|(B - A)v_k\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|(C - A)v_k\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|C - A\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have $\|B - A\|_F \leq \|C - A\|_F$ for all $C \in \mathcal{B}$. □



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (9/9)) Let B' and B'' two different minimum. Then $\frac{1}{2}(B' + B'') \in \mathcal{B}$ moreover

$$\left\| A - \frac{1}{2}(B' + B'') \right\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2} \|A - B'\|_F + \frac{1}{2} \|A - B''\|_F$$

If the inequality is strict we have a contradiction. From the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality we have an equality only when $A - B' = \lambda(A - B'')$ so that

$$B' - \lambda B'' = (1 - \lambda)A$$

and

$$B's - \lambda B''s = (1 - \lambda)As \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)y = (1 - \lambda)As$$

cause $As \neq y$ this is true only when $\lambda = 1$, i.e. $B' = B''$. □



Notes

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

 $k \leftarrow 0;$ 
 $x_0$  assigned;  $g_0 \leftarrow \nabla f(x_0)^T$ ;  $B_0 \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x_0);$ 
while  $\|g_k\| > \epsilon$  do
    — compute search direction
     $d_k = -B_k^{-1} g_k;$  (solve linear system  $Bd_k = -g_k$ )
    Approximate  $\arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(x_k + \alpha d_k)$  by linsearch;
    — perform step
     $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha d_k;$ 
    — update  $B_{k+1}$ 
     $g_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k+1})^T;$ 
     $\omega_k = g_{k+1} - g_k - \alpha B_k d_k = g_{k+1} + (\alpha - 1)g_k;$ 
     $B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{d_k \omega_k^T + \omega_k d_k^T}{\alpha d_k^T d_k} - \frac{d_k^T \omega_k}{\alpha} d_k d_k^T;$ 
     $k \leftarrow k + 1;$ 
end while

```



Notes

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

 $k \leftarrow 0;$ 
 $x$  assigned;  $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;  $\mathbf{B} \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ ;
while  $\|\mathbf{g}\| > \epsilon$  do
    — compute search direction
     $\mathbf{d} \leftarrow -\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{g}$ ;      (solve linear system  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{d} = -\mathbf{g}$ )
    Approximate  $\arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{d})$  by linsearch;
    — perform step
     $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{d}$ ;
    — update  $\mathbf{B}_{k+1}$ 
     $\boldsymbol{\omega} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T + (\alpha - 1)\mathbf{g}$ ;
     $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;
     $\beta \leftarrow (\alpha\mathbf{d}^T\mathbf{d})^{-1}$ ;
     $\gamma \leftarrow \mathbf{d}^T\boldsymbol{\omega}/\alpha$ ;
     $\mathbf{B} \leftarrow \mathbf{B} + \beta(\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T + \boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{d}^T) - \gamma\mathbf{d}\mathbf{d}^T$ ;
     $k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;
end while

```



Notes

Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

- The SR1 and PSB update maintains the symmetry but do not maintains the positive definitiveness of the matrix \mathbf{H}_{k+1} . To recover this further property we can try the update of the form:

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \alpha \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T + \beta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$$

- Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k + \alpha (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{u} + \beta (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{u} + \beta (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$

clearly this equation has not a unique solution. A natural choice for \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is the following:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{s}_k \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$



Notes

- Solving for α and β the equation

$$\alpha(\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{s}_k + \beta(\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$

we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

- substituting in the updating formula we obtain the Davidson Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

- Obviously this is only one of the possible choices and with other solutions we obtain different update formulas. Next we must prove that under suitable condition the DFP update formula maintains positive definitiveness.



Notes

Positive definitiveness of DFP update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of DFP update)

Given \mathbf{H}_k symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

produce \mathbf{H}_{k+1} positive definite **if and only if** $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe \Rightarrow DFP update is SPD)

Expanding $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$ we have $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k$.

Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $\mathbf{s}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k) \mathbf{p}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{p}_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0.$$



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (1/2)) Let be $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$: consider a $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{z}^T \left(\mathbf{H}_k - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \right) \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{z} - \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)(\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} + \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{H}_k is SPD so that there exists the Cholesky decomposition $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T = \mathbf{H}_k$. Defining $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{y}_k$ we can write

$$\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) - (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b})^2}{\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}} + \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k}$$

from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have $(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) \geq (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b})^2$ so that $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} \geq 0$. □



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (2/2)) To prove strict inequality remember from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that $(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b})^2$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} = \lambda \mathbf{b}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{y}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}_k$$

but in this case

$$\frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} = \lambda^2 \frac{(\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} > 0.$$

Let be $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$: Choosing $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}_k$ we have

$$0 < \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \frac{(\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k$$



Notes

Algorithm (DFP quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

 $k \leftarrow 0;$ 
 $x$  assigned;  $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;  $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ ;
while  $\|\mathbf{g}\| > \epsilon$  do
    — compute search direction
     $\mathbf{d} \leftarrow -\mathbf{H}\mathbf{g}$ ;
    Approximate  $\arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d})$  by linsearch;
    — perform step
     $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$ ;
    — update  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$ 
     $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T - \mathbf{g}$ ;
     $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y}$ ;
     $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;
     $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \mathbf{H} + \alpha \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{d}^T}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^T}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{z}};$ 
     $k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;
end while

```



Notes

Theorem (property of DFP update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

$$1 \quad x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

$$2 \quad H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k},$$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by **exact line-search**. Then for $j < k$ we have

$$1 \quad g_k^T s_j = 0; \quad (\text{orthogonality property})$$

$$2 \quad H_k y_j = s_j; \quad (\text{hereditary property})$$

$$3 \quad s_k^T A s_j = 0; \quad (\text{conjugate direction property})$$

$$4 \quad \text{The method terminate (i.e. } \nabla f(x_m) = 0) \text{ at } x_m = x_* \text{ with } m \leq n. \text{ If } n = m \text{ then } H_n = A^{-1}.$$



Notes

moreover by induction for $j < k$ we have $\mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{s}_j = 0$, in fact:

Proof:(Proof. (2/4)) By using $\mathbf{s}_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}$ we have $\mathbf{s}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = 0$, in fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{s}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j &= -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{j+1} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_j) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{j+1} - \mathbf{x}_*) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_*)) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} (\mathbf{g}_{j+1} - \mathbf{g}_j) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_j \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{s}_j \quad (\text{induction + hereditary prop.}) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

□



Notes

Proof:(Proof.

(3/4)) Due to DFP construction we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and DFP formula for $j < k$ we have,

$$\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = 0, \text{ moreover}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_j &= \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \\ &= \mathbf{s}_j + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k 0}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_j}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \quad (\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_j - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k (\mathbf{g}_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}_k)^T \mathbf{s}_j}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \quad (\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{g}_{j+1} - \mathbf{g}_j) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_j \quad (\text{induction + ortho. prop.}) \end{aligned}$$



Notes

Proof: (Proof. (4/4)) Finally if $m = n$ we have s_j with $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$$

i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{s}_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

due to linear independence of $\{\mathbf{s}_k\}$ follows that $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. \square



Notes

Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

- Another update which maintain symmetry and positive definitiveness is the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS,1970) rank 2 update.
- This update was independently discovered by the four authors.
- A convenient way to introduce BFGS is by the concept of duality.
- Consider an update for the Hessian, say

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{B}_k, \mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{y}_k)$$

which satisfy $\mathbf{B}_{k+1} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ (the secant condition on the Hessian). Then by exchanging $\mathbf{B}_k \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{H}_k$ and $\mathbf{s}_k \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{y}_k$ we obtain the **dual** update for the inverse of the Hessian, i.e.

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}_k, \mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{s}_k)$$

which satisfy $\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$ (the secant condition on the inverse of the Hessian).



Notes

- Starting from the Davidon Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

by the duality we obtain the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update formula

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_k + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{B}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{s}_k}$$

- The BFGS formula written in this way is not useful in the case of large problem. We need an equivalent formula for the inverse of the approximate Hessian. This can be done with a generalization of the Sherman-Morrison formula.



Notes

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula permit to explicit write the inverse of a matrix changed with a rank k perturbation

Proposition (Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula)

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^T)^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k] \quad \mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k]$$

The Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula can be checked by a direct calculation.



Notes

Remark

The previous formula can be written as:

$$\left(\mathbf{A} + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \right)^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u}_j \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$



Notes

The BFGS update for H

Proposition

By using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula the BFGS update for H becomes:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k s_k^T + s_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T y_k} + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \left(1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k} \right) \quad (A)$$

Or equivalently

$$H_{k+1} = \left(I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left(I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \quad (B)$$



Notes

in this way (setting $\mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{B}_k^{-1}$) we have

Proof:(Proof. (2/3)) In this way the matrix C has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad C^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \quad \alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{s}_k)^{1/2}}{(\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k)^{1/2}}$$

where setting $\tilde{U} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{U}$ and $\tilde{V} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{V}$ where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{u}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{v}_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{H}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{U} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{H}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \\ &= \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{1}{\alpha} (-\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2^T + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1^T) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2^T \end{aligned}$$



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (3/3)) Substituting the values of α , β , $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$'s and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$'s we have we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T + \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right)$$

At this point the update formula (B) is a straightforward calculation. □



Notes

Positive definitiveness of BFGS update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of BFGS update)

Given \mathbf{H}_k symmetric and positive definite, then the BFGS update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right) \mathbf{H}_k \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k}$$

produce \mathbf{H}_{k+1} positive definite **if and only if** $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe \Rightarrow BFGS update is SPD)

Expanding $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$ we have $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k$. Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $\mathbf{s}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k) \mathbf{p}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{p}_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0.$$



Notes

Proof: Let be $s_k^T y_k > 0$: consider a $z \neq 0$ then

$$z^T H_{k+1} z = w^T H_k w + \frac{(z^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} \quad \text{where} \quad w = z - y_k \frac{s_k^T z}{s_k^T y_k}$$

In order to have $z^T H_{k+1} z = 0$ we must have $w = 0$ and $z^T s_k = 0$. But $z^T s_k = 0$ imply $w = z$ and this imply $z = 0$.

Let be $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$ for all $z \neq 0$: Choosing $z = y_k$ we have

$$0 < y_k^T H_{k+1} y_k = \frac{(s_k^T y_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} = s_k^T y_k$$

and thus $s_k^T y_k > 0$. □



Notes

Algorithm (BFGS quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

 $k \leftarrow 0;$ 
 $x$  assigned;  $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;  $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ ;
while  $\|\mathbf{g}\| > \epsilon$  do
  — compute search direction
   $\mathbf{d} \leftarrow -\mathbf{H}\mathbf{g}$ ;
  Approximate  $\arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d})$  by linsearch;
  — perform step
   $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$ ;
  — update  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$ 
   $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T - \mathbf{g}$ ;
   $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} / (\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{y})$ ;
   $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$ ;
   $\beta \leftarrow (\alpha + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{z}) / (\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{y})$ ;
   $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \mathbf{H} - (\mathbf{z}\mathbf{d}^T + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{z}^T) + \beta \mathbf{d}\mathbf{d}^T$ ;
   $k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;
end while

```



Notes

Theorem (property of BFGS update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

$$1 \quad x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

$$2 \quad H_{k+1} \leftarrow \left(I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left(I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k};$$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by **exact line-search**. Then for $j < k$ we have

$$1 \quad g_k^T s_j = 0; \quad (\text{orthogonality property})$$

$$2 \quad H_k y_j = s_j; \quad (\text{hereditary property})$$

$$3 \quad s_k^T A s_j = 0; \quad (\text{conjugate direction property})$$

$$4 \quad \text{The method terminate (i.e. } \nabla f(x_m) = 0) \text{ at } x_m = x_* \text{ with } m \leq n. \text{ If } n = m \text{ then } H_n = A^{-1}.$$



Notes

Proof:(Proof. (2/4)) By using $s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1} H_{k+1} g_{k+1}$ we have $s_{k+1}^T A s_j = 0$, in fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{k+1}^T A s_j &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_j) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A(x_{j+1} - x_*) - A(x_j - x_*)) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_j) \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} y_j \\
 &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T s_j \quad (\text{induction + hereditary prop.}) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

notice that we have used $A s_j = y_j$. □



Notes

Proof: (Proof. (3/4)) Due to BFGS construction we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and BFGS formula for $j < k$ we have,

$$\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_j &= \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right) \mathbf{H}_k \left(\mathbf{y}_j - \frac{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \mathbf{y}_k \right) + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_j}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right) \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k 0}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \quad (\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_j - \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_j}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \mathbf{s}_k \\ &= \mathbf{s}_j \end{aligned}$$



Notes

Proof: (Proof. (4/4)) Finally if $m = n$ we have s_j with $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$$

i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{s}_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

due to linear independence of $\{\mathbf{s}_k\}$ follows that $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. \square



Notes

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



Notes

■ The BFGS update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}_k - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T + \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right)$$

and DFP update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

maintains the symmetry and positive definitiveness.

■ The following update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta) \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

maintain for any θ the symmetry, and for $\theta \in [0, 1]$ also the positive definitiveness.



Notes

Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update)

Given \mathbf{H}_k symmetric and positive definite, then the Broyden Class update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta)\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

produce \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^θ positive definite for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$.



Notes

Theorem (property of Broyden Class update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

$$1 \quad x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

$$2 \quad H_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta)H_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta H_{k+1}^{BFGS};$$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by **exact line-search**. Then for $j < k$ we have

$$1 \quad g_k^T s_j = 0; \quad (\text{orthogonality property})$$

$$2 \quad H_k y_j = s_j; \quad (\text{hereditary property})$$

$$3 \quad s_k^T A s_j = 0; \quad (\text{conjugate direction property})$$

$$4 \quad \text{The method terminate (i.e. } \nabla f(x_m) = 0) \text{ at } x_m = x_* \text{ with } m \leq n. \text{ If } n = m \text{ then } H_n = A^{-1}.$$



Notes

- The Broyden Class update can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta &= \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{w}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} + (\theta - 1) \mathbf{w}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_k = (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^{1/2} \left[\frac{\mathbf{s}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \right]$$





- For particular values of θ we obtain

- 1 $\theta = 0$, the DFP update
- 2 $\theta = 1$, the BFGS update
- 3 $\theta = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k / (\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^T \mathbf{y}_k$ the SR1 update
- 4 $\theta = (1 \pm (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k / \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k))^{-1}$ the Hoshino update



Notes

References

-  **Jorge Nocedal, and Stephen J. Wright**
Numerical optimization
Springer, 2006
-  **J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch**
Introduction to numerical analysis
Springer-Verlag, Texts in Applied Mathematics, **12**, 2002.
-  **J. E. Dennis, Jr. and Robert B. Schnabel**
Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and
Nonlinear Equations
SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics, **16**, 1996.
-  **Robert B. Schnabel**
Minimum Norm Symmetric Quasi-Newton Updates
Restricted to Subspaces
Mathematics of Computation, **32**. 1978



Notes
