5/5/25, 6:09 PM Results: Modeling.sas

The GLIMMIX Procedure

Class Level Information					
Class	Levels	Values			
lobe	6	RUL RML RLL LUS LLS LLL			
rater	2	JW VH			

Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (tib)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RLL vs LUS	6.39391	4.52889	9.02696	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLL	0.20895	0.14809	0.29481	0.00000	0.00000	1
RML vs LUS	3.48575	2.47434	4.91059	0.00000	0.00000	1
RUL vs LUS	3.00218	2.13993	4.21185	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLS	0.34343	0.24457	0.48225	0.00000	0.00000	1
RLL vs LLS	2.19586	1.57805	3.05553	0.00000	0.00001	1
RUL vs RLL	0.46954	0.33786	0.65253	0.00001	0.00002	1
RML vs RLL	0.54517	0.39156	0.75903	0.00033	0.00062	1
LLS vs LLL	0.60841	0.43636	0.84829	0.00341	0.00568	1
RUL vs LLL	0.62729	0.45046	0.87354	0.00580	0.00870	1
RML vs LLL	0.72833	0.52186	1.01651	0.06235	0.08502	0
RLL vs LLL	1.33598	0.96368	1.85213	0.08218	0.10273	0
RML vs LLS	1.19711	0.85739	1.67144	0.29058	0.33528	0
RUL vs RML	0.86127	0.61744	1.20140	0.37896	0.40602	0
RUL vs LLS	1.03104	0.74045	1.43566	0.85633	0.85633	0