5/5/25, 6:12 PM Results: Modeling.sas

The GLIMMIX Procedure

Class Level Information					
Class	Levels	Values			
lobe	6	RUL RML RLL LUS LLS LLL			
rater	2	JW VH			

Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (cons)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RML vs LUS	6.12212	3.97357	9.43240	0.00000	0.00000	1
RUL vs LUS	4.72867	3.06684	7.29100	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLS	0.22788	0.14775	0.35148	0.00000	0.00000	1
RML vs LLL	2.59237	1.77310	3.79019	0.00000	0.00000	1
RLL vs LUS	2.96917	1.91358	4.60707	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLL	0.42344	0.27184	0.65959	0.00015	0.00032	1
RML vs RLL	2.06190	1.42109	2.99166	0.00014	0.00032	1
RUL vs LLL	2.00233	1.36632	2.93439	0.00038	0.00071	1
LLS vs LLL	1.85820	1.26746	2.72426	0.00152	0.00253	1
RUL vs RLL	1.59259	1.09441	2.31754	0.01507	0.02261	1
RLL vs LLS	0.67661	0.46480	0.98494	0.04144	0.05651	0
RML vs LLS	1.39510	0.97326	1.99978	0.06990	0.08737	0
RUL vs RML	0.77239	0.53919	1.10646	0.15893	0.18338	0
RLL vs LLL	1.25728	0.85009	1.85950	0.25138	0.26934	0
RUL vs LLS	1.07756	0.74888	1.55051	0.68726	0.68726	0