

## Benjamini–Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (tib)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RLL vs LUS	6.54122	4.61910	9.26318	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLL	0.20490	0.14483	0.28989	0.00000	0.00000	1
RML vs LUS	3.53683	2.50481	4.99406	0.00000	0.00000	1
RUL vs LUS	3.04355	2.16458	4.27943	0.00000	0.00000	1
LUS vs LLS	0.33855	0.24057	0.47645	0.00000	0.00000	1
RLL vs LLS	2.21454	1.58930	3.08576	0.00000	0.00001	1
RUL vs RLL	0.46529	0.33429	0.64761	0.00001	0.00001	1
RML vs RLL	0.54070	0.38780	0.75388	0.00029	0.00055	1
LLS vs LLL	0.60524	0.43352	0.84497	0.00321	0.00534	1
RUL vs LLL	0.62363	0.44720	0.86968	0.00542	0.00812	1
RML vs LLL	0.72471	0.51856	1.01282	0.05937	0.08096	0
RLL vs LLL	1.34032	0.96573	1.86022	0.07984	0.09979	0
RML vs LLS	1.19740	0.85646	1.67406	0.29182	0.33672	0
RUL vs RML	0.86053	0.61603	1.20207	0.37822	0.40524	0
RUL vs LLS	1.03040	0.73900	1.43670	0.85976	0.85976	0