

The GLIMMIX Procedure

Class Level Information		
Class	Levels	Values
lobe	6	RUL RML RLL LUS LLS LLL
rater	2	JW VH

Benjamini–Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (thin)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RUL vs RML	5.70713	1.62720	20.0167	0.00655	0.09820	0
RUL vs LLS	4.34707	1.35660	13.9297	0.01342	0.10063	0
RML vs RLL	0.24124	0.06714	0.8668	0.02935	0.11005	0
RUL vs LLL	3.46101	1.15320	10.3873	0.02684	0.11005	0
RLL vs LLS	3.15736	0.95928	10.3921	0.05854	0.17562	0
RLL vs LLL	2.51380	0.81353	7.7676	0.10922	0.27279	0
RML vs LUS	0.35788	0.09550	1.3411	0.12730	0.27279	0
RUL vs LUS	2.04246	0.76852	5.4281	0.15204	0.28507	0
LUS vs LLS	2.12835	0.61748	7.3361	0.23140	0.38566	0
LUS vs LLL	1.69453	0.52185	5.5024	0.37993	0.56597	0
RLL vs LUS	1.48348	0.53904	4.0827	0.44493	0.56597	0
RML vs LLL	0.60644	0.14888	2.4703	0.48500	0.56597	0
RUL vs RLL	1.37680	0.55454	3.4183	0.49051	0.56597	0
LLS vs LLL	0.79617	0.21108	3.0031	0.73635	0.73635	0
RML vs LLS	0.76169	0.17820	3.2558	0.71327	0.73635	0