5/29/25, 5:40 PM Results: Modeling.sas

## The GLIMMIX Procedure

Class Level Information					
Class	Levels	Values			
lobe	6	RUL RML RLL LUS LLS LLL			
rater	2	JW VH			

## Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (ggo)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RLL vs LLS	2.95095	1.60335	5.43118	0.00052	0.00386	1
RML vs RLL	0.33036	0.17933	0.60858	0.00039	0.00386	1
RLL vs LUS	2.07664	1.16432	3.70382	0.01334	0.06671	0
RUL vs LLS	2.00172	1.06612	3.75838	0.03086	0.09257	0
RUL vs RML	2.05328	1.09290	3.85760	0.02537	0.09257	0
RLL vs LLL	1.68553	0.96515	2.94359	0.06645	0.15365	0
RML vs LLL	0.55684	0.29443	1.05310	0.07170	0.15365	0
LLS vs LLL	0.57118	0.30227	1.07933	0.08452	0.15847	0
RUL vs RLL	0.67833	0.39194	1.17400	0.16539	0.27566	0
RML vs LUS	0.68605	0.35656	1.32001	0.25895	0.35650	0
RUL vs LUS	1.40865	0.77448	2.56211	0.26143	0.35650	0
LUS vs LLS	1.42102	0.73912	2.73205	0.29190	0.36488	0
LUS vs LLL	0.81166	0.44161	1.49179	0.50140	0.57854	0
RUL vs LLL	1.14334	0.63901	2.04572	0.65163	0.69817	0
RML vs LLS	0.97489	0.49601	1.91611	0.94116	0.94116	0