

The GLIMMIX Procedure

Class Level Information		
Class	Levels	Values
lobe	6	RUL RML RLL LUS LLS LLL
rater	2	JW VH

Benjamini–Hochberg FDR-adjusted pairwise comparisons (ln)

Comparison	Exp(Estimate)	Lower 95% CI (OR)	Upper 95% CI (OR)	Unadjusted p	BH-adjusted p	Significant?
RLL vs LUS	4.70816	2.46590	8.98932	0.00000	0.00003	1
RUL vs LUS	4.56119	2.38660	8.71722	0.00000	0.00003	1
LUS vs LLL	0.23391	0.12213	0.44799	0.00001	0.00006	1
RML vs RLL	0.26176	0.14145	0.48443	0.00002	0.00008	1
RLL vs LLS	3.58425	1.95378	6.57537	0.00004	0.00010	1
RUL vs RML	3.70100	1.99774	6.85645	0.00003	0.00010	1
RUL vs LLS	3.47237	1.89073	6.37707	0.00006	0.00013	1
RML vs LLL	0.28828	0.15525	0.53529	0.00008	0.00016	1
LLS vs LLL	0.30726	0.16691	0.56562	0.00015	0.00026	1
LUS vs LLS	0.76128	0.36807	1.57457	0.46178	0.69267	0
RML vs LUS	1.23242	0.59183	2.56640	0.57639	0.78599	0
RLL vs LLL	1.10129	0.66960	1.81130	0.70376	0.87970	0
RML vs LLS	0.93822	0.46566	1.89037	0.85833	0.89981	0
RUL vs LLL	1.06691	0.64763	1.75766	0.79917	0.89981	0
RUL vs RLL	0.96879	0.59117	1.58761	0.89981	0.89981	0