* For the defense, make sure you have enough time to finish with questions...not sure if you are given a set time and an allotted total time.
* When you first show a CT image, say air (e.g., in the trachea) is black, dense bone is white, and the lungs are dark gray because it is mostly air whereas abnormalities in the lungs are usually whiter (except for the black air inside cavities).
* My thoughts for you to cut and paste for later: teach a little about the methods including explaining an interpretation of an odds ratio for the different models. Make a Table 1 averaged across raters or make some graphs of the raw data on percentages in each severity.  Include other measures of agreement from raters.
* Mention how the ordinal scores are visual estimates, not exact percentages
* Lambda in equations link outcome to predictor
* Beta\_1j and beta\_2k need j and k subscript
* Appendix needs output estimates of parameters (Tables)
* Maybe lead with the logisitic regression ones in the presentation, as they are more easy to understand (need to make the presentation understandable for laypeople)
* Pi\_i in the likelihood since we assume that subjects are independent
* Need to explain FDR vs FWER and what exactly the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure is controlling
* Need to streamline FDR and quadrature slides, and ICC CI slide
* Delta method needed to calculate the ICC CI since ICC is a nonlinear function
* Kappa statistics as measure of agreement (replace or supplement ICC?)
  + For ICC, is the score so good because we already accounted for the fixed effect of rater?
* Need more graphs
  + Heatmap of ORs
    - Figure of lungs (more visual than numerical)
    - Connect with a line if not significant (?)
    - Color for rate of occurrence
    - Animation option, put a box across what you want
  + See Nichole’s suggestion (table 1, graphs of raw data percentages)
* ICC is residual information from a model (?)