

Statistical inference - Tutorial 3

Estevão B. Prado

April 12, 2021

1 Exercise 1

1) We know that $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$, where r is known. We have that

$$f(x; r, p) = \binom{x-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}. \quad (1)$$

1.1 Part a

To solve this exercise, we'll use the definition of Exponential family distributions that's given in section 4.3 of **Sufficiency and the Rao-Blackwell Theorem** (weeks 6 and 7).

Definition. A k -parameter member of the exponential family has a density or frequency function of the form

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = \exp \left[\sum_1^k c_i(\theta) T_i(\underline{x}) + d(\theta) + S(\underline{x}) \right]$$

for $\underline{x} \in A$ where the set A does not depend on θ .

That is, we need to show that $f(x; r, p)$ has the same form of $f(x; \theta)$. For instance, we can

rewrite $f(\cdot)$ as follows.

$$f(x; r, p) = \binom{x-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}, \quad (2)$$

$$= \exp \left[\log \left[\binom{x-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r} \right] \right], \quad (3)$$

$$= \exp \left[\log \binom{x-1}{r-1} + r \log(p) + (x-r) \log(1-p) \right], \text{ by rule of log,} \quad (4)$$

$$= \exp [S(x) + d(p) + c(p) \times T(x)], \quad (5)$$

where $S(x) = \log \binom{x-1}{r-1}$, $d(p) = r \log(p)$, $c(p) = \log(1-p)$ and $T(x) = x - r$, where r is known.

1.2 Part b

We recall the Factorisation Theorem, which is introduced in section 4.1 (Sufficiency) on page 2.

Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for $T(\underline{X})$ to be sufficient for θ is that the joint density (or probability mass function) factors in the form

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}); \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

i.e. f depends on θ through T only.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; r, p) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x_i-r}, \quad (6)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\binom{x_i-1}{r-1} \right] p^{nr} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-r)}, \quad (7)$$

$$= p^{nr} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-r)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\binom{x_i-1}{r-1} \right], \quad (8)$$

$$= g(T(\mathbf{x}); p) h(\mathbf{x}), \quad (9)$$

where $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - r)$ is the sufficient statistics for p .

Exercise 3

We know that $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$, where r is known. We have that

$$f(x; n, p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}. \quad (10)$$

Part a

It's possible to answer this using two approaches: Factorisation Theorem or via a corollary of the Factorisation theorem, which uses the MLE. First, we use the FT as follows.

Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for $T(\underline{X})$ to be sufficient for θ is that the joint density (or probability mass function) factors in the form

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}); \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

i.e. f depends on θ through T only.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; k, p) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{k}{x_i} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{k-x_i}, \quad (11)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\binom{k}{x_i} \right] p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (k-x_i)}, \quad (12)$$

$$= p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{nk - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\binom{k}{x_i} \right], \quad (13)$$

$$= g(T(\mathbf{x}); p) h(\mathbf{x}), \quad (14)$$

where $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - r$ is the sufficient statistics for p .

Another possibility is to use a corollary of the Factorisation theorem. To use it, we first need to find the MLE of the parameter of interest (p).

Corollary of Factorisation theorem

If T is sufficient for θ , the MLE for θ is a function of T .

Here, we know that the MLE is $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / nk$. Hence, as the sufficient statistics T is a function of \hat{p} , we conclude that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, as n and k are known.

Part b

First, we know that $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = kp$ and $\mathbb{V}(X_i) = kp(1-p)$. Again, there are two ways to answer this. For instance, we could show that \hat{p} is an unbiased estimator (i.e., $\mathbb{E}(\hat{p}) = p$) and that $\mathbb{V}(\hat{p})$ attains the Cramer-Rao Lower bound. I guess this is the easiest way to show what we need.

The second alternative is to use the Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem.

Theorem. (Lehmann-Scheffe) If T is a complete sufficient statistic and $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ , then $\hat{\theta}_1 = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}|T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of θ .

Completeness is tricky to prove, but it holds for most distributions we consider (Binomial, Exponential, Normal....)

We know that $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / nk$ is unbiased because

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{p}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{nk}\right), \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i)}{nk}, (X'_i \text{ s are i.i.d}) \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{n\mathbb{E}(X_i)}{nk}, (X'_i \text{ s are i.i.d}) \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_i)}{k}, \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{kp}{k}, \quad (19)$$

$$= p. \quad (20)$$

In addition, recall that in a) we found that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistics for p . However, by the Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem T is a **complete sufficient statistics** for p because the completeness of sufficient statistics holds for all exponential distributions (see section 4.3, page 6), which is the case of the Binomial distribution. Consequently, if $\mathbb{E}(\hat{p})$ is unbiased estimator and T is a **complete sufficient statistics**, then \hat{p} is BUE.

Part c

To answer this, we need to get back all the way to weeks 2 and 3 (MLE, page 9) and refresh our memory about the Invariance property of the MLE.

Invariance property of the MLE

Definition. If $\hat{\theta}$ is the mle of a parameter θ then for any function g $g(\hat{\theta})$ is the mle of $g(\theta)$.

That is, the MLE for $g(p) = kp(1-p)^{k-1}$ is $g(\hat{p}) = k\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})^{k-1}$, where $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/nk$.

Part d

First, we'll take the hint and we'll consider an unbiased estimator of $g(p)$ in the form of

$$g(\tilde{p}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_1 = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Hence, we can check that

$$\mathbb{E}(g(\tilde{p})) = 1 \times p(X_1 = 1) + 0 \times p(X_1 \neq 1), \quad (22)$$

$$= p(X_1 = 1), \quad (23)$$

$$= g(p). \quad (24)$$

In addition, we that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and that consequently $T \sim \text{Binomial}(nk, p)$. Now, let's recall the Rao-Blackwell Theorem.

Theorem. (Rao-Blackwell) Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator for θ and let T be a sufficient statistic. Let $\hat{\theta}_1$ be another estimator for θ constructed as $\hat{\theta}_1 = E(\hat{\theta}|T)$. Then $MSE(\hat{\theta}_1) \leq MSE(\hat{\theta})$. The inequality is strict unless $\hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\theta}$.

Based on the theorem above, we have an estimator for $g(p)$, which $g(\tilde{p})$, and we have a sufficient statistics $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Still according to the theorem, a natural estimator for $g(p)$ is given by $E(g(\tilde{p})|T)$. We know that

$$E(g(\tilde{p})|T) = p(X_1 = 1|T = t), \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{p(T = t|X_1 = 1)p(X_1 = 1)}{P(T = t)}, \text{ due to Bayes' theorem} \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{p(T = t - 1)p(X_1 = 1)}{P(T = t)}, \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{\text{Binomial}(t - 1; n - 1, p)kp(1 - p)^{k-1}}{\text{Binomial}(t; n, p)} \quad (28)$$

$$= \frac{\binom{(n-1)k}{t-1}k}{\binom{nk}{t}}. \quad (29)$$

Along with the Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem, we have that $E(g(\tilde{p})|T)$ is BUE for $g(p)$.