

Homework 8

Erik Brakke

November 8, 2015

Collaborators:

Answer 1

- (a) First, let's assume we have an experiment where D sends two messages m_0, m_1 and gets back an encryption of one of these messages where b is chosen at random. b is the message that is encrypted.

We know that $\Pr[D \text{ outputs } b | \text{exp} - b] = 1/2 + \text{neg}$

That is, D does not have any advantage by seeing (y, d) in choosing b

Let's assume this is not the case

Given a y_b, d_b from m_b where m_b is one of m_0, m_1 chosen by D , $\Pr[D \text{ outputs } b | \text{exp} - b] = 1/2 + \epsilon$ Where ϵ is some non-negligible probability

This means that D is able to distinguish (y_0, d_0) from (y_1, d_1) with some non-negligible advantage ϵ

Because D knows m_0, m_1 , then in order to distinguish, he must know how to distinguish d_0 from d_1 (because this is the only thing that uses the message)

We know that d is just $p \oplus m$, which means he must know something about p

$p = H(r)$, and because this is the only bit of information that will allow D to distinguish the encryption, then with $\Pr = \epsilon$, D will query H on r

- (b) Let's use D to build a function R that can reverse the OWF f

We will give R the inputs PK, y and will expect that R can use D to output $f^{-1}(y)$ with non-negligible probability

F will then ask q_{hash} queries to H and q_{enc} queries to $Enc(m)$ and distinguish between them
We can assume that F will query H for $H(a_j)$ before asking for $Enc(m_j)$ because without the hash information, he cannot tell anything about the response he will get

We can also assume that before generating m_0, m_1 F will ask H for the hash of two number $r_0, r_1 \in D_i$ because F will need this info to distinguish the output of Enc

R must create $H(a_j)$ as such:

If a_j has been queried before, then output the same value s_j . If not then output a random $s \in D_i$ and store the value

R must create $Enc(m_j)$ in the following way:

Find a_j, s_j and output $(f_i(a_j), s_j \oplus m_j)$ where f is the OWF

When D is ready to guess, he will send $Enc(m_0)$ and $Enc(m_1)$

R will return $(y, H(r_0) \oplus m_0)$

If D returns 0, then R can output r_0 as the inverse of y , else abort

This gives R a probability of ϵ chance of inverting y

This is because we know that there is a non-negligible chance that D has to ask $H(r)$ where $r = f^{-1}(y)$

Therefore, if we choose m_0 to be the message we encrypt and send y to D along with $H(r) \oplus m_0$, and F says that this message is m_0 , then that means that D was able to distinguish this because r was chosen correctly.

We know that D has a probability of ϵ of asking for r by the previous part

And now we have a $1/2$ chance of choosing the correct m to encrypt. Therefore R has an $\epsilon/2$ chance of reverting f , which is non-negligible

This is a contradiction, because we assumed f was a OWF, therefore this encryption scheme is polynomially secure

□

Answer 2

Given a random oracle H we can construct another random oracle H' to hash to arbitrary lengths by doing the following:

If $n < l$:

$H(s) = h$, then run $H(hs_1..s_n) = h'$. If $n > |s|$, then just pad s

Return $h'_1...h'_n$

If $n > l$:

References

None