Homework 2

Erik Brakke

September 17, 2015

Collaborators: None .

Answer 1

(a) Thm: If $x \equiv y \pmod{p-1}$ then for any $a, a^x \equiv a^y \pmod{p}$

```
Proof: x = (p-1)k_x + r and y = (p-1)k_y + r (by the unique fact about division)

Consider, a^{(p-1)k_x+r} \equiv a^{(p-1)k_y+r} (mod p) (using substitution)

a^{(p-1)k_x+r} \mod p = a^{(p-1)k_y+r} \mod p (fact about congruency)

(a^{(p-1)})^{k_x} \mod p * a^r \mod p = (a^{(p-1)})^{k_x} \mod p * a^r \mod p (property of exponents and proof from HW1 that the order of 'mod' does not matter)

1 * a^r \mod p = 1 * a^r \mod p (by Fermat's little theorm)

a^r \equiv a^r (fact about congruency)

r = x \mod p - 1 = y \mod p - 1 (by definition of 'mod' and our premise)

Therefore, if x \equiv y \pmod{p-1} then for any a, a^x \equiv a^y \pmod{p}
```

(b) Thm: if g is a generator, then $g^x \equiv 1$ if and only if $(p-1) \mid x$

```
Proof: Assume g^x \equiv 1 g^{p-1} \equiv 1 (g \in Z_p^*) by def'n of generator, Fermat's Little Theorm) x = p - 1 (substitution)
Therefore, (p-1) \mid x (definition of divides)
```

```
Now, assume (p-1) \mid x

Let r = x \mod (p-1) (Def'n of 'mod')

r = 0 (def'n of divides)

Consider g^r \pmod p

g^{x \mod p-1} (substitution)

g^0 = 1 (becasue (p-1) \mid x)

Therefore g^x \equiv 1
```

(c) Thm: if g is a generator, and $g^x \equiv g^y$ then $x \equiv y \pmod{p-1}$

Proof: Let's assume $g^x \equiv g^y$ and $x \not\equiv y \pmod{p-1}$

 $x \mod p - 1 \neq y \mod p - 1$ (Fact of congruency) $r_x \neq r_y$ (definition of mod)

This means that $\exists_{r_x,r_y} \ r_x r_y \in (1,...,p-1), r_x \neq r_y \text{ and } g^{r_x} \equiv g^{r_y}$

However, g is a generator, which means that each element in (1, ..., p-1) maps to a distinct element in (1, ..., p-1) (def'n of generator)

Therefore, $g^{r_x} \not\equiv g^{r_y}$ which means $g^x \not\equiv g^y$

This is a contradiction, therefore the statement must be true

(d) Thm: If g is a generator, and $a = g^x \pmod{p}$, and x is even, then a has a square root modulo p

Proof: Because x is even, we can rewrite it as 2y where y is also a number in $\{1,...,p-1\}$ $a=g^{2y} \mod p$

 $a = (g^y \mod p) * (g^y \mod p)$ (Splitting exponents with like bases)

Because g is a generator, we know that $g^y \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$ and $g^y \not\equiv g^x$ (def'n of generator)

Therefore g^y is the square root of a (Knowledge of square roots)

Thm: if a has a square root modulo then x is even

Proof: Let's represent a as a generator g raised to some x mod p. $a=g^x$ mod p

Let's also assume that x is odd

 $g^x \equiv g^y * g^y$ (because we assume that a has a square root) $g^x \equiv g^{2y}$

This means that x = 2y

This is a contradiction, because we assumed x was even

Therefore, if a has a square root, then x must be even.

(e) Thm: If a is a square, then $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1$

Proof: Let's assume there is a generator g such that $g^x \equiv a$

We know that x must be even (by the previous part)

$$x = 2y$$
 for some $y \in \{1, ..., p - 1\}$

Now consider $(g^{2y})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$

 $g^{y(p-1)}$ (2's cancel)

Because $(p-1) \mid y(p-1)$ we know that $g^{y(p-1)} \equiv 1$ (proof from (b))

Therefore, $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1$

Thm: If a is non-square, then $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \not\equiv 1$

Proof: Let's assume there is a generator g such that $g^x \equiv a$

We know that x must be odd (from proof (d))

Now consider $(g^x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$

 $g^{\frac{x}{2}(p-1)}$ (using rules of exponents)

 $(p-1)\nmid \frac{x}{2}(p-1)$ therefore, $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\not\equiv 1$

(f) Thm: If $(g^x)^2 \equiv a$ then $(g^{x+(p-1)/2})^2 \equiv a$

```
Proof: We can rewrite a as g^{2x} (Rules of exponents)
    Now let's rewrite the latter expression:
    q^{2(x+(p-1)/2)} \equiv q^{2x} * q^{p-1} (Rules of exponents)
    This can be rewritten as g^{2x} * 1 (by Fermat's Little Theorm)
    Therefore, a \equiv (q^{x+(p-1)/2})^2
                                                                                                            Thm: q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1
    Proof: Consider (q^{(p-1)/2})^2
    q^{p-1} \equiv 1 (Rules of exponents and Fermat's Little Therom)
    Therefore, we know that g^{(p-1)/2} is the square root of 1
    We know that -q^x \equiv g^{x+(p-1)/2} (From the facts stated)
    Let's assign x = (p-1)/2
    -q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv q^{2(p-1)/2} Therefore, -q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 (Fermat's Little Theorm)
    Therefore, q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 (Multiplication)
                                                                                                            Thm: If b is non-square, then b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1
    Proof: Let g^z \equiv b
    We know that z is odd (by proof (d))
    We can rewrite this as g * g^x \equiv b where x is an even number
    Let a \equiv g^x be a square (because x is even) Now, consider (g * a)^{(p-1)/2}
    a^{(p-1)/2} * a^{(p-1)/2}
    We know that a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 (From part (e)) and a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 (from previous part)
    Therefore, -1 \equiv b^{(p-1)/2}
                                                                                                            (g) Thm: If p \equiv 4 \pmod{4}, and a has a square root, then a^{(p+1)/4} is a square root of a
    Proof: If p \equiv 3 then (p+1)/4 = 2 which is an integer
    Now, let's consider (a^{(p+1)/4})^2
    Rewritten a^{(p+1)/2}
    a * a^{(p-1)/2}
    Therefore, (a^{(p+1)/4})^2 \equiv a (From part(e) a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1)
    Therefore, a^{(p+1)/4} is a square root of a
```

Answer 2

We know the $\Pr[Win] = f(k)$ if the lottery is played one time If a player played p(k) times, where p is a polynomial, then $\Pr[Win] = \Pr[Win(1)ORWin(2)OR...ORWin(k)]$ This can be written as $\Pr[Win] \leq \sum_{1}^{k} f(k)$ (Upper bound) This is also $\Pr[Win] \leq k * f(k)$

And because we know that f(k) is negligible in the size of k, then we can also say k * f(k) is negligible (Definition of negligible)

Therefore, the upper-bound on the probabily of winning is negligible, therefore the chances of winning are still negligible

Answer 3

Thm: If the discrete logarithm problem holds, then given g^{xy} and g^y , it is hard to computer x

Proof: First, let's try to isolate g^x

To do so, we can take the g^y root of both sides

 $(g^{xy})^{1/y} = g^x$

Great! However, in order to get x, we solve $\log_q(g^x)$

This means we have to find the discrete log, which we assumed was hard. Therefore, finding x is a hard problem

Thm: For any poly-time algorithm A, there exists a negligible function η such that, if you generate a k bit p and its generator g and select a random $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, $\Pr[A(p, g, g^{xy} \mod p), g^y \mod p) = x] \leq \eta(k)$

Proof: Let's assume that there does exist a poly-time algorithm A such that $\Pr[A(p, g, g^{xy} \mod p), g^y \mod p) = x] > \eta(k)$

Now, let's use algorithm A to solve the discrete log problem

If we give $A(p, g, g^{xy} \mod p, g^y \mod p)$, then there is a non-negligible chance that A will output x And because we have assumed that A is a poly-time algorithm, this means that the discrete log problem can be solved in poly time

However, we assume that the discrete log problem is hard, and in poly-time we cannot get x from $p, g, g^{xy} \mod p, g^y \mod p$

We have arrived at a contradiction, therefore such an A does not exist

References

None