# Influence of timing cuts in Testbeam data and Simulation

#### **Dissertation**

zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades des Department Physik der Universität Hamburg

vorgelegt von

ELDWAN BRIANNE

aus Saint-Malo, Frankreich

Hamburg 2017

Gutachter/in der Dissertation: Prof. Dr. Erika Garutti

Dr. Katja Krüger

Gutachter/in der Disputation: Dr. Jenny List

????

Datum der Disputation: ?????

Vorsitzender des Prüfungsausschusses: ????

Vorsitzender des Promotionsausschusses: ????

Dekan des Fachbereichs Physik: ?????

#### **Abstract**

Abstract

#### Zusammenfassung

Zusammenfassung

# **Table of contents**

Ta	ble of	f conten	nts	5				
1	Tim	ing stud	dy on ILD detector simulation	7				
	1.1	Simulation and software framework						
		1.1.1	ILCSOFT software framework	7				
		1.1.2	ILD Detector Simulation	8				
	1.2	Recon	struction chain	8				
		1.2.1	Tracking	8				
		1.2.2	Calorimeter Digitization	9				
		1.2.3	Pandora PFA	9				
	1.3	Influer	nce of time cuts on shower properties	9				
		1.3.1	Procedure	9				
		1.3.2	Impact on hadronic shower properties	9				
2	2 Conclusion and Outlook							
3	App	endix		13				
Re	feren	ices		15				

## Chapter 1

### Timing study on ILD detector simulation

Simulation of detector response is an essential part in high energy physics experiment. In Early stage of a project, simulations are done in order to explore and understand the possibilities of a detector design as well as its limitations. Simulation can be use as a way to determine requirements of an experiment to reach certain goals. During data-taking and afterwards, simulations are used model physics processes to compare the expected value from theory to a measured value for various processes. In this chapter, software tools will be briefly introduced. The ILCSOFT framework used for this analysis will be described in ??. The chain starts by the simulation of single kaons  $(K_L^0)$  interaction with the ILD detector model based on GEANT 4. Then simulated events undergoes the full chain reconstruction as explained in ??. The procedure of the analysis (based on Marlin) and its conclusions will be presented in ??.

#### 1.1 Simulation and software framework

#### 1.1.1 ILCSOFT software framework

Various tools developed by the Linear Collider community is regrouped in a common software framework called ILCSOFT [1]. It provides a complete framework that can be used for Monte-Carlo studies and experiments. As an example, physics studies, ILD detector optimisation and performance for the ILC are performed under the ILCSOFT framework.

Most of the tools in the framework use an Event Data Model (EMD) named Linear Collider I/O (LCIO) which provides a reliable and performant solution for simulation and analysis studies [2]. With this tool, various detector concepts and analysis can be shared.

The ILCSOFT framework provides a modular C++ framework named MARLIN for re-

construction and analysis of physics events [3]. MARLIN uses LCIO seamlessly and is configured using XML steering files. MARLIN enables users to develop custom modules for their own and run it along other already existing modules.

The reconstruction and analysis tools used in this analysis are mostly part of ILCSOFT. For this thesis, ILCSOFT v01-17-11 was used for simulation, reconstruction and analysis.

#### 1.1.2 ILD Detector Simulation

The following analysis is using one of the generic ILD detector model (ILD\_o1\_v05) as describe in ?? within the MOKKA framework. Many other models are also considered for ILD as shown in Table 1.1. MOKKA is a front-end to GEANT 4 and provides a realistic geometry of the ILD detector. The MOKKA version used is v08-05 and the GEANT 4 version is 10.01. The simulation is performed by simply using the particle gun provided in GEANT 4 to shoot particles ( $\pi^-$  or  $K_L^0$ ) in different regions of the detector by randomly variating the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  of the gun. To model hadronic showers, the QGSP\_BERT physics list was used. The output of the simulation provides a lcio file containing collections of the tracking hits and simulated calorimeter hits. This file is then reconstructed within MARLIN.

Option	ECAL Technology	HCAL Technology	
ILD_o1_v05	SiW-ECAL	AHCAL	
ILD_o2_v05	SiW-ECAL	SDHCAL	
ILD_o3_v05	Sc-ECAL	AHCAL	

Table 1.1 Considered ILD detector options.

#### 1.2 Reconstruction chain

The reconstruction is done on simulated data in order to implement detector effects. For example, calorimeter hits need to be digitized by implementing threshold and readout effects.

#### 1.2.1 Tracking

The tracking reconstruction is perform on the VTX and TPC hits. First the simulated hits are smeared by the foreseen resolution of  $3 \,\mu m$  for the VTX and  $50 \,\mu m$  in R $\phi$  and  $400 \,\mu m$  in z for the TPC as well takes into account detector geometry and space charge effects (diffusion, EM-distorsions).

In a second step, track fitting is performed on hits based on an inversed Kalman-filter. The seed of the track starts from the outer part of the tracker and adds hits by going back inside the tracker until it reaches the vertex.

#### 1.2.2 Calorimeter Digitization

The calorimeter digitisation is performed on simulated calorimeter hits [4]. It takes account for threshold effect from the electronics, sampling fraction of the calorimeter and the readout technology used. In the considered model of ILD, the SiW-ECAL and AHCAL are used.

In both cases, it uses a silicon-pixel based technology. The digitisation then takes into account the finite number of pixels that can be fired as well as the statistical fluctuations related to pixel readout [5].

#### 1.2.3 Pandora PFA

#### 1.3 Influence of time cuts on shower properties

#### 1.3.1 Procedure

#### 1.3.2 Impact on hadronic shower properties

# Chapter 2

# **Conclusion and Outlook**

**Chapter 3** 

Appendix

# References

[1]

[2] F. G. et al., *LCIO: A Persistency framework for linear collider simulation studies*, 2003. https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0306114.

[3]

[4]

[5] O.Hartbrich, 2015.