Daughters

Enxhi Buxheli and John LaVelle 2/2/2019

% latex table generated in R 3.5.2 by x table 1.8-3 package % Mon Feb 4 17:22:36 2019

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	12	13	33	24	15	4	0	1	0	1
1	13	8	44	30	15	7	3	0	1	0


% latex table generated in R 3.5.2 by x table 1.8-3 package % Mon Feb 4 17:22:36 2019

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	26	35	29	10	1	2
1	36	43	31	9	2	0

% latex table generated in R 3.5.2 by x table 1.8-3 package % Mon Feb 4 17:22:36 2019

	All	Democrats	Republicans	Women	Men
Mean No. Children	2.47	2.40	2.54	1.58	2.66
Mean No. Girls	1.24	1.33	1.16	0.71	1.34
Proportion who have 0 children	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.29	0.08
1 children	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.21	0.07
2 children	0.34	0.32	0.36	0.26	0.36
3 children	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.13	0.26
4 children	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.08	0.15
5 Children	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05
6 Children or More	0.03	0.02	0.03		0.03
Proportion Female	0.17	0.26	0.09	1.00	0.00
Proportion Republican	0.54	0.00	1.00	0.29	0.59
Proportion White	0.91	0.78	0.99	0.93	0.91
Mean Year Born	1932.55	1931.23	1933.43	1938.57	1931.49
N	224.00	103.00	121.00	38.00	186.00

Table 1: Demographics of U.S. Court of Appeal Judges who voted on gender-related cases (1996-2002)



 $_{[1]\ 2674}$ Proportion of Cases Decided in a Feminist Direction

% latex table generated in R 3.5.2 by xtable 1.8-3 package % Mon Feb 4 17:22:37 2019

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
All Judges	1.00	5.00	8.00	11.10	14.00	46.00
Democrats	1.00	5.00	7.00	10.12	13.00	39.00
Republicans	1.00	5.00	9.00	11.94	14.00	46.00

Table 2: Distribution of the number of gender-related cases heard per judge, 1996-2002.

% Table created by stargazer v.5.2.2 by Marek Hlavac, Harvard University. E-mail: hlavac at fas.harvard.edu % Date and time: Mon, Feb 04, 2019 - 17:22:37

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) * republican + as.factor(child), data = judge.means, weights = judge.means$no cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.3365 -0.3898 0.0000 0.4128 1.5580

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) $0.457628 \ 0.044297 \ 10.331 < 2e-16 ** I(girls > 0) TRUE 0.080231 \ 0.047784 \ 1.679 \ 0.0946$.

republican -0.103252 0.045306 -2.279 0.0237

as.factor(child)1 -0.087289 0.052816 -1.653 0.0999 .

as.factor(child)2 -0.037222 0.046914 -0.793 0.4284

as.factor(child)3 -0.046904 0.050958 -0.920 0.3584

as.factor(child)4 -0.039967 0.060642 -0.659 0.5106

as.factor(child)5 -0.005645 0.068286 -0.083 0.9342

as.factor(child)6 0.139921 0.117320 1.193 0.2344

as.factor(child)7 -0.061668 0.140579 -0.439 0.6614

as.factor(child)8 -0.224663 0.250637 -0.896 0.3711

as.factor(child)9 -0.037858 0.158598 -0.239 0.8116

 $I(girls>0) TRUE: republican -0.043277 \ 0.054270 \ -0.797 \ 0.4261$

— Signif. codes: 0 '' **0.001** '' 0.01 " 0.05 '' 0.1 '' 1

Residual standard error: 0.6019 on 211 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.1532, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1051 F-statistic: 3.182 on 12 and 211 DF, p-value: 0.0003351

Table 3:

	O	-	es results, g				
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
1 Girl	0.09**				0.09**		
	(0.04)				(0.04)		
2 Girls	0.05				0.05		
	(0.04)				(0.04)		
3 Girls	0.06				0.08		
	(0.06)				(0.07)		
l Girls	-0.35						
	(0.46)						
6 Girls	0.27						
	(0.17)						
At Least 1 Girl		0.07^{**}	0.09**	0.07^{*}		0.07^{**}	0.09**
		(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)		(0.04)	(0.04)
Child	-0.08	-0.07	-0.07	-0.05			
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.06)			
2 Children	-0.04	-0.05	-0.11^*	-0.07	0.04	0.03	-0.04
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)
3 Children	-0.04	-0.05	-0.10^*	-0.11^*	0.04	0.02	-0.03
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.06)
Children	-0.04	-0.06	-0.14^*	-0.09	0.04	0.02	-0.06
	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.07)
Children	-0.04	-0.03	-0.09	-0.02			
	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)			
6 Children	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.10			
	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.11)			
' Children	0.43	0.01	-0.11	-0.06			
	(0.48)	(0.15)	(0.15)	(0.13)			
3 Children	0.13	-0.30	-0.25	-0.33			
	(0.53)	(0.27)	(0.25)	(0.23)			
Children	-0.17	0.04	-0.14	-0.02			
	(0.24)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.15)			
Republican			-0.15***	-0.17***			-0.15***
			(0.04)	(0.03)			(0.04)
Age at Investiture			0.01**	0.004			0.004
			(0.002)	(0.002)			(0.003)
Catholic			-0.08**	-0.08**			-0.06
			(0.03)	(0.03)			(0.04)
Voman			-0.08*	-0.07^*			-0.05
			(0.05)	(0.04)			(0.05)
African American			-0.06	-0.06			-0.04
			(0.07)	(0.07)			(0.08)
Hispanic			-0.11	-0.10			-0.17
			(0.11)	(0.10)			(0.12)
Constant	0.39***	0.39***	0.30**	0.54***	0.31***	0.32***	0.29^{*}
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.13)	(0.14)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.16)
V	$224^{'}$	224	161	161	182	182	130
R-squared	0.06	0.04	0.21	0.42	0.04	0.03	0.19
Adj. R-squared	-0.01	-0.01	0.12	0.30	0.01	0.01	0.13

 $^{^{***}}p < .01; ^{**}p < .05; ^{*}p < .1$

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) + as.factor(child), data = rep.means, weights = rep.means$no cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.19419 -0.35846 0.05667 0.43992 1.29425

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) $0.295663\ 0.055643\ 5.314\ 7.46e-07^{***}\ I(girls > 0)$ TRUE $0.070163\ 0.041881\ 1.675\ 0.0973$.

as.factor(child)2 -0.004942 0.059040 -0.084 0.9335

as.factor(child)3 -0.007370 0.063081 -0.117 0.9072

as.factor(child)4 -0.065210 0.073496 -0.887 0.3773

— Signif. codes: 0 '' **0.001** " 0.01 " 0.05 '' 0.1 " 1

Residual standard error: 0.5768 on 92 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.03803, Adjusted R-squared: -0.003796 F-statistic: 0.9092 on 4 and 92 DF, p-value: 0.462

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) + as.factor(child), data = dem.means, weights = dem.means$no_cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.1731 -0.3931 0.0081 0.3267 1.6014

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) $0.35333 \ 0.05542 \ 6.375 \ 1.09e-08 ** I(girls > 0) TRUE \ 0.04160 \ 0.05374 \ 0.774 \ 0.4411$

as.factor(child)2 0.10176 0.05880 1.731 0.0874 .

 $as.factor(child) 3\ 0.08400\ 0.06315\ 1.330\ 0.1873$

as.factor(child)4 0.18985 0.08110 2.341 0.0217

— Signif. codes: 0 '' **0.001** " 0.01 " 0.05 '' 0.1 '' 1

Residual standard error: 0.574 on 80 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.09221, Adjusted R-squared: 0.04683 F-statistic: 2.032 on 4 and 80 DF, p-value: 0.09781

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) * woman + as.factor(child), data = judge.means, weights = judge.means$no_cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.58075 -0.40588 0.06165 0.45383 1.83482

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) $0.37673\ 0.04022\ 9.366 < 2e-16 ** I(girls > 0) TRUE\ 0.08135\ 0.03738\ 2.176\ 0.0306$

woman 0.04796 0.05191 0.924 0.3566

as.factor(child)1 -0.07771 0.05622 -1.382 0.1684

as.factor(child)2 -0.03943 0.05034 -0.783 0.4343

as.factor(child)3 -0.04389 0.05446 -0.806 0.4212

as.factor(child)4 -0.05221 0.06480 -0.806 0.4214

as.factor(child)5 -0.02261 0.07293 -0.310 0.7569

as.factor(child)6 0.07317 0.12416 0.589 0.5563

as.factor(child)7 0.01811 0.14874 0.122 0.9032

as.factor(child)8 -0.29141 0.26625 -1.095 0.2750

as.factor(child)9 0.04192 0.16798 0.250 0.8032

I(girls > 0)TRUE:woman -0.04415 0.07000 -0.631 0.5289

— Signif. codes: 0 '' **0.001** '' 0.01 '' 0.05 '' 0.1 '' 1

Residual standard error: 0.64 on 211 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.04241, Adjusted R-squared: -0.01205 F-statistic: 0.7787 on 12 and 211 DF, p-value: 0.6721

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) + as.factor(child), data = men.means, weights = men.means no_cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.59762 -0.36484 0.06268 0.48662 1.80911

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

 $(\text{Intercept}) \ 0.30473 \ 0.05601 \ 5.441 \ 2.09 \text{e-} 07 \ ** \ \textit{I(girls} > 0) TRUE \ 0.08081 \ 0.04033 \ 2.004 \ 0.0469$

as.factor(child)2 0.02838 0.05489 0.517 0.6059

```
as.factor(child)3 0.03578 0.05773 0.620 0.5364
as.factor(child)4 0.02352 0.06846 0.344 0.7316
— Signif. codes: 0 '' 0.001 '' 0.01 " 0.05 '' 0.1 '' 1
```

Residual standard error: 0.6294 on 151 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.03208, Adjusted R-squared: 0.006439 F-statistic: 1.251 on 4 and 151 DF, p-value: 0.2919

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) + as.factor(child), data = women.means, weights = women.means no_cases)$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.16894 -0.29168 -0.06597 0.30352 1.50994

```
Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value \Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 0.33606 0.06323 5.315 2.86e-05 *** I(girls > 0)TRUE 0.04751 0.08239 0.577 0.570 as.factor(child)2 0.07641 0.09179 0.832 0.415 as.factor(child)3 -0.01343 0.10104 -0.133 0.895 as.factor(child)4 0.01178 0.12520 0.094 0.926 — Signif. codes: 0 '' 0.001 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 "
```

Residual standard error: 0.6082 on 21 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.08251, Adjusted R-squared: -0.09225 F-statistic: 0.4721 on 4 and 21 DF, p-value: 0.7556

Call: $lm(formula = lib_vote_share \sim I(girls > 0) + as.factor(child), data = subset(men.means, republican == 1), weights = men.meansno_cases[which(men.meansrepublican == 1)])$

Weighted Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.20258 -0.35211 0.03609 0.49800 1.28933

```
Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value \Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 0.275709 0.066839 4.125 8.6e-05 *** I(girls > 0)TRUE 0.077840 0.044824 1.737 0.0861 . as.factor(child)2 0.016570 0.066816 0.248 0.8047 as.factor(child)3 0.008013 0.070411 0.114 0.9097 as.factor(child)4 -0.057920 0.081932 -0.707 0.4815 — Signif. codes: 0 '' 0.001 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 " 0.01 "
```

Residual standard error: 0.5897 on 85 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.04617, Adjusted R-squared: 0.00128 F-statistic: 1.029 on 4 and 85 DF, p-value: 0.3974

% Table created by stargazer v.5.2.2 by Marek Hlavac, Harvard University. E-mail: hlavac at fas.harvard.edu % Date and time: Mon, Feb 04, 2019 - 17:22:38

Table 4: Weighted least squares results. Outcome is judges' proportion of feminist votes on gender-related cases. All models include fixed effects for total number of children and use weights based on the number of cases heard by each judge.

	Share of Votes in Feminist Direction						
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5		
At Least 1 Girl	0.07^{*}	0.04	0.08**	0.05	0.08*		
	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.08)	(0.04)		
2 Children	-0.005	0.10^{*}	0.03	0.08	0.02		
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.07)		
3 Children	-0.01	0.08	0.04	-0.01	0.01		
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.10)	(0.07)		
4 Children	-0.07	0.19**	0.02	0.01	-0.06		
	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.13)	(0.08)		
Constant	0.30***	0.35***	0.30***	0.34***	0.28***		
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.07)		
N	97	85	156	26	90		
R-squared	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.05		
Adj. R-squared	-0.004	0.05	0.01	-0.09	0.001		

^{***}p < .01; **p < .05; *p < .1