

Daughters

Enxhi Buxheli and John LaVelle

2/2/2019

Table 2: Demographics of U.S. Courts of Appeals Judges Who Voted on Gender-Related Cases, 1996-2002

	All	Democrats	Republicans	Women	Men
Mean Number of Children	2.47	2.40	2.54	1.58	2.66
Mean Number of Girls	1.24	1.33	1.16	0.71	1.34
Proportion Who Have 0 Children	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.29	0.08
1 Child	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.21	0.07
2 Children	0.34	0.32	0.36	0.26	0.36
3 Children	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.13	0.26
4 Children	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.08	0.15
5 Children	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05
6 Children or More	0.03	0.02	0.03	-	0.03
Proportion Female	0.17	0.26	0.09	-	-
Proportion Republican	0.54	-	-	0.29	0.59
Proportion White	0.91	0.78	0.99	0.93	0.91
Mean Year Born	1932.55	1931.23	1933.43	1938.57	1931.49
N	224.00	103.00	121.00	38.00	186.00

Table 3: Distribution of the Number of Gender-Related Cases Heard per Judge, 1996-2002

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
All Judges	1	5	8	11.10	14	46
Democrats	1	5	7	10.12	13	39
Republicans	1	5	9	11.94	14	46

“Weighted least squares results, gender cases only. Outcome is proportion of feminist votes. Models 1–4 are for all judges, while Models 5–8 are for judges with 1–4 children. (No judge among those with 1–4 children had four girls.) All models include fixed effects for number of children and use weights based on the number of cases heard by each judge.”

Table 4: Weighted Least Squares Results, Gender-Related Cases Only

Table 4:								
	All Judges							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1 Girl	0.09** (0.04)				0.09** (0.04)			
2 Girls	0.05 (0.04)				0.05 (0.04)			
3 Girls	0.06 (0.06)				0.08 (0.07)			
4 Girls	−0.35 (0.46)							
5 Girls	0.27 (0.17)							
At Least 1 Girl		0.07** (0.03)	0.09** (0.04)	0.07* (0.04)		0.07** (0.04)	0.09** (0.04)	0.07* (0.04)
Republican			−0.15*** (0.04)	−0.17*** (0.03)			−0.15*** (0.04)	−0.17*** (0.04)
Age at Investiture			0.01** (0.002)	0.004 (0.002)			0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)
Catholic			−0.08** (0.03)	−0.08** (0.03)			−0.06 (0.04)	−0.05 (0.03)
Woman			−0.08* (0.05)	−0.07* (0.04)			−0.05 (0.05)	−0.04 (0.05)
African American			−0.06 (0.07)	−0.06 (0.07)			−0.04 (0.08)	−0.05 (0.08)
Hispanic			−0.11 (0.11)	−0.10 (0.10)			−0.17 (0.12)	−0.17 (0.11)
N	224	224	161	161	182	182	130	130
R ²	0.06	0.04	0.21	0.42	0.04	0.03	0.19	0.39
Adjusted R ²	−0.01	−0.01	0.12	0.30	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.28

*p < .1; **p < .05; ***p < .01

“Weighted least squares results, gender cases only. Outcome is proportion of feminist votes. Models 1–4 are for all judges, while Models 5–8 are for judges with 1–4 children. (No judge among those with 1–4 children had four girls.) All models include fixed effects for number of children and use weights based on the number of cases heard by each judge.”

THIS IS THE ONE WITH THE DATA THAT WE CHANGED UP! SO THIS IS THE CASE WHERE WE ONLY USE THE DATA FOR JUDGES WITH MORE THAN 5 CASES IN THE DATA

Table 4: Weighted Least Squares Results, Gender-Related Cases Only

Table 4:								
	All Judges							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1 Girl	0.08*				0.08**			
	(0.04)				(0.04)			
2 Girls	0.03				0.03			
	(0.04)				(0.04)			
3 Girls	0.05				0.09			
	(0.07)				(0.08)			
4 Girls	−0.21							
	(0.27)							
5 Girls	0.28							
	(0.18)							
At Least 1 Girl		0.06	0.10**	0.07*		0.06*	0.09**	0.08*
		(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)		(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Republican			−0.14***	−0.17***			−0.15***	−0.18***
			(0.04)	(0.04)			(0.04)	(0.04)
Age at Investiture			0.01**	0.005*			0.004	0.004
			(0.003)	(0.002)			(0.003)	(0.003)
Catholic			−0.08**	−0.07**			−0.05	−0.05
			(0.03)	(0.03)			(0.04)	(0.04)
Woman			−0.08	−0.08			−0.06	−0.07
			(0.05)	(0.05)			(0.05)	(0.05)
African American			−0.04	−0.05			−0.02	−0.05
			(0.08)	(0.07)			(0.09)	(0.08)
Hispanic			−0.10	−0.11			−0.17	−0.19*
			(0.11)	(0.10)			(0.12)	(0.11)
N	160	160	116	116	129	129	91	91
R ²	0.06	0.04	0.24	0.48	0.04	0.03	0.23	0.46
Adjusted R ²	−0.02	−0.02	0.12	0.32	−0.004	−0.004	0.13	0.30

*p < .1; **p < .05; ***p < .01

“Logit and ordered logit results, gender cases only. Outcome is whether judge in a case votes in a feminist direction (Columns 1–5) or in a conservative, moderate, or liberal direction (Column 6). All models include fixed effects for total number of children and Columns 3–6 include circuit and year fixed effects. Column 5 additionally includes standard errors clustered at the case level

Table 5: Logit and Ordered Logit Results, Gender-Related Cases Only

Table 5:					
	progressive_vote				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1 Girl	0.38*** (0.13)				
2 Girls	0.20 (0.14)				
3 Girls	0.35 (0.23)				
At Least 1 Girl		0.32*** (0.12)	0.40** (0.16)	0.42** (0.17)	0.42** (0.17)
Republican			−0.70*** (0.15)	−0.68*** (0.15)	−0.68*** (0.16)
Age at Investiture			0.02 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)
Catholic			−0.19 (0.14)	−0.21 (0.14)	−0.21 (0.14)
Woman			−0.07 (0.21)	−0.10 (0.21)	−0.10 (0.21)
African American			−0.18 (0.31)	−0.20 (0.31)	−0.20 (0.32)
Hispanic			−0.65 (0.45)	−0.65 (0.45)	−0.65 (0.51)
Employment				−1.54*** (0.50)	−1.54 (1.10)
Pregnancy				−1.73*** (0.55)	−1.73 (1.16)
Reproductive				−1.43 (1.16)	−1.43 (10.56)
Title IX				−0.29 (0.69)	−0.29 (2.46)
N	1,974	1,974	1,507	1,507	1,507
Log Likelihood	−1,319.68	−1,320.98	−941.41	−932.03	−932.03
AIC	2,653.37	2,651.97	1,938.81	1,928.06	1,928.06

* p < .1; ** p < .05; *** p < .01

Table 6: omitted from results

Table 7: Weighted Least Squares Results

Table 7: Weighted least squares results. Outcome is judges' proportion of feminist votes on gender-related cases. All models include fixed effects for total number of children and use weights based on the number of cases heard by each judge.

	Share of Votes in Feminist Direction				
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
At Least 1 Girl	0.07* (0.04)	0.04 (0.05)	0.08** (0.04)	0.05 (0.08)	0.08* (0.04)
2 Children	-0.005 (0.06)	0.10* (0.06)	0.03 (0.05)	0.08 (0.09)	0.02 (0.07)
3 Children	-0.01 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)	0.04 (0.06)	-0.01 (0.10)	0.01 (0.07)
4 Children	-0.07 (0.07)	0.19** (0.08)	0.02 (0.07)	0.01 (0.13)	-0.06 (0.08)
Constant	0.30*** (0.06)	0.35*** (0.06)	0.30*** (0.06)	0.34*** (0.06)	0.28*** (0.07)
N	97	85	156	26	90
R-squared	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.05
Adj. R-squared	-0.004	0.05	0.01	-0.09	0.001

***p < .01; **p < .05; *p < .1

Table 8

Table 8:

	Liberal Judge-Vote		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
1 Girl	0.161** (0.080)	0.161** (0.068)	0.159** (0.069)
1 Child	-0.119* (0.067)		
Republican			-0.037 (0.069)
Constant	0.393*** (0.037)	0.274*** (0.047)	0.292*** (0.059)
N	46	21	21
R-squared	0.097	0.230	0.242
Adj. R-squared	0.055	0.189	0.158

*** p < .01; ** p < .05; * p < .1

Table 9: Proportion of Girls (Conditional on Number of Children) for U.S. Courts of Appeals Judges Participating in Gender-Related Cases, 1996-2002

	0 Girls	1 Girl	2 Girls	3 Girls	4 Girls	5 Girls	0 Girls	1 Girl	2 Girls	3 Girls	4 Girls
0	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	0.46	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.15	0.48	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.50	0.18	0.00	0.00
3	0.08	0.46	0.33	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.37	0.37	0.13	0.00
4	0.07	0.07	0.53	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.27	0.60	0.07	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.14	0.00	0.43	0.29	0.14
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.67	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00

REMOVED TABLES:

Table 1: Number of Children and Girls for U.S. Courts of Appeals Judges Participating in Gender-Related Cases, 1996-2002

Count	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	N
Number of Children											
Democrats	12	13	33	24	15	4	-	1	-	1	103
Republicans	13	8	44	30	15	7	3	-	1	-	121
Number of Girls											
Democrats	26	35	29	10	1	2	-	-	-	-	103
Republicans	36	43	31	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	121

Figure 1: Distribution of the Proportion of Cases Decided in a Feminist Direction out of All Gender-Related Cases Decided, 1996-2002

