

**Topic:** Distributed Storage over the Network: An Overview of Load-balancing Techniques

In past courses (e.g., CSC 361) and personal projects [1], several group members implemented a multi-client web server with a single-server architecture. However, as the number of clients issuing requests within the same timeframe increases, latency can rise significantly. This highlights a key limitation of a single-server approach in terms of performance, scalability, and response time. A more effective solution is to distribute requests across multiple servers. However, simply adding more servers is insufficient without an efficient method to balance the load evenly among them—this is where load-balancing (LB) techniques become essential. Large companies like Facebook and Google use LB techniques to prevent service disruptions and maintain high performance for their users [2, 3]. Users can even access LB solutions from companies like Amazon [4] and Microsoft [5]. Thus, it is clear that LB is a key factor in maintaining system performance.

Server LB works to distribute requests across servers; a LB algorithm is used to determine which server handles a given request [6]. Two categories of LB include (a) static LB and (b) dynamic LB [6]. In static LB, requests are distributed to servers based on a predetermined scheme, independent of the system's state [6]. In round robin DNS—a static LB algorithm—an authoritative nameserver returns different A records by cycling through a predefined list for a domain in response to each DNS query [7]. That said, DNS caching can potentially lead to overloading a single server with requests [7]. In dynamic LB, traffic is distributed taking into account the state of servers [6]. An example of a dynamic LB algorithm includes least connections, which sends traffic to servers with the fewest open connections [7]. That said, the least connections technique makes the potentially limiting assumption that each connection places the same amount of processing load on a server [7]. Moreover, there are hybrid LB techniques that aim to address some limitations of static and dynamic LB [8]; these approaches have several benefits (e.g., higher scalability), but they tend to be complex in nature [8].

As discussed above, each LB technique has their own limitations. Thus, for our project—which will be *independent* of our previous projects—we will research various LB techniques for distributed storage over the network to gain a better understanding of the tradeoffs between different techniques. To gather insights into LB techniques, we will implement a small distributed storage system that makes use of LB. We plan to implement two simple LB techniques. To evaluate our implementation, we will perform network measurements to compare various network metrics of the two LB approaches (e.g., time to service a request). We plan to make use of resources like Docker to support our implementation.

**Schedule:**

Feb. 9 - Feb. 23	Preliminary research into LB techniques; outline implementation; submit first biweekly update
Feb. 23 - Mar. 9	Continued research; begin implementation; submit midterm update
Mar. 9 - Mar. 23	Continue implementation; begin compiling research into report; begin slides for final presentation; submit third biweekly update
Mar. 23 - Apr. 6	Finish implementation; perform analysis (e.g. measurement); complete and submit final presentation
Apr. 6 - Apr. 11	Complete and submit the written report

**Website:** <https://csc466-project.haln.dev/>

## References

- [1] N. Hal, *hn275/catapi*. (Sep. 09, 2024). Go. Accessed: Feb. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/hn275/catapi>
- [2] U. Naseer, L. Niccolini, U. Pant, A. Frindell, R. Dasineni, and T. A. Benson, "Zero Downtime Release: Disruption-free Load Balancing of a Multi-Billion User Website," in *Proceedings of the Annual conference of the ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communication on the applications, technologies, architectures, and protocols for computer communication*, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2020, pp. 529–541. doi: 10.1145/3387514.3405885.
- [3] Betsy Beyer, Chris Jones, Niall Richard Murphy, Jennifer Petoff, and Liz Porter, "Site Reliability Engineering: How Google Runs Production Systems," Ascent Audio, 2021.
- [4] "Load Balancer - Elastic Load Balancing (ELB) - AWS," Amazon Web Services, Inc. Accessed: Feb. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://aws.amazon.com/elasticloadbalancing/>
- [5] mbender-ms, "What is Azure Load Balancer? - Azure Load Balancer." Accessed: Feb. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/load-balancer/load-balancer-overview>
- [6] "What is load balancing? | How load balancers work." Accessed: Jan. 30, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cloudflare.com/learning/performance/what-is-load-balancing/>
- [7] "Types of load balancing algorithms." Accessed: Jan. 30, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cloudflare.com/learning/performance/types-of-load-balancing-algorithms/>
- [8] A. S. Milani and N. J. Navimipour, "Load balancing mechanisms and techniques in the cloud environments: Systematic literature review and future trends," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 71, pp. 86–98, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2016.06.003.