

# Econ 710 Quarter 1: Problem set 1

Emily Case

February 2, 2021

## Exercise 1

Suppose  $(Y, X')'$  is a random vector with

$$Y = X'\beta_0 \cdot U$$

where  $\mathbb{E}[U|X] = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[XX']$  is invertible, and  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2 + ||X||^2] < \infty$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\{Y_i, X'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a random sample from the distribution of  $(Y, X')'$ , where  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X'_i$  is invertible and let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the OLS estimator:

$$\hat{\beta} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i$$

- (i) **Interpret the entries of  $\beta_0$  in this model.** Here, when we take the derivative with respect to  $X$ , we will get a value/slope that is affected by the unobservables as well. Therefore  $\beta_0$  is the value of the slope if it were unaffected by unobservable factors.
- (ii) **Show that  $Y = X'\beta_0 + \tilde{U}$  where  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{U}|X] = 0$ .**  
Notice that  $\tilde{U} = Y - X'\beta_0 = X'\beta_0 \cdot U - X'\beta_0 = X'\beta_0(U - 1)$ . So, when  $\tilde{U} = X'\beta_0(U - 1)$ , the statement is true. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{U}|X] &= \mathbb{E}[X'\beta_0(U - 1)|X] \\ &= X'\beta_0 \mathbb{E}[U|X] - X'\beta_0 \\ &= X'\beta_0 - X'\beta_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) **Show that  $\mathbb{E}[X(Y - X'\beta)] = 0$  if and only if  $\beta = \beta_0$  and use this to derive OLS as a method of moments estimator.**

---

I worked on this Problem set with Sarah Bass, Michael Nattinger, Alex von Hafften, and Danny Edgel.

First, let  $\beta = \beta_0$ . Then<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X(Y - X'\beta)] &= \mathbb{E}[X(Y - X'\beta_0)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[XY - XX'\beta_0] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0 \cdot U] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0 \cdot U|X]] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0 \mathbb{E}[U|X]] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $\mathbb{E}[X(Y - X'\beta)] = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X(X'\beta_0 + \tilde{U})] - \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta] \\
\mathbb{E}[XX'\beta] &= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] + \mathbb{E}[X\tilde{U}] \\
\mathbb{E}[XX'\beta] &= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X\tilde{U}|X]] \\
\mathbb{E}[XX'\beta] &= \mathbb{E}[XX'\beta_0] \\
&\iff \beta = \beta_0
\end{aligned}$$

To construct the estimator:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(Y_i - X_i'\hat{\beta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \hat{\beta} \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i - \hat{\beta} \\
\hat{\beta} &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) **Show that the OLS estimator is conditionally unbiased.**

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $\mathbb{E}[XX']$  is invertible

We need to show that  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|X_1, \dots, X_n] = \beta_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|X_1, \dots, X_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n\right] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i|X_i] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbb{E}[X_i' \beta_0 + \tilde{U}|X_i] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i (X_i' \beta_0 + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{U}|X_i]) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \beta_0 \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'\right) \beta_0 \\
&= \beta_0
\end{aligned}$$

(v) **Show that the OLS estimator is consistent.** By the law of large numbers,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X X']$

and  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X Y]$ . We know that  $\mathbb{E}[X X']$  is invertible. Now, by the continuous mapping theorem, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} &\rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X X']^{-1} \mathbb{E}[X Y] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X X']^{-1} \mathbb{E}[X (X' \beta_0 + \tilde{U})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X X']^{-1} \mathbb{E}[X X' \beta_0 + X \tilde{U}] \\
&= \beta_0 + \mathbb{E}[X \tilde{U}] \\
&= \beta_0
\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 2

Let  $X$  be a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[X^4] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] > 0$ . Furthermore, let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a random sample from the distribution of  $X$ .

(i) **Which of the following four statistics can you use the law of large numbers and continuous mapping theorem to show convergence in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?**

(a)  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3$

Since the fourth moment is finite, the third moment is also finite, so  $\mathbb{E}[|X^3|] < \infty$ . Now we can apply the law of large numbers:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3 \rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X_i^3]$$

(b)  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$

We cannot use CMT here, because some distributions don't even have a maximum (i.e. Normal distributions). It also doesn't have any kind of sample average in it.

(c)  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}$

LLN<sup>2</sup> gives us that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3 \rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X^3]$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow^p \mathbb{E}[X^2]$ . Then using CMT,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \rightarrow^p \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^3]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}$$

(d)  $1\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > 0\right\}$

Notice that if  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , we have a discontinuity in the function. We don't know for sure what  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  is, so we can't use CMT.

(ii) **For which of the following three statistics can you use the central limit theorem and continuous mapping to show convergence in distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?**

(a)  $W_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_1^2])$

Since we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < \infty$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1^2) < \infty$  and we can use Lindeberg-Levy, it will converge in distribution to  $N(0, \text{Var}(X_1^2))$ .

(b)  $W_n^2$

Since  $f(x) = x^2$  is continuous everywhere,

$$W_n^2 \rightarrow^d N(0, \text{Var}(X_i^2)^2)$$

(c)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - \overline{X_n^2})$  simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 = 0$$

so we cannot use CLT.

(iii) **Show that  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \rightarrow^p 1$  if  $X \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$**

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |X_i - 1| \leq \epsilon) &= \Pr(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} 1 - X_i \leq \epsilon) \\ &= \Pr(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i < 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X_i < 1 - \epsilon \forall X_i) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i < 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - (1 - \epsilon)^n \end{aligned} \rightarrow 1$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Using similar logic to part a.

(iv) **Show that  $\Pr(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > M \rightarrow 1$  for any  $M \geq 0$  if  $X \sim \text{exponential}(1)$**

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > M\right) &= 1 - \Pr\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \leq M\right) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X_i \leq M \forall X_i) \\ &= 1 - (1 - e^{-M})^n \end{aligned} \rightarrow 1$$

### Exercise 3

Suppose that  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an i.i.d. sequence of  $N(0,1)$  random variables. Let  $W$  be independent of  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $\Pr(W = 1) = \Pr(W = -1) = 1/2$ . Let  $Y_i = X_i W$ .

(i) Show that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow^d N(0,1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . First, note that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \text{Var}(X_i) = 1 < \infty$ . This meets the conditions for Lindeberg-Levy:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_1]) \rightarrow^d N(0, \text{Var}(X_1)) = N(0,1)$$

(ii) Show that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \rightarrow^d N(0,1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . There are two cases, either  $Y_i = X_i$  ( $W=1$ ) in which the answer is the same as (i), or  $Y_i = -X_i$  ( $W=-1$ ). Normal distributions are symmetric, and so  $Y_i = -X_i$  will have the same distribution. In either case,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \rightarrow^d N(0,1)$$

(iii) Show that  $\text{Cov}(X_i, Y_i) = 0$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i W] = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_i, Y_i) &= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(Y_i - \mathbb{E}[Y_i])] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_i W - \mathbb{E}[X_i W])] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_i W)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i^2 W] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] \mathbb{E}[W] \\ &= 1 * 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Does  $V := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i, Y_i)' \rightarrow^d N(0, I_2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?  $W$  makes  $V$  not continuous, which is necessary, so it does not converge to  $N(0, I_2)$ .

(v) How does this exercise relate to the Cramer-Wold device introduced in lecture 2? We showed individual convergence in parts (i) and (ii), but the joint limit distribution was not possible.