

**View by: Overall****Response: All**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Alabama</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>85.2</b>
95% CI	11.7 - 13.5	0.6 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.6	84.3 - 86.2
n	1217	52	101	5373
<b>Birmingham-Hoover, AL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.5</b>	*	<b>1.4</b>	<b>85.4</b>
95% CI	10.6 - 14.4		0.6 - 2.2	83.3 - 87.5
n	221		20	1039
<b>Tuscaloosa, AL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.4</b>	*	*	<b>87.6</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 14.5			84.5 - 90.8
n	85			446
<b>Alaska</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.5</b>	*	<b>1.6</b>	<b>90.0</b>
95% CI	6.2 - 8.8		1.0 - 2.2	88.4 - 91.5
n	319		70	2785
<b>Anchorage, AK Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.7</b>	*	*	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	5.8 - 9.6			87.9 - 92.3
n	109			894
<b>Arizona</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>88.4</b>
95% CI	8.9 - 9.9	0.8 - 1.3	1.0 - 1.4	87.8 - 89.0
n	2008	109	228	13123
<b>Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>88.5</b>
95% CI	8.8 - 10.1	0.7 - 1.3	0.8 - 1.3	87.7 - 89.2

	Yes	Yes, pregnancy-related	No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes	No
n	1142	64	122	7819
<b>Arkansas</b>				
Percent (%)	10.9	*	0.8	87.4
95% CI	9.7 - 12.1		0.5 - 1.1	86.0 - 88.7
n	980		72	4226
<b>Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	7.6	*	*	90.8
95% CI	5.2 - 10.0			88.0 - 93.6
n	109			666
<b>Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	10.2	*	*	88.3
95% CI	7.9 - 12.5			85.6 - 91.0
n	206			993
<b>California</b>				
Percent (%)	9.8	1.5	3.5	85.2
95% CI	9.0 - 10.7	1.2 - 1.9	3.0 - 4.0	84.2 - 86.1
n	969	111	328	7939
<b>Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim, CA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	9.6	1.3	4.0	85.1
95% CI	8.0 - 11.3	0.7 - 1.9	2.9 - 5.1	83.1 - 87.0
n	197	21	83	1801
<b>Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Metropolitan Division</b>				
Percent (%)	6.3	*	4.1	88.2
95% CI	4.1 - 8.5		2.2 - 6.0	85.1 - 91.4
n	45		29	616
<b>Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	13.1	*	3.8	81.4
95% CI	10.8 - 15.4		2.4 - 5.1	78.6 - 84.2
n	144		45	820

	Yes	Yes, pregnancy-related	No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes	No
<b>Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade, CA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	9.3	*	3.5	85.4
95% CI	7.0 - 11.5		1.9 - 5.1	82.5 - 88.2
n	100		33	784
<b>Colorado</b>				
Percent (%)	7.0	0.6	2.2	90.2
95% CI	6.4 - 7.5	0.4 - 0.8	1.9 - 2.6	89.5 - 90.8
n	895	52	231	8611
<b>Colorado Springs, CO Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	6.6	*	1.5	91.6
95% CI	5.1 - 8.0		0.8 - 2.2	90.0 - 93.2
n	99		24	1064
<b>Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	7.2	0.5	2.8	89.4
95% CI	6.4 - 8.1	0.3 - 0.8	2.2 - 3.4	88.4 - 90.4
n	418	21	131	4104
<b>Connecticut</b>				
Percent (%)	8.4	0.9	1.2	89.5
95% CI	7.8 - 9.1	0.6 - 1.2	0.9 - 1.4	88.7 - 90.2
n	1211	70	149	9130
<b>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
Percent (%)	8.3	1.0	1.3	89.4
95% CI	7.3 - 9.3	0.5 - 1.5	0.9 - 1.7	88.2 - 90.6
n	436	25	56	3121
<b>Delaware</b>				
Percent (%)	9.6	1.9	2.2	86.3
95% CI	8.6 - 10.6	1.0 - 2.8	1.6 - 2.7	84.9 - 87.8
n	569	38	112	3409
<b>District of Columbia</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>89.8</b>
95% CI	7.2 - 9.0	0.2 - 0.7	1.2 - 2.1	88.8 - 90.8
n	451	23	84	3303
<b>Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>90.4</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 8.2	0.2 - 0.7	1.3 - 2.1	89.5 - 91.3
n	987	42	189	7301
<b>Florida</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>88.2</b>
95% CI	7.9 - 9.3	0.8 - 1.5	1.7 - 2.5	87.3 - 89.0
n	3117	206	507	18172
<b>Crestview-Fort Walton Beach-Destin, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.0</b>	*	*	<b>83.4</b>
95% CI	8.2 - 13.8			78.6 - 88.2
n	88			474
<b>Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.5</b>	*	<b>2.1</b>	<b>84.8</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 12.2		0.9 - 3.3	80.5 - 89.0
n	96		19	613
<b>Gainesville, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.2</b>	*	*	<b>86.5</b>
95% CI	7.6 - 16.7			81.9 - 91.2
n	74			442
<b>Jacksonville, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.3</b>	*	*	<b>85.9</b>
95% CI	8.3 - 14.4			82.6 - 89.3
n	231			1523
<b>Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.2</b>	*	<b>2.4</b>	<b>89.5</b>
95% CI	5.4 - 9.1		1.3 - 3.5	87.4 - 91.6
n	138		36	1218
<b>North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>5.7</b>	*	<b>2.0</b>	<b>92.0</b>
95% CI	4.0 - 7.4		0.9 - 3.0	90.0 - 94.0
n	77		19	665
<b>Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	*	<b>1.9</b>	<b>87.3</b>
95% CI	7.6 - 11.3		0.9 - 2.8	85.1 - 89.5
n	184		36	1215
<b>Panama City, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.8</b>	*	*	<b>86.2</b>
95% CI	8.1 - 15.5			82.4 - 90.0
n	115			609
<b>Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.9</b>	*	*	<b>87.9</b>
95% CI	6.2 - 11.6			84.8 - 91.1
n	82			587
<b>Port St. Lucie, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.0</b>	*	*	<b>89.7</b>
95% CI	5.6 - 10.5			87.0 - 92.3
n	77			566
<b>Tallahassee, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.8</b>	*	*	<b>88.6</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 11.3			85.4 - 91.7
n	126			778
<b>Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.3</b>	*	<b>2.3</b>	<b>88.9</b>
95% CI	5.8 - 8.8		1.1 - 3.6	86.6 - 91.1
n	193		37	1312
<b>Georgia</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>86.9</b>
95% CI	9.8 - 11.5	0.4 - 1.0	1.3 - 2.1	86.0 - 87.9
n	921	44	119	4952
<b>Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>87.5</b>
95% CI	8.7 - 11.1	0.2 - 0.9	1.4 - 2.6	86.2 - 88.9
n	365	17	62	2487
<b>Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.3</b>	*	<b>3.1</b>	<b>84.0</b>
95% CI	9.4 - 15.1		1.5 - 4.7	80.9 - 87.2
n	166		23	648
<b>Hawaii</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>85.7</b>
95% CI	9.0 - 10.7	1.0 - 1.7	2.6 - 3.7	84.7 - 86.7
n	933	84	271	6454
<b>Kahului-Wailuku-Lahaina, HI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>86.3</b>
95% CI	7.7 - 11.7	0.7 - 2.2	1.6 - 3.4	84.1 - 88.6
n	156	16	43	1151
<b>Idaho</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	7.0 - 8.7	0.4 - 0.9	1.0 - 1.7	89.2 - 91.1
n	561	34	91	4203
<b>Boise City, ID Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	*	<b>1.3</b>	<b>90.2</b>
95% CI	6.4 - 9.5		0.7 - 1.9	88.5 - 91.8
n	156		26	1111
<b>Illinois</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	9.2 - 11.0	0.6 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.5	86.8 - 88.8
n	722	35	87	4698
<b>Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>88.3</b>
95% CI	8.5 - 10.5	0.5 - 1.3	0.8 - 1.6	87.2 - 89.4
n	676	30	77	4336
<b>Indiana</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>87.3</b>
95% CI	10.1 - 11.2	0.5 - 1.0	1.1 - 1.6	86.6 - 87.9
n	2185	79	213	11335
<b>Evansville, IN-KY Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.1</b>	*	*	<b>86.9</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 13.9			84.0 - 89.8
n	104			505
<b>Fort Wayne, IN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.0</b>	*	*	<b>88.0</b>
95% CI	7.9 - 12.2			85.6 - 90.5
n	127			715
<b>Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>86.9</b>
95% CI	9.9 - 12.2	0.6 - 1.4	0.7 - 1.5	85.7 - 88.1
n	648	34	53	3474
<b>South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.5</b>	*	*	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	5.4 - 9.7			87.1 - 93.2
n	75			480
<b>Iowa</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>90.0</b>
95% CI	7.7 - 8.9	0.4 - 0.9	0.8 - 1.3	89.3 - 90.7
n	911	35	100	6645
<b>Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.6</b>	*	*	<b>89.4</b>
95% CI	5.6 - 9.7			86.8 - 92.0
n	63			513
<b>Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	*	<b>1.0</b>	<b>90.6</b>
95% CI	6.5 - 9.3		0.4 - 1.6	89.1 - 92.2
n	156		16	1200
<b>Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>87.6</b>
95% CI	8.5 - 11.0	0.7 - 1.8	0.9 - 2.0	86.2 - 89.0
n	410	31	51	2837
<b>Sioux City, IA-NE-SD Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.8</b>	*	*	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	5.3 - 10.3			87.6 - 93.1
n	127			895
<b>Kansas</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>88.4</b>
95% CI	9.1 - 10.0	0.7 - 1.1	1.0 - 1.4	87.9 - 88.8
n	2844	169	311	18484
<b>Kansas City, MO-KS Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>89.0</b>
95% CI	7.8 - 9.7	0.4 - 1.0	1.1 - 2.0	87.9 - 90.1
n	966	61	133	6588
<b>Manhattan, KS Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.7</b>	*	*	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	6.8 - 10.6			88.1 - 92.0
n	92			739
<b>Salina, KS Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.6</b>	*	*	<b>90.0</b>
95% CI	6.4 - 10.7			87.3 - 92.6
n	75			540
<b>Topeka, KS Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.5</b>	*	<b>1.2</b>	<b>87.9</b>
95% CI	9.0 - 12.0		0.6 - 1.8	86.3 - 89.6
n	244		28	1374
<b>Wichita, KS Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>87.4</b>
95% CI	9.4 - 11.5	0.6 - 1.5	0.7 - 1.6	86.2 - 88.6
n	570	32	55	3391
<b>Kentucky</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>85.6</b>
95% CI	10.4 - 12.3	0.8 - 1.9	1.3 - 2.3	84.4 - 86.7
n	1433	108	153	6933
<b>Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.7</b>	*	<b>1.4</b>	<b>86.1</b>
95% CI	10.0 - 13.5		0.7 - 2.1	84.1 - 88.0
n	206		21	913
<b>Lexington-Fayette, KY Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.3</b>	*	*	<b>86.3</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 12.3			82.6 - 90.1
n	51			435
<b>Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.0</b>	*	*	<b>85.5</b>
95% CI	8.8 - 13.3			82.7 - 88.4
n	416			1559
<b>Louisiana</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>85.4</b>
95% CI	11.5 - 13.8	0.3 - 0.8	1.0 - 2.0	84.1 - 86.6
n	765	30	69	3938
<b>Baton Rouge, LA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.9</b>	*	*	<b>86.5</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 13.3			83.4 - 89.6
n	104			662
<b>New Orleans-Metairie, LA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>14.2</b>	*	<b>1.4</b>	<b>84.2</b>
95% CI	11.0 - 17.4		0.6 - 2.2	80.9 - 87.4
n	118		15	748
<b>Maine</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>89.6</b>
95% CI	8.0 - 9.5	0.4 - 0.9	0.8 - 1.4	88.7 - 90.4
n	1175	60	139	8309
<b>Portland-South Portland, ME Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.7</b>	*	<b>1.4</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	6.4 - 9.1		0.8 - 2.0	88.9 - 91.8
n	276		46	2240
<b>Maryland</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>88.0</b>
95% CI	8.8 - 10.1	0.6 - 1.1	1.4 - 2.1	87.2 - 88.8
n	1910	85	291	11264
<b>Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 10.4	0.7 - 1.6	1.1 - 2.2	86.6 - 89.1
n	661	42	96	4244
<b>Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>13.0</b>	*	*	<b>84.7</b>
95% CI	9.7 - 16.2			81.2 - 88.2
n	142			615
<b>Salisbury, MD-DE Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.0</b>	*	<b>2.5</b>	<b>87.0</b>
95% CI	7.6 - 10.4		1.7 - 3.4	85.1 - 88.9
n	299		64	1759
<b>Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.5</b>	*	<b>1.6</b>	<b>90.2</b>
95% CI	6.0 - 9.0		0.9 - 2.2	88.5 - 91.9
n	252		43	2124
<b>Massachusetts</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>88.9</b>
95% CI	7.4 - 9.3	1.0 - 2.3	0.7 - 1.4	87.7 - 90.1
n	771	80	104	5937
<b>Boston, MA Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	*	<b>88.5</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 9.9	1.0 - 3.5		86.2 - 90.8
n	242	30		1643
<b>Cambridge-Newton-Framingham, MA Metropolitan Division</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.7</b>	*	<b>1.0</b>	<b>88.7</b>
95% CI	6.9 - 10.4		0.4 - 1.5	86.6 - 90.8
n	227		32	2056
<b>Springfield, MA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.1</b>	*	*	<b>90.4</b>
95% CI	4.2 - 10.0			87.0 - 93.8
n	62			537
<b>Worcester, MA-CT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	*	*	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	7.2 - 11.6			85.1 - 90.6
n	181			1219
<b>Michigan</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>88.4</b>
95% CI	8.9 - 10.1	0.5 - 1.0	1.1 - 1.7	87.7 - 89.0
n	1445	64	196	9175
<b>Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.2</b>	*	*	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	7.4 - 11.1			85.4 - 90.1
n	127			839
<b>Lansing-East Lansing, MI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	*	*	<b>89.4</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 12.4			86.8 - 92.1
n	70			434
<b>Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, MI Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>89.4</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 9.8	0.4 - 1.5	0.6 - 1.6	87.9 - 90.8
n	285	18	37	2201
<b>Minnesota</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>90.8</b>
95% CI	6.5 - 7.4	0.6 - 1.0	1.3 - 1.7	90.3 - 91.3
n	1706	119	309	14935

**Duluth, MN-WI Metropolitan Statistical Area**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.5</b>	*	<b>1.4</b>	<b>91.9</b>
95% CI	4.9 - 8.1		0.6 - 2.2	90.1 - 93.7
n	115		21	933

**Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI Metropolitan Statistical Area**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>91.4</b>
95% CI	5.7 - 6.8	0.6 - 1.1	1.2 - 1.8	90.7 - 92.1
n	710	65	139	7211

**Rochester, MN Metropolitan Statistical Area**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	*	*	<b>88.3</b>
95% CI	5.9 - 10.9			85.1 - 91.5
n	74			596

**St. Cloud, MN Metropolitan Statistical Area**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.5</b>	*	*	<b>90.8</b>
95% CI	5.3 - 11.8			87.5 - 94.1
n	65			614

**Mississippi**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.9</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>84.8</b>
95% CI	11.8 - 14.0	0.4 - 1.1	1.0 - 2.0	83.6 - 86.0
n	975	28	84	3985

**Jackson, MS Metropolitan Statistical Area**

<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.5</b>	*	*	<b>85.2</b>
95% CI	9.5 - 15.5			81.8 - 88.6
n	80			441

**Missouri**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>88.0</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 9.9	0.6 - 1.3	1.5 - 2.5	87.0 - 88.9
n	1086	48	192	6264
<b>St. Louis, MO-IL Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	*	<b>1.7</b>	<b>87.7</b>
95% CI	8.2 - 11.1		1.0 - 2.4	86.0 - 89.4
n	304		54	1860
<b>Montana</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>91.4</b>
95% CI	5.9 - 7.5	0.3 - 0.9	0.9 - 1.6	90.5 - 92.3
n	683	32	97	5097
<b>Billings, MT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.3</b>	*	*	<b>92.2</b>
95% CI	4.1 - 8.5			89.8 - 94.6
n	41			569
<b>Nebraska</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>88.5</b>
95% CI	8.6 - 9.9	0.7 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.4	87.8 - 89.3
n	1984	129	241	12979
<b>Grand Island, NE Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	*	*	<b>87.7</b>
95% CI	7.6 - 11.9			84.9 - 90.5
n	115			659
<b>Lincoln, NE Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.2</b>	*	<b>1.0</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 9.7		0.4 - 1.5	88.6 - 91.9
n	146		17	1348
<b>North Platte, NE Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.9</b>	*	*	<b>89.3</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 11.1			86.9 - 91.7
n	82			471
<b>Scottsbluff, NE Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.3</b>	*	*	<b>83.9</b>
95% CI	9.6 - 15.0			80.7 - 87.1
n	113			477
<b>Nevada</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	*	<b>2.3</b>	<b>87.3</b>
95% CI	8.2 - 10.6		1.6 - 3.0	85.8 - 88.7
n	459		79	3200
<b>Reno, NV Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.9</b>	*	<b>2.5</b>	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	5.4 - 8.5		1.4 - 3.6	88.2 - 92.0
n	115		27	1110
<b>New Hampshire</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>91.2</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 7.9	0.4 - 1.1	0.6 - 1.3	90.3 - 92.1
n	680	39	75	4951
<b>Claremont-Lebanon, NH-VT Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.5</b>	*	<b>0.9</b>	<b>91.8</b>
95% CI	4.9 - 8.1		0.4 - 1.3	90.1 - 93.5
n	176		23	1550
<b>Rockingham County-Strafford County, NH Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.5</b>	*	*	<b>91.1</b>
95% CI	5.3 - 7.7			89.5 - 92.7
n	158			1165
<b>New Jersey</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>87.1</b>
95% CI	9.0 - 10.6	0.8 - 1.5	1.6 - 2.4	86.1 - 88.0
n	1662	105	279	9635
<b>Camden, NJ Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.5</b>	*	*	<b>85.4</b>
95% CI	9.5 - 13.5			82.9 - 87.9
n	274			1316
<b>Newark, NJ-PA Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>89.3</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 9.2	0.5 - 1.3	1.3 - 2.4	87.9 - 90.7
n	437	35	98	3115
<b>Wilmington, DE-MD-NJ Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>86.7</b>
95% CI	8.0 - 10.6	1.0 - 3.4	1.2 - 2.4	84.9 - 88.6
n	356	22	53	1958
<b>New Mexico</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>87.5</b>
95% CI	8.7 - 10.6	0.7 - 1.4	1.3 - 2.2	86.4 - 88.6
n	905	47	143	5437
<b>Albuquerque, NM Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>88.3</b>
95% CI	6.7 - 9.8	0.6 - 2.1	1.3 - 2.9	86.4 - 90.2
n	173	17	42	1421
<b>New York</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>88.3</b>
95% CI	8.8 - 10.1	0.5 - 0.9	1.3 - 1.9	87.6 - 89.1
n	1490	72	195	10474
<b>Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	*	*	<b>88.4</b>
95% CI	6.8 - 12.6			85.2 - 91.6
n	77			542
<b>Buffalo-Cheektowaga-Niagara Falls, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	*	*	<b>87.9</b>
95% CI	7.2 - 12.6			84.9 - 90.9
n	77			428
<b>Nassau County-Suffolk County, NY Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	*	<b>1.6</b>	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	6.5 - 9.4		0.8 - 2.3	88.4 - 91.7
n	128		23	1072
<b>New York-Jersey City-White Plains, NY-NJ Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>87.4</b>
95% CI	8.9 - 10.5	0.6 - 1.1	1.6 - 2.5	86.5 - 88.3
n	1019	64	199	7454
<b>Rochester, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.8</b>	*	*	<b>89.1</b>
95% CI	7.0 - 12.5			85.8 - 92.4
n	86			452
<b>North Carolina</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>87.3</b>
95% CI	9.2 - 11.2	0.3 - 1.0	1.3 - 2.2	86.2 - 88.5
n	658	24	105	4119
<b>Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.2</b>	*	*	<b>87.1</b>
95% CI	8.1 - 12.2			84.7 - 89.5
n	233			1230
<b>North Dakota</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>90.0</b>
95% CI	7.8 - 9.2	0.3 - 0.9	0.7 - 1.2	89.2 - 90.8
n	871	30	101	5987
<b>Bismarck, ND Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	*	*	<b>90.1</b>
95% CI	6.6 - 10.2			88.2 - 92.1
n	139			955
<b>Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.7</b>	*	<b>0.9</b>	<b>91.0</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 9.2		0.4 - 1.3	89.4 - 92.5
n	143		19	1146
<b>Grand Forks, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.2</b>	*	*	<b>90.4</b>
95% CI	5.8 - 10.5			87.9 - 93.0
n	70			517
<b>Minot, ND Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.7</b>	*	*	<b>88.9</b>
95% CI	6.4 - 11.0			86.1 - 91.7
n	93			541
<b>Ohio</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>87.7</b>
95% CI	9.2 - 10.6	0.7 - 1.2	1.2 - 1.7	86.9 - 88.4
n	2011	96	229	9929
<b>Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>89.5</b>
95% CI	7.0 - 9.7	0.4 - 1.4	0.6 - 2.0	87.9 - 91.0
n	222	17	26	1471
<b>Cleveland-Elyria, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	*	*	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	7.7 - 11.8			85.5 - 90.2
n	160			943
<b>Columbus, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>88.6</b>
95% CI	7.7 - 10.3	0.3 - 1.3	0.9 - 2.2	87.1 - 90.1
n	274	19	37	1699
<b>Dayton, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.8</b>	*	*	<b>87.2</b>
95% CI	8.3 - 13.2			84.5 - 89.8
n	99			465
<b>Toledo, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.1</b>	*	*	<b>86.7</b>
95% CI	8.9 - 13.3			84.1 - 89.2
n	137			609
<b>Oklahoma</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>85.5</b>
95% CI	10.8 - 12.6	0.7 - 1.6	1.3 - 2.1	84.5 - 86.5
n	1081	49	140	5350
<b>Oklahoma City, OK Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>86.4</b>
95% CI	10.1 - 13.1	0.4 - 1.5	0.7 - 1.5	84.7 - 88.0
n	333	17	45	1757
<b>Tulsa, OK Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.8</b>	*	<b>1.8</b>	<b>86.2</b>
95% CI	8.8 - 12.7		1.0 - 2.6	83.7 - 88.7
n	191		29	1028
<b>Oregon</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>89.8</b>
95% CI	7.6 - 9.2	0.5 - 1.1	0.7 - 1.4	88.9 - 90.7
n	553	43	61	4701
<b>Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>89.8</b>
95% CI	7.1 - 9.2	0.4 - 1.4	0.6 - 1.6	88.6 - 91.0
n	331	27	41	2737
<b>Pennsylvania</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>88.8</b>
95% CI	8.2 - 9.8	0.5 - 1.1	1.0 - 1.8	87.9 - 89.7
n	794	49	99	5594
<b>Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.6</b>	*	*	<b>87.9</b>
95% CI	6.6 - 12.6			84.5 - 91.3
n	117			725
<b>Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County, PA Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.7</b>	*	*	<b>91.2</b>
95% CI	4.2 - 9.3			88.4 - 94.1
n	45			555
<b>Philadelphia, PA Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.9</b>	*	*	<b>86.7</b>
95% CI	8.6 - 13.2			84.2 - 89.2
n	127			840
<b>Pittsburgh, PA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.5</b>	*	<b>1.5</b>	<b>89.1</b>
95% CI	7.1 - 10.0		0.8 - 2.3	87.4 - 90.8
n	156		25	1230
<b>Rhode Island</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	7.0 - 8.7	0.5 - 1.6	0.5 - 1.1	89.3 - 91.4
n	677	45	53	4838
<b>Providence-Warwick, RI-MA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>0.9</b>	*	<b>89.7</b>
95% CI	7.2 - 9.8	0.4 - 1.3		88.2 - 91.2
n	744	47		5266
<b>South Carolina</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>85.9</b>
95% CI	11.2 - 12.6	0.6 - 1.1	1.0 - 1.6	85.2 - 86.7
n	2066	79	206	8939
<b>Charleston-North Charleston, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.8</b>	*	*	<b>87.3</b>
95% CI	9.9 - 13.6			85.4 - 89.3
n	249			1203
<b>Columbia, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.6</b>	*	<b>1.5</b>	<b>86.5</b>
95% CI	9.5 - 13.7		0.7 - 2.3	84.3 - 88.7
n	206		28	986
<b>Florence, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>13.8</b>	*	*	<b>82.2</b>
95% CI	11.0 - 16.5			78.7 - 85.8
n	112			394
<b>Greenville-Anderson-Mauldin, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.3</b>	*	*	<b>86.8</b>
95% CI	8.6 - 12.1			84.7 - 89.0
n	241			1209
<b>Hilton Head Island-Bluffton-Beaufort, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	*	*	<b>89.4</b>
95% CI	5.5 - 10.3			86.5 - 92.2
n	84			522
<b>Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.1</b>	*	*	<b>86.8</b>
95% CI	8.4 - 13.7			83.9 - 89.7
n	143			749
<b>Spartanburg, SC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.1</b>	*	*	<b>88.6</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 12.9			85.7 - 91.6
n	75			425
<b>South Dakota</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	8.6 - 11.2	0.6 - 1.6	0.7 - 1.7	86.4 - 89.3
n	1080	72	118	5733
<b>Aberdeen, SD Micropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.7</b>	*	*	<b>86.6</b>
95% CI	6.8 - 14.7			82.1 - 91.1
n	78			421
<b>Rapid City, SD Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.5</b>	*	*	<b>88.5</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 11.8			86.0 - 91.0
n	147			888
<b>Sioux Falls, SD Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.3</b>	*	*	<b>89.3</b>
95% CI	5.8 - 10.9			86.3 - 92.4
n	132			944
<b>Tennessee</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>85.9</b>
95% CI	10.7 - 12.6	0.3 - 1.0	1.4 - 2.3	84.8 - 87.0
n	931	30	122	4750
<b>Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>14.7</b>	*	*	<b>82.3</b>
95% CI	10.3 - 19.2			77.6 - 86.9
n	110			474
<b>Knoxville, TN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.1</b>	*	*	<b>86.8</b>
95% CI	7.2 - 13.0			83.4 - 90.2
n	77			494
<b>Memphis, TN-MS-AR Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.7</b>	*	*	<b>87.0</b>
95% CI	8.3 - 13.1			84.3 - 89.7
n	149			791
<b>Nashville-Davidson--Murfreesboro--Franklin, TN Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.1</b>	*	*	<b>87.0</b>
95% CI	9.0 - 13.2			84.7 - 89.3
n	127			780
<b>Texas</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>86.3</b>
95% CI	10.5 - 12.7	0.5 - 1.3	0.7 - 1.7	85.1 - 87.5
n	2034	71	177	9948
<b>Austin-Round Rock, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.8</b>	*	*	<b>88.5</b>
95% CI	7.5 - 12.2			86.0 - 91.1
n	196			1401
<b>College Station-Bryan, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.0</b>	*	*	<b>90.6</b>
95% CI	5.3 - 12.7			86.9 - 94.3
n	78			433
<b>Corpus Christi, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>13.8</b>	*	*	<b>84.5</b>
95% CI	10.1 - 17.5			80.6 - 88.4
n	142			555
<b>Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>10.6</b>	*	*	<b>87.1</b>
95% CI	7.7 - 13.5			83.9 - 90.4
n	177			1003
<b>El Paso, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>14.6</b>	*	*	<b>83.0</b>
95% CI	11.6 - 17.6			79.7 - 86.2
n	96			414
<b>Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.3</b>	*	*	<b>87.4</b>
95% CI	6.6 - 12.0			83.6 - 91.2
n	80			476
<b>Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	*	*	<b>87.1</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 12.5			84.1 - 90.2
n	319			1931
<b>San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.4</b>	*	*	<b>86.5</b>
95% CI	8.6 - 16.2			82.5 - 90.4
n	88			532
<b>Wichita Falls, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>22.0</b>	*	*	<b>77.7</b>
95% CI	12.6 - 31.3			68.3 - 87.0
n	119			391
<b>Utah</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>89.7</b>
95% CI	6.9 - 8.1	0.9 - 1.5	1.3 - 1.9	89.0 - 90.4
n	922	121	174	9021
<b>Ogden-Clearfield, UT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>89.0</b>
95% CI	6.6 - 9.1	0.6 - 1.9	1.1 - 2.5	87.5 - 90.5
n	175	18	35	1571
<b>Provo-Orem, UT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	5.8 - 8.6	0.6 - 1.8	0.6 - 2.0	88.7 - 91.9
n	136	22	25	1608
<b>Salt Lake City, UT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>89.0</b>
95% CI	6.8 - 8.8	0.8 - 1.8	1.3 - 2.4	87.8 - 90.2
n	308	39	66	2916
<b>Vermont</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>91.6</b>
95% CI	6.1 - 7.5	0.4 - 0.9	0.7 - 1.2	90.8 - 92.4
n	665	40	83	5720
<b>Burlington-South Burlington, VT Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.7</b>	*	<b>1.2</b>	<b>91.7</b>
95% CI	5.2 - 8.1		0.5 - 1.8	90.1 - 93.3
n	152		16	1376
<b>Virginia</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>88.1</b>
95% CI	8.9 - 10.3	0.6 - 1.1	1.2 - 1.8	87.3 - 88.9
n	1354	69	191	8001
<b>Richmond, VA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>87.8</b>
95% CI	7.8 - 11.6		1.2 - 3.0	85.7 - 89.8
n	211		36	1269
<b>Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>85.7</b>
95% CI	10.0 - 12.9	0.8 - 2.4	0.7 - 1.8	84.0 - 87.4
n	339	26	39	1694
<b>Washington</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>89.5</b>
95% CI	7.8 - 9.0	0.6 - 1.1	1.0 - 1.4	88.9 - 90.2
n	1508	89	216	11432
<b>Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Metropolitan Division</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>91.1</b>
95% CI	6.3 - 8.1	0.4 - 1.1	0.7 - 1.3	90.1 - 92.1
n	353	27	47	3748
<b>Spokane-Spokane Valley, WA Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>90.7</b>
95% CI	5.0 - 8.0		0.6 - 2.2	88.5 - 92.8
n	114		27	933
<b>West Virginia</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>84.4</b>
95% CI	11.9 - 13.7	0.4 - 1.4	1.4 - 2.3	83.3 - 85.4
n	1027	31	126	4275
<b>Charleston, WV Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, pregnancy-related</b>	<b>No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>16.4</b>	*	*	<b>81.5</b>
95% CI	13.6 - 19.2			78.5 - 84.5
n	175			620
<b>Wisconsin</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.1</b>	*	<b>1.1</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	7.1 - 9.1		0.5 - 1.7	89.1 - 91.5
n	606		66	5112
<b>Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>9.9</b>	*	*	<b>88.0</b>
95% CI	7.0 - 12.8			84.9 - 91.2
n	117			981
<b>Wyoming</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>90.3</b>
95% CI	7.3 - 9.0	0.5 - 1.2	0.5 - 1.0	89.3 - 91.2
n	523	28	52	3856
<b>Guam</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>15.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>80.3</b>
95% CI	13.4 - 18.2	0.8 - 2.3	1.5 - 3.3	77.7 - 82.8
n	232	24	45	1203
<b>Puerto Rico</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>15.0</b>	*	<b>2.6</b>	<b>82.0</b>
95% CI	13.8 - 16.1		2.0 - 3.2	80.7 - 83.3
n	905		148	3401
<b>San Juan-Carolina-Caguas, PR Metropolitan Statistical Area</b>				
<b>Percent (%)</b>	<b>14.0</b>	*	<b>2.9</b>	<b>82.8</b>
95% CI	12.6 - 15.4		2.2 - 3.7	81.3 - 84.4
n	531		103	2160

**Footnote**