

Table 1. Rubric to score the metrics

Metric	Rating Rubric
Correctness	<p>5: Paper is exemplary in accuracy, with no detectable errors.</p> <p>4: Paper is largely accurate, with all key facts and methodologies.</p> <p>3: Paper is mostly correct with minor errors.</p> <p>2: Paper contains noticeable errors that affect the paper's credibility.</p> <p>1: Paper includes numerous factual, conceptual, or methodological errors.</p>
Relevance to the Field	<p>5: Paper is entirely focused on the topic, every information mentioned in the paper contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the topic.</p> <p>4: Paper is mostly focused on the topic and effectively addresses key areas.</p> <p>3: Paper is generally relevant with a fair connection to important aspects of the field.</p> <p>2: Paper occasionally touches on relevant topics but lacks focus.</p> <p>1: Paper is not relevant to the field; the topics covered are mostly unrelated.</p>
Depth of Analysis	<p>5: Paper offers an exceptional depth of analysis, showcasing mastery of the surveyed works. It goes beyond summarization, critically synthesizing ideas to propose new perspectives, frameworks, or questions.</p> <p>4: Paper demonstrates a thorough understanding of the surveyed works, critically evaluating their contributions, limitations, and relationships.</p> <p>3: Paper provides an adequate analysis, with some critical examination and synthesis of the surveyed works.</p> <p>2: Paper's analysis demonstrates a basic understanding of the surveyed works but lacks depth.</p> <p>1: Paper provides only surface-level information and mostly summarizes content without drawing any meaningful insights.</p>
Comprehensive	<p>5: Paper comprehensively addresses all critical topics, including emerging trends and niche areas.</p> <p>4: Paper provides an extensive review of the field, covering almost all key topics and concepts.</p> <p>3: Paper addresses most of the critical topics but may miss a few emerging or less prominent areas.</p> <p>2: Paper includes some relevant topics but misses several significant areas.</p> <p>1: Paper omits key topics, concepts, or references essential to the field.</p>
Coherence	<p>5: Paper exhibits exceptional coherence, with a clear and logical structure that guides the reader seamlessly from introduction to conclusion.</p> <p>4: Paper is well-structured, with logical organization and clear transitions between sections.</p> <p>3: Paper is organized into a coherent structure, though some sections may</p>

	<p>lack smooth transitions or a clear hierarchy.</p> <p><b>2:</b> Paper shows some structure but frequently jumps between ideas without clear transitions.</p> <p><b>1:</b> Paper is disorganized, with ideas presented in a confusing manner.</p>
--	--