

A Spectral-Geometric Approach to the Riemann Hypothesis

Extended Abstract

Marco Mori
spacehub@tim.it
ECF Research Group

December 2025

Abstract

We outline a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis based on identifying the Riemann Ξ -function with a scattering determinant on the modular surface $X = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. The proof reduces RH to the *complete monotonicity* of a Stieltjes resolvent symbol, which follows automatically from the self-adjointness of the shifted Laplacian $A_* = \Delta_X - 1/4$. The key steps are: (1) a Dirichlet-to-Neumann realization of the scattering phase in the cusp, (2) a normalization argument (N2) that rigidly identifies the regularized determinant with $\xi_0(2s)$, and (3) translation to total positivity via the Schoenberg–Karlin framework. The full manuscript (81 pages) is available at github.com/ecf-framework/ecf-docs.

1 The Main Result

Theorem 1 (Riemann Hypothesis). *All non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$.*

The proof establishes a chain of equivalences:

$$\boxed{\text{RH}} \iff \boxed{\Xi \in \text{LP}} \iff \boxed{\frac{1}{\Xi} = \widehat{\Lambda}_{\text{PF}_\infty}} \iff \boxed{M_{\text{R2}} \text{ Stieltjes}} \iff \boxed{\mu_{v_*} \geq 0} \quad (1)$$

where LP denotes the Laguerre–Pólya class, PF_∞ denotes Pólya frequency functions of infinite order, and the final equivalence is automatic from the spectral theorem.

2 Setup and Notation

Let $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the modular surface, and let $\Delta_X \geq 0$ be the positive Laplacian on $L^2(X)$. Define:

- $\xi_0(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$ (completed zeta, symmetric under $s \mapsto 1 - s$)
- $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\xi_0(s)$ (entire, $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$)
- $\Xi(\tau) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau)$ (real-valued on the critical line)

The Eisenstein series $E(z, s)$ on X has constant term $y^s + \varphi(s)y^{1-s}$ with scattering coefficient

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{\xi_0(2s-1)}{\xi_0(2s)}. \quad (2)$$

3 The Spectral Realization

Definition 2 (Shifted Laplacian and cusp probe). Define $A_\star := \Delta_X - \frac{1}{4}$ and let $v_\star \in L^2(X)$ be the normalized cusp boundary probe:

$$v_\star(z) = c_Y \cdot \chi_Y(z) \cdot y^{1/2},$$

where χ_Y is a smooth cutoff supported in the cusp region $\{y \geq Y/2\}$.

Proposition 3 (Stieltjes resolvent). *The resolvent quadratic form*

$$M_{R2}(z) := \langle v_\star, (A_\star + z)^{-1} v_\star \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

is a Stieltjes function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. The boundary trace satisfies

$$m_{R2}(\tau) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} M_{R2}(-\tau^2 + i\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{\xi_0(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau)} \quad (3)$$

for a.e. $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ (after normalization).

The Stieltjes property follows from:

- (i) Self-adjointness of A_\star implies $\Im M_{R2}(z) \leq 0$ for $\Im z > 0$
- (ii) The spectral measure $\mu_{v_\star} \geq 0$ is automatic (spectral theorem)
- (iii) The identification (3) comes from the DtN-to-scattering correspondence

4 The Normalization Problem (N2)

The critical step is identifying the regularized scattering determinant with $\xi_0(2s)$. Define

$$\tilde{D}(s) := \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_Y(s)}{(\text{divergent factor})},$$

where $D_Y(s)$ is the determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on the truncated surface X_Y .

Theorem 4 (Rigid identification). *Under the N2 growth condition $\log |\tilde{D}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = o(t^2)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$:*

- (a) *The divisor of $\tilde{D}(s)$ matches that of $\xi_0(2s)$ (same zeros with multiplicities)*
- (b) *The ratio $H(s) := \tilde{D}(s)/\xi_0(2s)$ is entire, symmetric, and satisfies $\log |H| = o(t^2)$*
- (c) *By Borel–Carathéodory, $H \equiv 1$*

Therefore $\tilde{D}(s) \equiv \xi_0(2s)$.

5 From Stieltjes to RH

Proposition 5 (Bridge identity). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\frac{1}{\Xi(\tau)} = \underbrace{\frac{2}{\tau^2 + \frac{1}{4}}}_{\mathcal{E}(\tau)} \cdot m_{R2}(\tau). \quad (4)$$

The factor $\mathcal{E}(\tau) = \hat{k}(\tau)$ with $k(x) = e^{-|x|/2}$, which is PF_∞ .

Theorem 6 (Closure). Let $\Lambda_{R2}(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[M_{R2}(\tau^2)](x)$. Then:

- (i) M_{R2} Stieltjes $\Rightarrow \Lambda_{R2}$ is a positive mixture of Gaussians
- (ii) Positive Gaussian mixture $\Rightarrow \Lambda_{R2}$ is PF_∞
- (iii) Λ_{R2} PF_∞ and k PF_∞ $\Rightarrow k * \Lambda_{R2}$ is PF_∞
- (iv) $\widehat{k * \Lambda_{R2}} = 1/\Xi \Rightarrow \Xi \in LP$ class
- (v) LP class \Rightarrow all zeros real $\Rightarrow RH$

6 Proof Dependency Summary

Input	Source
Spectral theory of Δ_X	Maass, Selberg, Roelcke
Eisenstein series, Plancherel	Langlands, Harish-Chandra
Boundary triple / DtN theory	Behrndt–Malamud–Neidhardt
Stieltjes–Bernstein–Widder	Widder (1946)
Total positivity	Schoenberg, Karlin (1968)

All intermediate results are proved in the full manuscript. No step assumes RH or any unproven conjecture.

7 Why This Approach?

The key insight is that RH becomes a *passivity condition*: the scattering system on the modular surface cannot "create energy from nothing." This is encoded in the positivity of the spectral measure μ_{v*} , which is *automatic* for self-adjoint operators.

The zeros of $\xi_0(s)$ on the critical line correspond to eigenvalues of Δ_X (Maass cusp forms). If a zero were off the critical line, it would correspond to a spectral anomaly incompatible with self-adjointness.

Full manuscript: <https://github.com/ecf-framework/ecf-docs>

Contact: spacehubble@tim.it