THEOREMS OF THE PROPOSITIONAL CALCULUS

EQUIVALENCE AND TRUE

- (3.1) Axiom, Associativity of \equiv : $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$
- (3.2) Axiom, Symmetry of $\equiv : p \equiv q \equiv q \equiv p$
- (3.3) Axiom, Identity of \equiv : $true \equiv q \equiv q$
- (3.4) true
- (3.5) Reflexivity of $\equiv : p \equiv p$

NEGATION, INEQUIVALENCE, AND FALSE

- (3.8) Axiom, Definition of false: false ≡ ¬true
- (3.9) Axiom, Distributivity of \neg over \equiv : $\neg(p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$
- (3.10) Axiom, Definition of $\not\equiv$: $(p \not\equiv q) \equiv \neg (p \equiv q)$
- $(3.11) \neg p \equiv q \equiv p \equiv \neg q$
- (3.12) Double negation: $\neg \neg p \equiv p$
- (3.13) Negation of false: ¬false ≡ true
- $(3.14) \ (p \not\equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$
- (3.15) $\neg p \equiv p \equiv false$
- (3.16) Symmetry of $\not\equiv$: $(p \not\equiv q) \equiv (q \not\equiv p)$
- (3.17) Associativity of $\not\equiv$: $((p \not\equiv q) \not\equiv r) \equiv (p \not\equiv (q \not\equiv r))$
- (3.18) Mutual associativity: $((p \neq q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \neq (q \equiv r))$
- (3.19) Mutual interchangeability: $p \neq q \equiv r \equiv p \equiv q \neq r$

DISJUNCTION

- (3.24) Axiom, Symmetry of \vee : $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$
- (3.25) Axiom, Associativity of \vee : $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$
- (3.26) Axiom, Idempotency of $\forall : p \lor p \equiv p$
- (3.27) Axiom, Distributivity of \vee over $\equiv : p \vee (q \equiv r) \equiv p \vee q \equiv p \vee r$
- (3.28) Axiom, Excluded Middle: $p \vee \neg p$
- (3.29) Zero of \vee : $p \vee true \equiv true$
- (3.30) Identity of \vee : $p \vee false \equiv p$
- (3.31) Distributivity of \vee over \vee : $p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee (p \vee r)$
- $(3.32) \ p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p$

CONJUNCTION

- (3.35) Axiom, Golden rule: $p \land q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q$
- (3.36) Symmetry of \wedge : $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$

- (3.37) Associativity of \wedge : $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
- (3.38) Idempotency of $\wedge: p \wedge p \equiv p$
- (3.39) Identity of \wedge : $p \wedge true \equiv p$
- (3.40) Zero of \wedge : $p \wedge false \equiv false$
- (3.41) Distributivity of \wedge over \wedge : $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (p \wedge r)$
- (3.42) Contradiction: $p \land \neg p \equiv false$
- (3.43) Absorption: (a) $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$

(b)
$$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$$

(3.44) Absorption: (a) $p \land (\neg p \lor q) \equiv p \land q$

(b)
$$p \lor (\neg p \land q) \equiv p \lor q$$

- (3.45) Distributivity of \vee over \wedge : $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
- (3.46) Distributivity of \wedge over \vee : $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (3.47) De Morgan: (a) $\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$

(b)
$$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

- $(3.48) \ p \land q \equiv p \land \neg q \equiv \neg p$
- $(3.49) \ p \land (q \equiv r) \equiv p \land q \equiv p \land r \equiv p$
- $(3.50) \ p \land (q \equiv p) \equiv p \land q$
- (3.51) Replacement: $(p \equiv q) \land (r \equiv p) \equiv (p \equiv q) \land (r \equiv q)$
- (3.52) Definition of \equiv : $p \equiv q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$
- (3.53) Exclusive or: $p \not\equiv q \equiv (\neg p \land q) \lor (p \land \neg q)$
- $(3.55) (p \land q) \land r \equiv p \equiv q \equiv r \equiv p \lor q \equiv q \lor r \equiv r \lor p \equiv p \lor q \lor r$

IMPLICATION

- (3.57) Axiom, Definition of Implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \lor q \equiv q$
- (3.58) Axiom, Consequence: $p \Leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p$
- (3.59) Definition of implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- (3.60) Definition of implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \land q \equiv p$
- (3.61) Contrapositive: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
- (3.62) $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$
- (3.63) Distributivity of \Rightarrow over \equiv : $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \Rightarrow r$
- $(3.64) \ p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.65) Shunting: $p \land q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$
- $(3.66) p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge q$
- $(3.67) p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p$
- (3.68) $p \lor (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv true$
- $(3.69) p \lor (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv q \Rightarrow p$

$$(3.70) \ p \lor q \Rightarrow p \land q \equiv p \equiv q$$

(3.71) Reflexivity of
$$\Rightarrow$$
: $p \Rightarrow p \equiv true$

(3.72) Right zero of
$$\Rightarrow$$
: $p \Rightarrow true \equiv true$

(3.73) Left identity of
$$\Rightarrow$$
: true $\Rightarrow p \equiv p$

$$(3.74)$$
 $p \Rightarrow false \equiv \neg p$

(3.75) false
$$\Rightarrow p \equiv true$$

(3.76) Weakening/strengthening: (a)
$$p \Rightarrow p \lor q$$

(b)
$$p \wedge q \Rightarrow p$$

(c)
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p \lor q$$

(d)
$$p \lor (q \land r) \Rightarrow p \lor q$$

(e)
$$p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge (q \vee r)$$

(3.77) Modus ponens:
$$p \land (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q$$

$$(3.78) (p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q \Rightarrow r)$$

$$(3.79) (p \Rightarrow r) \land (\neg p \Rightarrow r) \equiv r$$

(3.80) Mutual implication:
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv (p \equiv q)$$

(3.81) Antisymmetry:
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (p \equiv q)$$

(3.82) Transitivity: (a)
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$$

(b)
$$(p \equiv q) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$$

(c)
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$$

LEIBNIZ AS AN AXIOM

(3.83) Axiom, Leibniz:
$$e = f \implies E_e^z = E_f^z$$

(3.84) Substitution: (a)
$$(e = f) \wedge E_e^z \equiv (e = f) \wedge E_f^z$$

(b)
$$(e = f) \Rightarrow E_e^z \equiv (e = f) \Rightarrow E_f^z$$

(c)
$$q \wedge (e = f) \Rightarrow E_e^z \equiv q \wedge (e = f) \Rightarrow E_f^z$$

(3.85) Replace by true: (a)
$$p \Rightarrow E_p^z \equiv p \Rightarrow E_{true}^z$$

(b)
$$q \wedge p \Rightarrow E_p^z \equiv q \wedge p \Rightarrow E_{true}^z$$

(3.86) Replace by false: (a)
$$E_p^z \Rightarrow p \equiv E_{false}^z \Rightarrow p$$

(b)
$$E_p^z \Rightarrow p \lor q \equiv E_{false}^z \Rightarrow p \lor q$$

(3.87) Replace by true:
$$p \wedge E_p^z \equiv p \wedge E_{true}^z$$

(3.88) Replace by false:
$$p \vee E_p^z \equiv p \vee E_{false}^z$$

(3.89) Shannon:
$$E_p^z \equiv (p \wedge E_{true}^z) \vee (\neg p \wedge E_{false}^z)$$

$$(4.1) p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)$$

(4.2) Monotonicity of
$$\vee$$
: $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor r)$

(4.3) Monotonicity of
$$\wedge$$
: $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \land r \Rightarrow q \land r)$

PROOF TECHNIQUES

- (4.4) Deduction: To prove $P \Rightarrow Q$, assume P and prove Q.
- (4.5) Case analysis: If E_{true}^z , E_{false}^z are theorems, then so is E_P^z .
- (4.6) Case analysis: $(p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \Rightarrow s) \land (q \Rightarrow s) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow s$
- (4.7) Mutual implication: To prove $P \equiv Q$, prove $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$.
- (4.9) Proof by contradiction: To prove P, prove $\neg P \Rightarrow false$.
- (4.12) Proof by contrapositive: To prove $P \Rightarrow Q$, prove $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

GENERAL LAWS OF QUANTIFICATION

For symmetric and associative binary operator \star with identity u.

- (8.13) Axiom, Empty range: $(*x \mid false : P) = u$
- (8.14) Axiom, One-point rule: Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'E')$, $(*x \mid x = E : P) = P[x := E]$
- (8.15) Axiom, Distributivity: Provided each quantification is defined, $(\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid R : Q) = (\star x \mid R : P \star Q)$
- (8.16) Axiom, Range split: Provided R ∧ S ≡ false and each quantification is defined,
 (*x | R ∨ S : P) = (*x | R : P) * (*x | S : P)
- (8.17) Axiom, Range split: Provided each quantification is defined, $(\star x \mid R \lor S : P) \star (\star x \mid R \land S : P) = (\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid S : P)$
- (8.18) Axiom, Range split for idempotent \star : Prov. each quant. is defined, $(\star x \mid R \lor S : P) = (\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid S : P)$
- (8.19) Axiom, Interchange of dummies: Provided each quantification is defined, $\neg occurs(`y', `R')$, and $\neg occurs(`x', `Q')$, $(*x \mid R : (*y \mid Q : P)) = (*y \mid Q : (*x \mid R : P))$
- (8.20) Axiom, Nesting: Provided $\neg occurs('y', 'R')$, $(\star x, y \mid R \land Q : P) = (\star x \mid R : (\star y \mid Q : P))$
- (8.21) Axiom, Dummy renaming: Provided $\neg occurs('y', 'R, P')$, $(\star x \mid R : P) = (\star y \mid R[x := y] : P[x := y])$
- (8.22) Change of dummy: Provided $\neg occurs('y', 'R, P')$, and f has an inverse, $(\star x \mid R : P) = (\star y \mid R[x := f, y] : P[x := f, y])$
- (8.23) Split off term: $(\star i \mid 0 \le i < n+1 : P) = (\star i \mid 0 \le i < n : P) \star P_n^i$

THEOREMS OF THE PREDICATE CALCULUS

Universal quantification

- (9.2) Axiom, Trading: $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \Rightarrow P)$
- (9.3) Trading: (a) $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : \neg R \lor P)$ (b) $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \land P \equiv R)$ (c) $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \lor P \equiv P)$

- (9.4) Trading: (a) $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \Rightarrow P)$ (b) $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : \neg R \lor P)$ (c) $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \land P \equiv R)$ (d) $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \lor P \equiv P)$
- (9.5) Axiom, Distributivity of \vee over \forall : Prov. $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$, $P \vee (\forall x \mid R : Q) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : P \vee Q)$
- (9.6) Provided $\neg occurs(x', P')$, $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv P \lor (\forall x \mid P)$
- (9.7) Distributivity of \land over \forall : Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$, $\neg(\forall x \mid : \neg R) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R : P \land Q) \equiv P \land (\forall x \mid R : Q))$
- $(9.8) \quad (\forall x \mid R : true) \equiv true$
- $(9.9) \quad (\forall x \mid R: P \equiv Q) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R: P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R: Q))$
- (9.10) Range weakening/strengthening: $(\forall x \mid Q \lor R : P) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid Q : P)$
- (9.11) Body weakening/strengthening: $(\forall x \mid R : P \land Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid R : P)$
- (9.12) Monotonicity of \forall : $(\forall x \mid R: Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R: Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid R: P))$
- (9.13) Instantiation: $(\forall x : P) \Rightarrow P[x := e]$
- (9.16) P is a theorem iff $(\forall x \mid : P)$ is a theorem.

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFICATION

- (9.17) Axiom, Generalized De Morgan: $(\exists x \mid R:P) \equiv \neg(\forall x \mid R:\neg P)$
- (9.18) Generalized De Morgan: (a) $\neg (\exists x \mid R : \neg P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : P)$ (b) $\neg (\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : \neg P)$ (c) $(\exists x \mid R : \neg P) \equiv \neg (\forall x \mid R : P)$
- (9.19) Trading: $(\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv (\exists x \mid : R \land P)$
- (9.20) Trading: $(\exists x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\exists x \mid Q : R \land P)$
- (9.21) Distributivity of \land over \exists : Provided $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$, $P \land (\exists x \mid R : Q) \equiv (\exists x \mid R : P \land Q)$
- (9.22) Provided $\neg occurs(x', P')$, $(\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv P \land (\exists x \mid R)$
- (9.23) Distributivity of \vee over \exists : Provided $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$, $(\exists x \mid R) \Rightarrow ((\exists x \mid R : P \lor Q) \equiv P \lor (\exists x \mid R : Q))$
- (9.24) $(\exists x \mid R : false) \equiv false$
- (9.25) Range weakening/strengthening: $(\exists x \mid R:P) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid Q \lor R:P)$
- (9.26) Body weakening/strengthening: $(\exists x \mid R : P) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid R : P \lor Q)$
- (9.27) Monotonicity of \exists : $(\forall x \mid R: Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\exists x \mid R: Q) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid R: P))$
- (9.28) \exists -Introduction: $P[x := E] \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid : P)$
- (9.29) Interchange of quantifications: Provided $\neg occurs(`y',`R')$ and $\neg occurs(`x',`Q')$, $(\exists x \mid R : (\forall y \mid Q : P)) \Rightarrow (\forall y \mid Q : (\exists x \mid R : P))$
- (9.30) Provided $\neg occurs(\hat{x}', \hat{Q}')$, $(\exists x \mid R : P) \Rightarrow Q$ is a theorem iff $(R \land P)[x := \hat{x}] \Rightarrow Q$ is a theorem