**Title: Network biomarkers for working memory deficits in Schizophrenia: a multi-site study**

**Abstract**

Working memory impairment is documented as a core symptom related to cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. Understanding the pathology is very important to diagnosis and treatment of schizophrenia. While the working memory system is regarded as a complex one including both a central modality independent component and some slave modality specific storage buffer. The core regions mainly comprised the frontal-parietal areas known to be responsible for some higher-level procedures in working memory and have been evident to be impaired when the patients performing a working memory task. In this study, we attempt to find whether functional connectivity within the core working memory network is impaired at resting state. By recruiting a large scale multi-site resting-state fmri datasets, and performing a multi-site statistical analysis, we found that working memory deficits can be characterized by reduced functional connectivity among the caudal lateral preftonal cortrex, the left inferior frontal cortex, the left anterior insular and the right inferior parietal cortex. Association analysis of the impaired functional connectivity and clinical scores revealed no significant effect. Our findings indicate that the impaired resting-state functional connectivity within frontal-parietal core working memory network may act as a proxy of impaired top-down control in the working memory procedure, and thus lead to cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. The patterns of reduced connectivity provide stable trait marker for the disease and will help us to approach treatment.

**Introduction**

Working memory is conventionally defined as the ability to maintain and manipulate information over short periods. Working memory has been shown to be impaired in many neurological and psychiatric syndromes including Schizophrenia ([Goldmanrakic, 1994](#_ENREF_21); [Silver et al., 2003](#_ENREF_49)). It was considered tightly associated with cognitive deficits in schizophrenia ([Green et al., 2000](#_ENREF_22)). Examination of the underlying neurological mechanism provides us with insight into the causes, progression, and even treatment of schizophrenia. A large body of work incorporating neuroimaging tools such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has demonstrated that such patients have neurophysiological abnormity compared with the normal ([Eryilmaz et al., 2016](#_ENREF_15); [Jansma et al., 2004](#_ENREF_26); [Shenton et al., 2001](#_ENREF_48); [Thermenos et al., 2005](#_ENREF_52)). Most of these studies focus on task related abnormal activation or connectivity when patients perform a working memory task. However, the results obtained under task may be perplexing due to several reasons. First, working memory is a complex system, which combines slavery components for encoding and maintaining of modality-specific short-term memory and central executive component to manipulate the information. Some studies have found both impairments in the modality-specific perception component and the manipulation component ([Spindler et al., 1997](#_ENREF_50)). There has been evidence of multi-modality deficits in the working memory system including visual spatial, visual object, verbal, and other types of working memory ([Glahn et al., 2001](#_ENREF_19); [Pae et al., 2008](#_ENREF_37); [Piskulic et al., 2007](#_ENREF_39); [Shelley et al., 1995](#_ENREF_46); [Shelley et al., 1996](#_ENREF_47)). Second, a working memory task may incorporates several different procedures including maintenance, updating, retrieve etc. Activation or functional connectivity can be modulated by task configuration such as task load, stimulus type，task phase etc. There has been abundant imaging studies on working memory deficits in Schizophrenia, but the differences among the tasks and material make it difficult to reach a conclusion. The detected changes could reflect brain activation differences in task engagement or responsivity of brain networks to modulation, rather than stable changes that persist across all task states. In such condition, we can hardly make the conclusion about what fundamentally causes the impairment in the working memory system. Another concern is that working memory may be multidimensionally related to the psychosis ([D'Esposito and Postle, 2015](#_ENREF_11); [Pukrop et al., 2003](#_ENREF_41)). The impairment may only present in certain group of the disease. Due to the heterogeneous configurations of tasks and the small sample sizes of the most imaging studies, previous findings of impairment during working memory task may be stage or modality dependent. Thus, it remains unsolved whether there exist some stable and fundamental deficits of working memory system in schiphorenia patitents. In view of above-mentioned issues in previous studies, we applied the following strategy in our study.

We investigate the functional connectivity within the working memory network under resting state. Schizophrenia has often been conceived as a disorder of connectivity between components of large-scale brain networks ([Jiang et al., 2013](#_ENREF_27); [Lynall et al., 2010](#_ENREF_32)). A growing number of studies have reported altered functional connectivity in schizophrenia during putatively “task-free” states and during the performance of cognitive tasks ([Barch and Repovs, 2012](#_ENREF_6); [Zhou et al., 2007a](#_ENREF_58); [Zhou et al., 2007b](#_ENREF_59)). However, seldom researchers have pay attention to the abnormality of interaction within the working memory network, which might occur to the resting state fmri of the patients. In comparison with task fmri, resting state fmri can be easily obtained and most importantly, it is independent of task configuration. Evidence has showed relation between the resting state signal and the working memory function. Recent study found spontaneous low frequency fluctuations at rest in different areas were correlated with different modality based working memory measures ([van Dam et al., 2015](#_ENREF_55)). Another study found the intrinsic anti-correlations between the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and the dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) measured by resting-state functional connectivity are related to behavioral measures of working memory capacity ([Keller et al., 2015](#_ENREF_30)). ([Zou et al., 2013](#_ENREF_60)) found that resting-state activity could predict subsequent task-evoked brain responses and behavioral performance. All these proved the utility of resting-state fmri in research on working memory. We restricted our analysis in a core network, which mainly comprise the fronto-parietal network including the pars opercularis part of inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), the anterior insula (AI), the pre-supplementary motor area (pre-SMA) and the intraparietal sulcus (IPS). This core network was identified by pooling various working memory tasks using quantitative coordinate-based meta-analysis over almost 200 individual experiments ([Rottschy et al., 2012](#_ENREF_45)). The author draws attention to a consistent and restricted "core network" emerged from conjunctions across different types of working memory studies. This distributed network was believed to be active in working memory task ignoring the task type, stimulus type, and working memory load and may be act as a base part in working memory. By focusing on this core network, we expected to detect functional connectivity impairments in resting state, which may be a potential trait marker of working memory impairment in schizophrenia patients.

Most of the existing studies are limited by small sample sizes. To make our study powerful and reliable, we collect a large cohort of patients from six hospitals across China. We applied a meta-analysis based strategy to pool results from singe site and finally obtained statistical generable results.

**Materials and Methods**

*Subjects*

The resting state fMRI data presented here were collected from six hospitals in China that participated in the Brainnetome Project for Schizophrenia. The six hospitals are Peking University Sixth Hospital (PKUH6), Beijing Huilongguan Hospital (HLG), Xijing Hospital (XJ), Henan Mental Hospital (HM), Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University (RWU), and Zhumadian Psychiatric Hospital (ZMD). Henan Mental Hospital provided images from two distinct MRI scanners: Siemens (HMS) and General Electric (HMG). The local ethical review board approved the study at each center. All the participants provided written informed consent.

All schizophrenia patients (SZs) had a diagnosis of schizophrenia confirmed by trained psychiatrists using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders (SCID-I/P) ([First, 2005](#_ENREF_17)). Exclusion criteria were a current neurologic disorder, a history of serious medical illness, substance dependence, pregnancy, electroconvulsive therapy within the last six months, or a diagnosis of any other Axis I disorder. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) was used to assess positive, negative, and general psychopathology symptoms in the patients ([Kay et al., 1987](#_ENREF_29)). The healthy controls (HCs), who had no current axis I psychiatric disorders, were recruited from the local community near each center through advertisements. None of the HCs had any personal history of psychotic illness and any family history of psychosis in their first, second, or third degree relatives. All the participants were Han Chinese in origin, right-handed, and had no contraindications to MRI scanning. After extensive quality checking of the brain imaging data, 446 SZs and 451 HCs were included in the analysis. andatwere in origin

*Data acquisition and preprocessing*

Two types of 3T MRI scanners (four Siemens andthree General Electric) were used at the participating centers (details in Supplementary Table 1). To ensure equivalent acquisition protocol and high quality imaging data, the scanning parameters at each of the six centers were set up by an experienced researcher before data acquisition. Briefly, the functional scans were obtained by an echo planar imaging sequence with the following parameters: 30 axial slices, repetition time = 2000 ms, echo time = 30 ms, matrix = 64 × 64, flip angle =, field of view = 220\*220 mm2, slice thickness = 4 mm, gap = 0.6 mm. The detailed MRI scan sequences and parameters for each center are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The multi-site statistical strategy we used is robust to heterogynous data from different sites.

The fMRI scans were preprocessed with Brainnetome fMRI Toolkit (http://www.brainnetome.org/en/brainnetometool/fmri-toolkit), which is based on functions in Statistical Parametric Mapping SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The first ten images were discarded for signal equilibration. The remaining images were corrected for slice timing and head motion movement. We excluded \*\* SZs and \*\* HCs who had larger than 3.0 mm of head motion or of angular rotation. There were no differences with regard to the maximum displacement and maximum rotation between groups at all sites, except that at HMS the SZs had nominal higher maximum displacement (Wilcoxon rank sum test, W = 2506.00, *p* < 0.02, see Supplementary Table 9 for details). The fMRI images were then normalized to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template and resampled to the 3 mm isotropic voxels. Artefacts due to changes in global, ventricular and white matter signals, and residual motion were removed using voxel-wise regression. A temporal filter (0.01 Hz < f < 0.08 Hz) was used to reduce the low-frequency drift and physiological high frequency respiratory and cardiac noise. Finally, the data were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full-width at half-maximum.

*Regions of interest (ROIs)*

The ROIs in this study were derived from a previous meta-analysis ([Rottschy et al., 2012](#_ENREF_45)), in which Rottschy and colleagues identified several regions that commonly active during various working memory tasks. A consistent and restricted “core” network emerged from conjunctions across analyses of specific task designs and contrasts: task effects for n-back and Sternberg tasks, verbal and non-verbal tasks, load effects, and three task components (encoding, maintenance, and recall). These regions include bilateral IFG pars opercularis, AI, pre-SMA, and IPS, totaling 10 ROIs. The peak coordinates are listed in Supplementary Table 10. Five mm radius sphere ROIs centered at peak coordinates were created in MNI standard space.

We averaged the time series of all voxels within each ROI for each subject. Pearson correlation was then performed to estimate functional connectivity between each pair of ROIs. Fisher’s Z transformation was applied to transform Pearson r to z scores.

*Statistical analysis*

Group differences in the demographics at each site were examined with two-tailed t-tests and chi-square tests using R ([R Core Team, 2015](#_ENREF_43)). Differences in functional connectivity strength between SZs and HCs were assessed using two-sample t-tests from each individual site. A second level random effects meta-analysis was used to pool the single site statistics, in order to evaluate the replication of the effects across multi-sites. The meta-analysis was conducted in R with metafor packages ([Viechtbauer, 2010](#_ENREF_56)). The effect sizes were calculated using Hedge's *g*, which was calculated using each center's means, standard deviations, and the corresponding sample size in order to provide an unbiased standardized mean difference that incorporates a correction for small sample sizes ([Hedges and Olkin, 1985](#_ENREF_25" \o "Hedges, 1985 #2238)). The heterogeneity among studies (i.e., *τ2*) was estimated with the DerSimonian-Laird estimator using chi-square tests ([DerSimonian and Laird, 1986](#_ENREF_12); [Raudenbush, 2009](#_ENREF_44)). Variation in standardized mean difference (SMD) attributable to heterogeneity was measured by *I2*. Random-effect models were used for the meta-analyses in consideration of the potential variation caused by site factor. All significance thresholds were set to false discovery rate (FDR) corrected *p* < 0.001 for multiple comparisons.

Exploratory analyses of the relationships between functional connectivities (FC) strengths that showed significant group effects and PANSS symptom subscales were evaluated using Pearson correlations by integrating all the multi-site data removing the influence of age, gender, and site factors.

**Results**

*Demographics and clinical data*

Sociodemographic and psychopathological data are presented by center in Supplementary Tables. No statistically significant differences in age and sex were noted between the schizophrenia patients and HCs at each center. The patients had significantly fewer years of education than the HCs in five out of seven centers.

Group comparisons of FCs at each center

*Random effect multicenter analysis*

We found four FCs significantly reduced in the SZs compared to the normal group in random effect multi-site analysis. They are the connection between the left IFG/caudal lateral prefrontal gyrus and the left AI (FC1: SMD = -0.20, *Z* = -3.00, *p* = 0.03, *I2*= 0.00%), the left IFG/caudal lateral prefrontal gyrus and the left IFG pars opercularis (FC2: SMD = -0.23, *Z* = -3.36, *p* = 0.02, *I2*= 0.00%), the right IPS and the left IFG pars opercularis (FC3: SMD = -0.22, *Z* = -3.28, *p* = 0.02, *I2*= 0.00%), the right IPS and the right IFG pars opercularis (FC4: SMD = -0.20, *Z* = -3.01, *p* = 0.03, *I2*= 0.00%) (Figure 1). Forest plots of the multi-site meta analyses are showed in Figure 2~5 for each FC. The results showed no heterogeneity attributed to the site factor, however, since we have only seven sites, these is possibility of underestimation of heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the test of SMD is still validated in such condition. No functional connectivity was detected with an enhanced effect in the patients group. Additionally, we did not find any association between the FCs and the clinical scores.

**Discussion**

Our results showed reduced resting-state functional connectivity from the caudal LPFC to the left AI and pars opercularis part of the left IFG. The LPFC is confirmed to be a core region responsible for higher-level representation or manipulation in working memory processes ([D'Esposito and Postle, 2015](#_ENREF_11)). Meta-analysis finds evidence for the consistent activation of caudal LPFC across different putative executive functions ([Nee et al., 2013](#_ENREF_35)). The caudal LPFC also showed a working memory load-dependent effect, whereas the rostral LPFC was not ([Rottschy et al., 2012](#_ENREF_45)). This may indicate that the caudal LPFC was directly involved in manipulating the working memory storage. Earlier study showed the activation in caudal LPFC regions negatively correlated with the disorganization syndrome score of patients ([Barbalat et al., 2009](#_ENREF_5)). Although these findings are under the context of cognition control, there might be similar effect in working memory because LPFC belong to a common network subserving a wide domain of cognitive tasks including working memory ([Duncan and Owen, 2000](#_ENREF_13)). Our resting state results suggested that there might be dysfunction in the caudal LPFC, which may indicate functional impairment when processing working memory items. The impairment may propagate down to the other frontal areas in a hierarchical working memory network, as we found a reduced connectivity to the left AI and left IFG, two important regions involved in working memory. The inferior frontal gyrus/anterior insula (IFG/AI) was suggested to be involved in elaborate attentional and top-down control in adaption to working memory context, which help to prepare and update the strategies in working memory processing ([Tops and Boksem, 2011](#_ENREF_53)). Ventral cortico-limbic control pathways that include the IFG/AI, may adapt to working memory context that differ in the level of predictability. Meta-analysis has find consistent activation in bilateral mid-ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (BA45, 47) within different N-back tasks, which suggested a modality independent involvement in working memory ([Owen et al., 2005](#_ENREF_36)). ([Carpenter et al., 2000](#_ENREF_8)) suggested that the mid-ventrolateral region (Brodmann’s area [BA] 45/47) supports the organization of response sequences based on information retrieved from posterior areas. Specifically, the inhibitory processes appear to be mediated by area 45 (left lateral prefrontal structures) in working memory tasks ([Jonides et al., 1998](#_ENREF_28)). Study find the left and right IFG showed a conjunction between working memory and inhibition tasks within subjects, which indicate some component of executive function may interactive with the working memory system in working memory tasks ([McNab et al., 2008](#_ENREF_33)). The right IFG has been suggested to perform a general-purpose inhibitory function, and is related to inhibition of irrelevant memory from entering WM ([Hampshire et al., 2010](#_ENREF_23); [Nee et al., 2013](#_ENREF_35)). One review gives attention to the role of AI in switching between other large-scale networks to facilitate access to attention and working memory resources when a salient event is detected ([Menon and Uddin, 2010](#_ENREF_34)). ([Eriksson et al., 2015](#_ENREF_14)) reviewed that sustained attention along with a rehearsal process is crucial for maintaining the information in working memory in the absence of sensory input. Individual differences in working memory capacity are also showed to be determined primarily by variability ability in attentional control deployment. Moreover, there is evidence of structure abnormality of these areas in schizophrenia patients. For example, the anterior insula is closely associated with working memory processes in healthy participants and shows gray matter reduction in schizophrenia ([Clos et al., 2014](#_ENREF_9)). Cortical thinning in inferior frontal and insular is related to dysfunctional brain activation/deactivation during working memory task in schizophrenic patients ([Pujol et al., 2013](#_ENREF_40)). In line with these existing findings, we suspect that the schizophrenia patients may lack the attention, the inhibition control ability to maintain, and manipulating the working memory items while not disturbed by unrelated staff.

Apart from the caudal LPFC, we also found connectivity reduction in the parietal lobe, i.e., from the IPS to bilateral IFG. The IPS is a region known to be active during states of high attention to sensory stimulation or performance of attention-demanding tasks, as part of the attention control network, or task positive network. Evidence has showed it is anatomically organized in topographic maps of multisensory attention ([Anderson et al., 2010](#_ENREF_2)). In a visual working memory task, the subjects’ individual behavioral VWM capacity was predicted by neuronal synchrony in a network in which the IPS was the most central hub ([Palva et al., 2010](#_ENREF_38)), with other hub areas like insula, cingulate and orbitofrontal structures formulating a cinguloopercular attention system that underlies the task set maintenance. Taken together, we suspect that the reduced connectivity between the IPS and bilateral IFG may indicate inefficiency in processing novel information in working memory.

Although there have been mounting evidence of altered LPFC connectivity with inferior parietal cortex, we did not detect a significant effect between the left caudal ROI and the IPS.

The frontal-parietal working memory core networks

The complex pattern of hyper-activation and hypo-activation found across studies implies that researchers should consider the entire network of regions involved in a given task when making inferences about the biological mechanisms of schizophrenia ([Glahn et al., 2005](#_ENREF_20)). The organization of human working memory has long been the topic of psychological models ([Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968](#_ENREF_3)), with maybe the most influential having been proposed by ([Baddeley and Hitch, 1974](#_ENREF_4)). According to Baddeley and Hitch’s model, the working memory system can be coarsely divided into a central executive module and some peripheral modality specific components. Working memory is the result of various combinations of processes; no processes (and correspondingly no brain structures) are unique or specific to working memory ([Eriksson et al., 2015](#_ENREF_14)). Persistent neural activity in various brain regions accompanies working memory and is functionally necessary for maintenance and integration of information in working memory. Although there are different types of material modality, working memory task or contrast, large amount of previous related studies consistently find abnormality in the frontal-parietal network in patients. This network is well recognized as a core for higher order cognition such as working memory and executive control ([Duncan and Owen, 2000](#_ENREF_13); [Owen et al., 2005](#_ENREF_36)). Our findings of the impaired functional connectivity are mainly located in a generic frontal-parietal network including the caudal LPFC, the left AI, the left IFG, and the right IPS. Previous studies of SZ patients when they perform working memory tasks provide some evidence for impairment in this core network. A PET study has found impaired interaction between right lateral prefrontal cortex and bilateral inferior parietal region in SZ patients compared with normal patients during working memory processing ([Kim et al., 2003](#_ENREF_31)). A study confirmed decreased connectivity between the right inferior parietal lobular and the right ventral lateral prefrontal cortex, which was associated with the task performance in visuospatial n-back task ([Quide et al., 2013](#_ENREF_42)). ([Tan et al., 2007](#_ENREF_51)) found a compensation role of ventral prefrontal areas to the dorsal prefrontal areas with the increase of working memory load in the high-and low-performing patient groups. They also found relatively greater connectivity between the ventral prefrontal cortex and the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) in patients while comparison subjects had greater functional connectivity between the dorsal prefrontal cortex and the PPC. Recently, a coordinate based meta analyses confirmed that the middle frontal gyrus (BA9), the right inferior frontal gyrus (BA44) showed decrease in neural activation of schizophrenia unaffected relatives while the right frontopolar (BA10), and the left IPL(BA40) and bilaterally thalamus showed increased activation , both during working memory tasks ([Zhang et al., 2016](#_ENREF_57)). In this confirmatory study, we verified several reduced resting state connectivity within the prefrontal-parietal network.

Resting state and working memory

The ability to predict task performance with resting state data is unproven. A few studies investigate the relationship between resting state signal and task state signal. In ([Hampson et al., 2006](#_ENREF_24)), they found performance on the working memory task was positively correlated with the strength of functional connection between two regions within the default mode network both during the working memory and at rest. This study raise the possibility that the individual differences in coupling strength at rest predict differences in cognitive abilities important for this working memory task. Another study showed fractional amplitude of low frequency fluctuations (fALFF) at rest is correlated with domain and demand-specific working memory performance ([van Dam et al., 2015](#_ENREF_55)). The other study found that smaller amplitudes of low-frequency BOLD oscillations during rest, measured by fALFF, were significantly associated with poorer cognitive performance, sometimes similarly in both groups and sometimes only in SZ, in regions known to subserve sustained attention and working memory. Some other studies provide evidence for a correlation between working memory performance and functional network integration on different levels of network organization ([Alavash et al., 2015](#_ENREF_1)). Taken together, these data suggest that the magnitude of resting-state BOLD oscillations shows promise as a biomarker of cognitive function in health and disease ([Fryer et al., 2015](#_ENREF_18)). The reason may be that there are some latent factors in related to the disease, and those factors will affect both the resting state signal and the BOLD signal during the tasks. For example, there have been studies showing structure deficits associated with working memory performance, which indicated that both task impairment and dysconnection in rest might have common bases in structure ([Pujol et al., 2013](#_ENREF_40)).

Multi-site analysis

As we considered, the scanner, imaging protocol and clinical measures may bias a single site imaging study. To overcome these drawbacks, we acquired high quality fMRI data with common protocol from hospitals distributed across China. The subjects are also recruited under the same criteria and the clinical scores are assessed according to the standard. Despite these controled measures, the data and the statistical results may be influenced by the differences in psychopathology, exposure to antipsychotic medication，scanner type and some other potential effects from different sites. In order to assess the generalization of the effects, meta-analysis was used here to pooling results from single site to increase the statistical power ([Costafreda, 2009](#_ENREF_10); [Turner et al., 2013](#_ENREF_54)). To model the heterogeneous induced by the different subjective groups, acquisition software and hardware, and the individual variance in coregistering to the template, we introduced site factor as a random effect. By applying such multi-site experiment design and pooling strategy, we were able to, first, reduce the possibility of biased results in a single site, and provide more reliable and generalized results; second, extract novel insights from existing large-scale datasets by increasing the statistical power. Variation caused by confounding effect can be covered by the large sample size; the results may generalize over sites and are more likely to be substantial.

Limitations

The present findings must be considered with respect to several limitations. Some researchers suspected that working memory is a distributed system rather than represented in a few isolated core areas ([Eriksson et al., 2015](#_ENREF_14)). Evidence showed that schizophrenia patients have diffuse impairment across brain ([Buckley, 2005](#_ENREF_7); [Fioravanti et al., 2012](#_ENREF_16); [Jiang et al., 2013](#_ENREF_27)). This phenomena leads to the possibility that the impairment in working memory networks could be also distributed across the working memory networks. This may explain the difficulty in finding consistent results in imaging research. The poor behavior performance of patients may be composite of weak effect of different regions within and between networks. In this study, we focused on the core regions in the working memory network in related to most symptoms in schizophrenia. Nevertheless, this may lack the specificity when explaining symptoms caused by some modality specific impairment even in the working memory tasks. It provides us little information about how the higher order networks interact with lower level regions during the working memory tasks. Further research should focus on how the working memory core network interacts with the modality specific component, and its relation with specific symptoms such as auditory hallucinations.

In the present study, we did not find any association between these impaired functional connectivity with PANSS scores (PANSS total, PANSS general, PANSS negative and PANSS positive). One reason is that schizophrenia is a multi-dimensional disease and these scores does not reflect one spectral of impairment like working memory but is a combination of different deficits. Another reason is that the association may be too weak to detect. As pointed in ([Duncan and Owen, 2000](#_ENREF_13)), the frontal-parietal networks are comprised of core regions, which may involve in multiple cognitive procedures. Because we did not detect the direct association of imaging findings to behavior performance, we are not sure whether these impairments are also related to other behavior domain.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our investigation provides evidence for working memory resting-state function network impairment in schizophrenia, mainly involving the functional connectivity in the frontal-parietal network. Our findings are draw from a large-scale multi-site study with subjects collected from seven hospitals in China which lead to powerful and reliable conclusion that the working memory deficits are mainly ascribed to the dysfunction of high-level nodes comprises the “core” working memory network. The results may informed us the causes of this disease and facilitating future study on the pathology and treatment of this disease.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Four reduced functional connectivities (left cIFG – left AI; left cIFG – left IFG\_oper; left IFG\_oper – right IPS; right IFG\_oper – right IPS) in the SZs. The pooled effect sizes were represented with different colors of the edges; lower value in the color bar means more reduced connection in the SZs compared with the HCs.



**Figure 2.** Forest plot for studies of FC1 from all the sites, with standard mean difference (SMD) as outcome.

Each study is shown with its 95% confidence interval CI. The size of the square symbol is proportional to the weight assigned to the study in the pooled estimate using a random effects model. Test of heterogeneity result is shown in text below the plot. *Q* is the chi-squared statistic and *df* is its degrees of freedom. *I2*is the percentage of variation in standardized mean difference (SMD) attributable to heterogeneity. The DerSimonian and Laird estimate of the pooled effect size is reported together with the 95% confidence interval in square brackets.



**Figure 3.** Forest plot for studies of FC2 from all the sites, with standard mean difference (SMD) as outcome.



**Figure 4.** Forest plot for studies from all the sites, with standard mean difference (SMD) of FC3 as outcome.



**Figure 5.** Forest plot for studies from all the sites, with standard mean difference (SMD) of FC4 as outcome.

