LITERATURE APPENDIX

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Christopher Carroll}^1 \\ \textbf{Johns Hopkins University} \\ \textbf{Econ-ARK} \end{array}$

Alan Lujan Johns Hopkins University AAP Econ-ARK

Thursday 21^{st} November, 2024

Keywords

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Correspondence}$ to: ccarroll@llorracc.org

Citation

Samuelson [1975]

Merton [1969]

Merton [1971] Samuelson [1989]

Abstract

Publisher Summary This chapter reviews the optimal consumption-investment problem for an investor whose utility for consumption over time is a discounted sum of single-period utilities, with the latter being constant over time and exhibiting constant relative risk aversion (power-law functions or logarithmic functions). It presents a generalization of Phelps' model to include portfolio choice and consumption. The explicit form of the optimal solution is derived for the special case of utility functions having constant relative risk aversion. The optimal portfolio decision is independent of time, wealth, and the consumption decision at each stage. Most analyses of portfolio selection, whether they are of the Markowitzâ"Tobin mean-variance or of more general type, maximize over one period. The chapter only discusses special and easy cases that suffice to illustrate the general principles involved and presents the lifetime model that reveals that investing for many periods does not itself introduce extra tolerance for riskiness at early or any stages of life.

OST models of portfolio selection have M been oneperiod models. I examine the combined problem of optimal portfolio selection and consumption rules for an individual in a continuous-time model whzere his income is generated by returns on assets and these returns or instantaneous "growth rates" are stochastic. P. A. Samuelson has developed a similar model in discrete-time for more general probability distributions in a companion paper [8]. I derive the optimality equations for a multiasset problem when the rate of returns are generated by a Wiener Brownian-motion process. A particular case examined in detail is the two-asset model with constant relative riskaversion or iso-elastic marginal utility. An explicit solution is also found for the case of constant absolute risk-aversion. The general technique employed can be used to examine a wide class of intertemporal economic problems under uncertainty. In addition to the Samuelson paper [8], there is the multi-period analysis of Tobin [9]. Phelps [6] has a model used to determine the optimal consumption rule for a multi-period example where income is partly generated by an asset with an uncertain return. Mirrless [5] has developed a continuous-time optimal consumption model of the neoclassical type with technical progress a random variable.

Maximizing expected utility over a lifetime leads one who has constant relative risk aversion and faces random-walk securities returns to be "myopic" and hold the same fraction of portfolio in equities early and late in life—a defiance of folk wisdom and casual introspection. By assuming one needs to assure at retirement a minimum ("subsistence") level of wealth, the present analysis deduces a pattern of greater risk-taking when young than when old. When a subsistence minimum is needed at every period of life, the rentier paradoxically is least risk 2 tolerant in youth—the Robert C. Merton paradox that traces to the decline with age of the present discounted value of the subsistence-consumption requirements. Conversely, the decline with age of cap-

italized human capital reverses the Merton effect.

References

- Paul A. Samuelson. LIFETIME PORTFOLIO SELECTION BY DYNAMIC STOCHASTIC PROGRAM-MING, pages 517–524. Elsevier, 1975. ISBN 9780127808505. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-780850-5.50044-7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-780850-5.50044-7.
- Robert C. Merton. Lifetime Portfolio Selection under Uncertainty: The Continuous-Time Case. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 51(3):247, 8 1969. ISSN 0034-6535. doi:10.2307/1926560. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1926560.
- Robert C Merton. Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 3(4):373–413, 12 1971. ISSN 0022-0531. doi:10.1016/0022-0531(71)90038-x. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0531(71)90038-X.
- P A Samuelson. A case at last for age-phased reduction in equity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 86(22):9048–9051, 11 1989. ISSN 1091-6490. doi:10.1073/pnas.86.22.9048. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.86.22.9048.
- Larry G. Epstein and Stanley E. Zin. Substitution, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Consumption and Asset Returns: A Theoretical Framework. *Econometrica*, 57(4):937, 7 1989. ISSN 0012-9682. doi:10.2307/1913778. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1913778.
- Angus Deaton. Saving and Liquidity Constraints. *Econometrica*, 59(5):1221, 9 1991. ISSN 0012-9682. doi:10.2307/2938366. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2938366.
- Zvi Bodie, Robert C. Merton, and William F. Samuelson. Labor supply flexibility and portfolio choice in a life cycle model. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 16(3–4):427–449, 7 1992. ISSN 0165-1889. doi:10.1016/0165-1889(92)90044-f. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1889(92)90044-F.
- Miles Kimball. Standard Risk Aversion. National Bureau of Economic Research, 3 1991. doi:10.3386/t0099. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3386/T0099.
- Ravi Jagannathan and Narayana Kocherlakota. Why Should Older People Invest Less in Stocks Than Younger People? Quarterly Review, 20(3), 6 1996. ISSN 0271-5287. doi:10.21034/qr.2032. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.21034/QR.2032.
- C. D. Carroll. Buffer-Stock Saving and the Life Cycle/Permanent Income Hypothesis. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(1):1–55, 2 1997. ISSN 1531-4650. doi:10.1162/003355397555109. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/003355397555109.
- Luis M. Viceira. Optimal Portfolio Choice for LongHorizon Investors with Nontradable Labor Income. *The Journal of Finance*, 56(2):433–470, 4 2001. ISSN 1540-6261. doi:10.1111/0022-1082.00333. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00333.
- J. Y. Campbell and L. M. Viceira. Consumption and Portfolio Decisions when Expected Returns are Time Varying. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(2):433–495, 5 1999. ISSN 1531-4650. doi:10.1162/003355399556043. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/003355399556043.
- Nicholas Barberis. Investing for the Long Run when Returns Are Predictable. *The Journal of Finance*, 55 (1):225–264, 2 2000. ISSN 1540-6261. doi:10.1111/0022-1082.00205. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00205.
- B. C. Madrian and D. F. Shea. The Power of Suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) Participation and Savings Behavior. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116(4):1149–1187, 11 2001. ISSN 1531-4650. doi:10.1162/003355301753265543. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/003355301753265543.
- Francisco J. Gomes and Alexander Michaelides. Life-Cycle Asset Allocation: A Model with Borrowing Constraints, Uninsurable Labor Income Risk and Stock-Market Participation Costs. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2002. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.299388. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.299388.
- Stephanie Curcuru, John Heaton, Deborah Lucas, and Damien Moore. Heterogeneity and Portfolio Choice: Theory and Evidence, pages 337–382. Elsevier, 2010. ISBN 9780444508973. doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-50897-3.50009-2. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-50897-3.50009-2.
- FRANCISCO GOMES and ALEXANDER MICHAELIDES. Optimal LifeCycle Asset Allocation: Understanding the Empirical Evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, 60(2):869–904, 3 2005. ISSN 1540-6261. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00749.x. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-6261.2005.00749.X.
- João F. Cocco, Francisco J. Gomes, and Pascal J. Maenhout. Consumption and Portfolio Choice over the Life Cycle. *Review of Financial Studies*, 18(2):491–533, 2005. ISSN 1465-7368. doi:10.1093/rfs/hhi017. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/RFS/HHI017.

- James Poterba, Joshua Rauh, Steven Venti, and David Wise. Lifecycle Asset Allocation Strategies and the Distribution of 401(k) Retirement Wealth. National Bureau of Economic Research, 1 2006. doi:10.3386/w11974. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3386/W11974.
- Luis M. Viceira. Life-Cycle Funds. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2007. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.988362. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.988362.
- Zvi Bodie and Jonathan Treussard. Making Investment Choices as Simple as Possible, but Not Simpler. Financial Analysts Journal, 63(3):42–47, 5 2007. ISSN 1938-3312. doi:10.2469/faj.v63.n3.4689. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2469/FAJ.V63.N3.4689.
- Francisco J Gomes, Laurence J Kotlikoff, and Luis M Viceira. Optimal Life-Cycle Investing with Flexible Labor Supply: A Welfare Analysis of Life-Cycle Funds. *American Economic Review*, 98(2):297–303, 4 2008. ISSN 0002-8282. doi:10.1257/aer.98.2.297. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/AER.98.2.297.
- Ryan D Edwards. Health Risk and Portfolio Choice. *Journal of Business amp; Economic Statistics*, 26 (4):472–485, 10 2008. ISSN 1537-2707. doi:10.1198/073500107000000287. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1198/073500107000000287.
- Gokul Bhandari and Richard Deaves. Misinformed and informed asset allocation decisions of self-directed retirement plan members. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(4):473–490, 8 2008. ISSN 0167-4870. doi:10.1016/j.joep.2007.12.003. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.JOEP.2007.12.003.
- John Beshears, James J. Choi, David Laibson, and Brigitte C. Madrian. The Importance of Default Options for Retirement Saving Outcomes, pages 167–195. University of Chicago Press, 2009. ISBN 9780226076508. doi:10.7208/chicago/9780226076508.003.0006. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.7208/CHICAGO/9780226076508.003.0006.
- Kent A. Smetters and Ying Chen. Optimal Portfolio Choice Over the Life Cycle with Social Security. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2010. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.1706245. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.1706245.
- Olivia Mitchell and Stephen Utkus. Target-Date Funds in 401(k) Retirement Plans. National Bureau of Economic Research, 3 2012. doi:10.3386/w17911. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3386/W17911.
- Luigi Guiso and Paolo Sodini. Household Finance: An Emerging Field, pages 1397–1532. Elsevier, 2013. doi:10.1016/b978-0-44-459406-8.00021-4. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-44-459406-8.00021-4.
- Zvi Bodie. Thoughts on the Future: Life-Cycle Investing in Theory and Practice. Financial Analysts Journal, 71(1):43-48, 1 2015. ISSN 1938-3312. doi:10.2469/faj.v71.n1.6. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2469/FAJ. V71.N1.6.
- Jason C. Hsu, Jonathan Treussard, Vivek Viswanathan, and Lillian Wu. Two Determinants of Lifecycle Investment Success. *The Journal of Retirement*, 2(4):14–21, 4 2015. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2015.2.4.014. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2015.2.4.014.
- Matthew O'Hara and Ted Daverman. Reexamining "To vs. Through": What New Research Tells Us about an Old Debate. *The Journal of Retirement*, 2(4):30–37, 4 2015. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2015.2.4.030. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2015.2.4.030.
- Ning Tang and Yen-Ting Lin. The efficiency of target-date funds. Journal of Asset Management, 16(2):131–148, 3 2015. ISSN 1479-179X. doi:10.1057/jam.2015.8. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/JAM.2015.8.
- Sandeep Singh. The evidence on target-date mutual funds. Financial Services Review, 25(3):235–262, 10 2023. ISSN 1873-5673. doi:10.61190/fsr.v25i3.3223. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.61190/fsr.v25i3.3223.
- ANDREAS FAGERENG, CHARLES GOTTLIEB, and LUIGI GUISO. Asset Market Participation and Portfolio Choice over the LifeCycle. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(2):705–750, 3 2017. ISSN 1540-6261. doi:10.1111/jofi.12484. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/J0FI.12484.
- Alexander Michaelides and Yuxin Zhang. Stock Market Mean Reversion and Portfolio Choice over the Life Cycle. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 52(3):1183–1209, 6 2017. ISSN 1756-6916. doi:10.1017/s0022109017000357. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0022109017000357.
- David Blanchett and Paul D. Kaplan. Beyond the Glide Path: The Drivers of Target-Date Fund Returns. The Journal of Retirement, 5(4):25–39, 4 2018. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2018.1.038. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2018.1.038.
- John M. Mulvey and Han Hao. Setting Realistic Goals for Personal Retirement Planning via Micro-Macro Analyses. *The Journal of Retirement*, 8(2):23–38, 9 2020. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2020.1.076. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2020.1.076.

- Javier Estrada. Target-Date Funds, Glidepaths, and Risk Aversion. The Journal of Wealth Management, 23 (3):50-60, 8 2020. ISSN 2374-1368. doi:10.3905/jwm.2020.1.118. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JWM.2020.1.118.
- Wenliang Hou. How Accurate Are Retirees' Assessments of Their Retirement Risk? SSRN Electronic Journal, 2020. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3653456. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3653456.
- Household finance, pages 112–126. The World Bank, 12 2014. ISBN 9781464803437. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-0342-0_ch6. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0342-0_CH6.
- Francisco Gomes. Portfolio Choice Over the Life Cycle: A Survey. Annual Review of Financial Economics, 12(1):277-304, 11 2020. ISSN 1941-1375. doi:10.1146/annurev-financial-012820-113815. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-FINANCIAL-012820-113815.
- John B. Shoven and Daniel B. Walton. An Analysis of the Performance of Target Date Funds. *The Journal of Retirement*, 8(4):43–65, 3 2021. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2021.1.084. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2021.1.084.
- Francisco Gomes, Alexander Michaelides, and Yuxin Zhang. Tactical Target Date Funds. *Management Science*, 68(4):3047–3070, 4 2022. ISSN 1526-5501. doi:10.1287/mnsc.2021.3981. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/MNSC.2021.3981.
- Victor Duarte, Julia Fonseca, Aaron Goodman, and Jonathan A. Parker. Simple Allocation Rules and Optimal Portfolio Choice Over the Lifecycle. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2021. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3983913. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3983913.
- Olivia S. Mitchell and Stephen P. Utkus. Target-date funds and portfolio choice in 401(k) plans. *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance*, 21(4):519–536, 6 2021. ISSN 1475-3022. doi:10.1017/s1474747221000263. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s1474747221000263.
- Francisco Gomes, Michael Haliassos, and Tarun Ramadorai. Household Finance. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3):919–1000, 9 2021. ISSN 0022-0515. doi:10.1257/jel.20201461. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/JEL.20201461.
- Jonathan A. Parker, Antoinette Schoar, Allison Cole, and Duncan Simester. Household Portfolios and Retirement Saving Over the Life Cycle. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2022. ISSN 1556-5068. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4068063. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4068063.
- David Altig, Laurence J. Kotlikoff, and Victor Yifan Ye. How Much Lifetime Social Security Benefits Are Americans Leaving on the Table? *Tax Policy and the Economy*, 37:135–173, 7 2023. ISSN 1537-2650. doi:10.1086/724355. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/724355.
- Ankul Daga, Timothy Smart, and David C. Pakula. The Multi-Goal Framework: Why Practitioners Have Not Adopted the Lifecycle Model—Yet. *The Journal of Retirement*, 10(3):71–94, 1 2023. ISSN 2326-6902. doi:10.3905/jor.2023.10.3.071. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3905/JOR.2023.10.3.071.
- JONATHAN A. PARKER, ANTOINETTE SCHOAR, and YANG SUN. Retail Financial Innovation and Stock Market Dynamics: The Case of Target Date Funds. *The Journal of Finance*, 78(5):2673–2723, 6 2023. ISSN 1540-6261. doi:10.1111/jofi.13258. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/J0FI.13258.