Appendix to "The Method of Moderation"

Christopher D. Carroll [1,2*], **Alan Lujan** [1,2†], **Karsten Chipeniuk**[3], **Kiichi Tokuoka**[4], and **Weifeng Wu**[5]

[1]Johns Hopkins University
[2]Econ-ARK
[3]Reserve Bank of New Zealand
[4]Japanese Ministry of Finance
[5]Fannie Mae

Abstract

This appendix provides detailed mathematical derivations and technical results supporting the Method of Moderation. Topics include: value function transformations and their relationship to the inverse value function; explicit formulas for minimal and maximal marginal propensities to consume; cusp point calculations for tighter upper bounds; Hermite interpolation slope formulas and MPC derivations; patience conditions ensuring well-defined solutions; and extensions to stochastic returns with explicit formulas for portfolio problems.

Keywords Dynamic Stochastic Optimization, Numerical Methods

1 Appendix: Mathematical Details

1.1 Patience Conditions Details

The patience conditions listed in the main text have clear economic interpretations. The FVAC $0 < \beta G^{1-\rho}\mathbb{E}[\psi^{1-\rho}] < 1$ ensures autarky (consuming zero forever) has finite disutility, guaranteeing the consumer values resources. The AIC $\Phi < 1$ prevents indefinite consumption deferral by ensuring the marginal utility of current consumption exceeds the discounted marginal utility of future consumption under certainty. The RIC $\Phi/R < 1$ ensures asset growth is slower than the patience-adjusted discount rate, preventing unbounded wealth accumulation. The GIC $\Phi/G < 1$ ensures consumption grows slower than permanent income, establishing a target wealth ratio. The FHWC $G/R < 1$ ensures the present value of future labor income is finite. Together, these conditions partition parameter space into regions with qualitatively different behavior: buffer-stock saving with a target wealth ratio (all conditions hold), perpetual borrowing (AIC fails), or unbounded wealth growth (GIC fails but RIC holds) [1, 2, 3].

1.2 Human Wealth Formulas

The optimist's human wealth (assuming $\xi_{t+n} = 1 \; \forall \; n > 0$) can be computed three ways: backward recursion $\bar{h}_T = 0$, $\bar{h}_t = (G/R)(1 + \bar{h}_{t+1})$; forward sum $\bar{h}_t = \sum_{n=1}^{T-t}(G/R)^n$; or infinite-horizon $\bar{h} = G/(R-G)$ when $R > G$. With $G = 1$, $\bar{h} = 1/(R-1)$.

The pessimist's human wealth (assuming $\xi_{t+n} = \underline{\xi} \; \forall \; n > 0$) follows similarly: backward recursion $\underline{h}_T = 0$, $\underline{h}_t = (G/R)(\underline{\xi} + \underline{h}_{t+1})$; forward sum $\underline{h}_t = \underline{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{T-t}(G/R)^n$; or infinite-horizon $\underline{h} = \underline{\xi}G/(R-G)$. When $\underline{\xi} = 0$ (unemployment), $\underline{h} = 0$.

1.3 Marginal Propensity to Consume Formulas

The minimal MPC (perfect foresight consumer with horizon $T-t$) has three forms [4]: backward recursion $\underline{\kappa}_t = \underline{\kappa}_{t+1}/(\underline{\kappa}_{t+1} + \Phi/R)$ with $\underline{\kappa}_T = 1$; forward sum $\underline{\kappa}_t = (\sum_{n=0}^{T-t}(\Phi/R)^n)^{-1}$; or infinite-horizon $\underline{\kappa} = 1 - \Phi/R = 1 - (R\beta)^{1/\rho}/R$.

The maximal MPC [5] satisfies backward recursion $\bar{\kappa}_t = 1 - \wp^{1/\rho}(\Phi/R)(1 + \bar{\kappa}_{t+1})$ with $\bar{\kappa}_T = 1$; forward sum $\bar{\kappa}_t = 1 - \wp^{1/\rho}(\Phi/R)\sum_{n=0}^{T-t}\left(\wp^{1/\rho}(\Phi/R)\right)^n$; or infinite-horizon $\bar{\kappa} = 1 - \wp^{1/\rho}(\Phi/R)$.

1.4 Moderation Ratio Slope Formula

The moderation ratio slope needed for Hermite interpolation is derived from differentiating (**??**) from the main text. Using the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\left[\frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m} + e^\mu) - \underline{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m} + e^\mu)}{\Delta h \underline{\kappa}}\right]. \tag{1}$$

Since $\partial e^\mu/\partial\mu = e^\mu = \Delta m$ and $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ is linear with slope $\underline{\kappa}$, this yields

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\mu} = \frac{\Delta m(\partial\hat{\mathbf{c}}/\partial m - \underline{\kappa})}{\underline{\kappa}\Delta h}. \tag{2}$$

1.5 Asymptotic Linearity and Extrapolation

Under the GIC, the logit-transformed moderation ratio $\chi(\mu)$ is asymptotically linear with slope $\alpha = \lim_{\mu\to+\infty}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\mu} \geq 0$ as $\mu \to +\infty$. This slope may equal zero in theory, but is strictly positive on finite grids. For practical implementation, extrapolate χ linearly using the positive boundary slope computed at the highest gridpoint. This linear extrapolation preserves $\omega \in (0, 1)$ and hence $\underline{\mathbf{c}} < \hat{\mathbf{c}} < \bar{\mathbf{c}}$ throughout the extrapolation domain, even if the theoretical limiting slope vanishes. The asymptotic linearity property is crucial because it allows the method to accurately represent the consumption function far beyond the range of gridpoints where the Euler equation was solved, without ever violating the theoretical bounds.

1.6　Cusp Point Calculation

The two upper bounds intersect at the cusp point m^* where

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta m^* + \Delta h)\,\underline{\kappa} &= \bar{\kappa}\,\Delta m^* \\
\Delta m^* &= \frac{\underline{\kappa}\,\Delta h}{\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}} \\
m^* &= -\underline{h} + \frac{\underline{\kappa}\,(\bar{h} - \underline{h})}{\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

where $\Delta m^* \equiv m^* - \underline{m} > 0$ since $\bar{\kappa} > \underline{\kappa}$. For $m \in (\underline{m}, m^*]$, the tighter upper bound yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta m \underline{\kappa} &< \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m} + \Delta m) &&< \bar{\kappa}\Delta m \\
0 &< \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m} + \Delta m) - \Delta m \underline{\kappa} &&< \Delta m(\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}) \\
0 &< \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m}+\Delta m) - \Delta m \underline{\kappa}}{\Delta m(\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa})} \right) &&< 1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

We define the low-region moderation ratio as

$$
\overset{\circ}{\omega}(\mu) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\underline{m} + e^{\mu})e^{-\mu} - \underline{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}},
\tag{5}
$$

which measures how far consumption per unit of wealth exceeds the pessimist's MPC ($\underline{\kappa}$) relative to the maximum possible excess ($\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$).

1.7　Value Function Derivation

Under perfect foresight, consumption grows at constant rate Φ: $\mathbf{c}_{t+n} = \mathbf{c}_t \Phi^n$. The present discounted value of consumption satisfies $\mathrm{PDV}_t^T(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{n=0}^{T-t} \beta^n \mathbf{c}_t \Phi^n = \mathbf{c}_t \sum_{n=0}^{T-t} (\Phi/R)^n$, where we use $\beta \Phi^{1-\rho} = \Phi/R$. Dividing by consumption yields the PDV-to-consumption ratio $C_t^T = \mathrm{PDV}_t^T(\mathbf{c})/\mathbf{c}_t = \sum_{n=0}^{T-t}(\Phi/R)^n = \underline{\kappa}_t^{-1}$, which is unchanged for normalized variables. This yields the key identity $C = \underline{\kappa}^{-1}$ in the infinite-horizon limit, connecting the PDV-to-consumption ratio to the minimal MPC.

The optimist's value function satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{T-1}(m_{T-1}) &\equiv \mathbf{u}(c_{T-1}) + \beta \mathbf{u}(c_T) \\
&= \mathbf{u}(c_{T-1})\left(1 + \beta \Phi^{1-\rho}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{u}(c_{T-1})\left(1 + \Phi/R\right) \\
&= \mathbf{u}(c_{T-1}) C_{T-1}^T
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

The general expression becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{v}}(m) &= \mathbf{u}(\bar{\mathbf{c}}(m))C \\
&= \mathbf{u}(\bar{\mathbf{c}}(m))\underline{\kappa}^{-1} \\
&= \mathbf{u}((\Delta m + \Delta h)\underline{\kappa})\underline{\kappa}^{-1} \\
&= \left[(\Delta m + \Delta h)^{1-\rho}/(1-\rho) \right] \cdot \left[\underline{\kappa}^{1-\rho} \cdot \underline{\kappa}^{-1} \right] \\
&= \mathbf{u}(\Delta m + \Delta h)\underline{\kappa}^{-\rho}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

This can be transformed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Lambda} &\equiv ((1-\rho)\bar{\mathbf{v}})^{1/(1-\rho)} \\
&= c\, C^{1/(1-\rho)} \\
&= (\Delta m + \Delta h)\underline{\kappa}^{-\rho/(1-\rho)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

For the realist's problem, we define $\hat{\Lambda} = ((1-\rho)\hat{\mathbf{v}}(m))^{1/(1-\rho)}$. Using the bounds $\underline{\Lambda} < \hat{\Lambda} < \bar{\Lambda}$, we define

$$
\hat{\Omega}(\mu) = \left(\frac{\hat{\Lambda}(\underline{m} + e^\mu) - \underline{\Lambda}(\underline{m} + e^\mu)}{\Delta h \underline{\kappa}\, C^{1/(1-\rho)}} \right)
\tag{9}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}(\mu) &= \log\left(\frac{\hat{\Omega}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{\Omega}(\mu)} \right) \\
&= \log(\hat{\Omega}(\mu)) - \log(1 - \hat{\Omega}(\mu))
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

Inverting these approximations yields

$$
\hat{\Lambda} = \underline{\Lambda} + \overbrace{\left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\hat{X})} \right)}^{=\hat{\Omega}} \Delta h \underline{\kappa}\, C^{1/(1-\rho)}
\tag{11}
$$

from which the value function approximation is $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{u}(\hat{\Lambda})$.

1.8　Hermite Interpolation: Slope Derivations

The logit transformation slope follows from the chain rule [6, 7]:

$$
\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\log \omega - \log(1 - \omega)\right] = \frac{\partial \omega/\partial \mu}{\omega(1 - \omega)}
\tag{12}
$$

where $\partial \omega/\partial \mu$ is from (2). For monotone cubic Hermite schemes [8, 9, 10], theoretical slopes may be adjusted to enforce monotonicity [11]. The Fritsch-Carlson algorithm modifies slopes at local extrema, while Fritsch-Butland uses harmonic mean weighting. Both preserve the shape-preserving property essential for consumption functions that must be strictly increasing.

The MPC weight derivation starts from differentiating (??) from the main text with respect to m:

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{c}}}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial \underline{\mathbf{c}}}{\partial m} + \frac{\partial}{\partial m}\left[\omega \Delta h \underline{\kappa}\right].
\tag{13}
$$

Since $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ has constant MPC and Δh is constant, $\partial \underline{\mathbf{c}}/\partial m = \underline{\kappa}$ and $\partial \omega/\partial m = (\partial \omega/\partial \mu)\cdot(\partial \mu/\partial \Delta m)\cdot(\partial \Delta m/\partial m) = \partial \omega/\partial \mu \cdot (1/\Delta m)\cdot 1$. This yields

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{c}}}{\partial m} = \underline{\kappa} + \frac{\partial \omega/\partial \mu \Delta h \underline{\kappa}}{\Delta m} = \underline{\kappa}\left(1 + \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta m}\partial \omega/\partial \mu\right).
\tag{14}
$$

Factoring as a weighted average between $\underline{\kappa}$ and $\bar{\kappa}$ gives (??) from the main text, with weight

$$
\eta = \frac{\underline{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}} \cdot \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta m} \cdot \partial \omega/\partial \mu.
\tag{15}
$$

1.9 Stochastic Returns: MGF Derivation

The moment generating function (MGF) for lognormal returns provides the key formula. For $\log \mathbf{R} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, the MGF is $\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] = \exp(\mu s + \sigma^2 s^2/2)$ where $X = \log \mathbf{R}$. Setting $s = 1 - \rho$ and $\mu = r + \pi - \sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}^{1-\rho}] = \exp\left((1-\rho)\left(r + \pi - \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2}{2}\right) + \frac{(1-\rho)^2\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2}{2}\right). \quad (16)$$

Simplifying the variance terms: $(1-\rho)^2\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2 - (1-\rho)\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2 = (1-\rho)[(1-\rho)-1]\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2 = (1-\rho)(-\rho)\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2$, giving the final form

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}^{1-\rho}] = \exp((1-\rho)(r+\pi) + (1-\rho)(1-2\rho)\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}^2/2). \quad (17)$$

For serially correlated returns, the return state becomes an additional state variable, requiring two-dimensional interpolation of the moderation ratio.

1.10 Shock Discretization

Continuous shock distributions require discretization. The Tauchen method [12] constructs a Markov chain by dividing the state space into bins. The Tauchen-Hussey method [13] uses Gaussian quadrature, often requiring fewer states for comparable accuracy. For unemployment shocks, assign probability $\wp$ to zero income and $(1 - \wp)$ across positive realizations. Choose gridpoints and shock points via convergence analysis. The method of moderation is efficient because the transformed moderation ratio is better-behaved than consumption, requiring fewer gridpoints.

References

[1] Christopher D. Carroll. Buffer-Stock Saving and the Life Cycle/Permanent Income Hypothesis. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(1):1–55, 1997. doi: 10.1162/003355397555109.

[2] Christopher D Carroll. Solving microeconomic dynamic stochastic optimization problems. techreport, Johns Hopkins University, 2020. URL https://www.econ2.jhu.edu/people/ccarroll/SolvingMicroDSOPs.pdf.

[3] Christopher D. Carroll and Akshay Shanker. Theoretical Foundations of Buffer Stock Saving (Revise and Resubmit, Quantitative Economics). Revise and Resubmit, Quantitative Economics, 6 2024. URL https://llorracc.github.io/BufferStockTheory/BufferStockTheory.pdf.

[4] Christopher D. Carroll. Precautionary Saving and the Marginal Propensity to Consume. Working Paper 8233, NBER, 2001.

[5] Christopher D. Carroll and Patrick Toche. A Tractable Model of Buffer Stock Saving. *Social Science Research Network*, 2009. doi: 10.3386/w15265.

[6] Manuel S. Santos. Accuracy of Numerical Solutions Using the Euler Equation Residuals. *Econometrica*, 68(6): 1377–1402, 2000. doi: 10.1111/1468-0262.00165.

[7] Kenneth L. Judd, Lilia Maliar, and Serguei Maliar. Lower Bounds on Approximation Errors to Numerical Solutions of Dynamic Economic Models. *Econometrica*, 85(3): 991–1020, 2017. doi: 10.3982/ecta12791.

[8] F. N. Fritsch and R. E. Carlson. Monotone Piecewise Cubic Interpolation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 17(2):238–246, 1980. doi: 10.1137/0717021.

[9] Fred N. Fritsch and J. Butland. A Method for Constructing Local Monotone Piecewise Cubic Interpolants. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 5 (2):300–304, 1984. doi: 10.1137/0905021.

[10] Carl de Boor. *A Practical Guide to Splines*. Springer, revised edition, 2001. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-6333-3.

[11] James M. Hyman. Accurate Monotonicity-Preserving Cubic Interpolation. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 4(4):645–654, 1983. doi: 10.1137/0904045.

[12] George Tauchen. Finite State Markov-Chain Approximations to Univariate and Vector Autoregressions. *Economics Letters*, 20(2):177–181, 1986. doi: 10.1016/0165-1765(86)90168-0.

[13] George Tauchen and Robert Hussey. Quadrature-Based Methods for Obtaining Approximate Solutions to Nonlinear Asset Pricing Models. *Econometrica*, 59(2):371–396, 1991. doi: 10.2307/2938261.