

---

---

STAT 30100 : MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS-1

*Winter 2020*

---

---



HOMEWORK 5



*Solutions by*

JINHONG DU

12243476

**STAT 30100, Homework 5**

1. (Casella and Berger Problem 5.48) Using strategies similar to (5.6.5), show how to generate an  $F_{m,n}$  random variable, where both  $m$  and  $n$  are even integers.

If  $U_i, V_j$  are iid  $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$  random variables, then  $X_i = -\lambda \log(U_i), Y_j = -\lambda \log(V_j)$  are iid  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  random variables for  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{m}{2}$  and  $j = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ , and

$$X = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \log(U_i) \sim \chi_m^2$$

$$Y = -2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \log(V_j) \sim \chi_n^2.$$

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, we have

$$\frac{\frac{X}{m}}{\frac{Y}{n}} \sim F_{m,n},$$

which can be used to generate  $F_{m,n}$  from uniform random variables  $U_i$  and  $V_j$ .

2. (Casella and Berger Problem 5.25) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid with pdf

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{\theta^a} x^{a-1} & \text{if } 0 < x < \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $X_{(1)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$  be the order statistics. Show that  $\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(2)}}, \frac{X_{(2)}}{X_{(3)}}, \dots, \frac{X_{(n-1)}}{X_{(n)}}$  and  $X_{(n)}$  are mutually independent random variables. Find the distribution of each of them.

*Proof.* The joint distribution of  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$  is given by

$$f_{(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i) \mathbb{1}_{\{x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}} = n! \left(\frac{a}{\theta^a}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 < x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n < \theta\}}.$$

The determinant of the Jacobian matrix of the transformation  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  from  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$  to  $\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{X_{(n-1)}}{X_{(n)}}, X_{(n)}\right)$  is given by

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_2} & & & & \\ -\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \frac{1}{x_n} & \\ & & & -\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_2} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \frac{1}{x_n} & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| = \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{x_i},$$

when  $x_2, \dots, x_n \neq 0$ . The determinant of the Jacobian of the inverse transformation is  $\prod_{i=2}^n x_i = \prod_{i=2}^n y_i^{i-1}$ . Therefore, the density function of  $\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{X_{(n-1)}}{X_{(n)}}, X_{(n)}\right)$  is given by

$$f_{\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{X_{(n-1)}}{X_{(n)}}, X_{(n)}\right)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = f_{(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})}(y_1 \cdots y_n, \dots, y_{n-1} y_n, y_n) \left| \prod_{i=2}^n y_i^{i-1} \right|$$

$$= n! \left(\frac{a}{\theta^a}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{i(a-1)} \left| \prod_{i=2}^n y_i^{i-1} \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{0 < y_1 y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_{n-1} y_n \leq y_n < \theta\}} = \left(\frac{na}{\theta^{na}} y_n^{na-1} \mathbb{1}_{0 < y_n < \theta}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (i a y_i^{ia-1} \mathbb{1}_{0 < y_i \leq 1}).$$

**Solution (cont.)**

Since the above joint density is separable for each variable,  $\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{X_{(n-1)}}{X_{(n)}}, X_{(n)}$  are independent and their density functions are given by

$$f_{\frac{X_{(i)}}{X_{(i+1)}}}(y) = iay^{ia-1}\mathbb{1}_{0 < y \leq 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad f_{X_{(n)}}(y) = \frac{na}{\theta^{na}}y^{na-1}\mathbb{1}_{0 < y < \theta}.$$

□

3. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n+1}$  be i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean 1, and let  $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j Y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ . Let  $W = \left(\frac{S_1}{S_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}}\right)$ . Find the joint distribution of  $(W, S_{n+1})$ . In particular, show that  $W$  and  $S_{n+1}$  are independent, and  $W$  has the same distribution as the vector of order statistics of an i.i.d. sample of size  $n$  from the  $U(0, 1)$  distribution.

*Proof.* Let  $g(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \left(\frac{s_1}{s_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{s_n}{s_{n+1}}, s_{n+1}\right)$  where  $s_j = \sum_{i=1}^j y_i$  which maps  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n+1})$  to  $\left(\frac{S_1}{S_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}}, S_{n+1}\right)$ .

The determinant of the Jacobian of  $g$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{s_{n+1}-s_1}{s_{n+1}^2} & -\frac{s_1}{s_{n+1}^2} & -\frac{s_1}{s_{n+1}^2} & \dots & -\frac{s_1}{s_{n+1}^2} \\ \frac{s_{n+1}-s_2}{s_{n+1}^2} & \frac{s_{n+1}-s_2}{s_{n+1}^2} & -\frac{s_2}{s_{n+1}} & \dots & -\frac{s_2}{s_{n+1}^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{s_{n+1}-s_n}{s_{n+1}^2} & \frac{s_{n+1}-s_n}{s_{n+1}^2} & \dots & \frac{s_{n+1}-s_n}{s_{n+1}^2} & -\frac{s_n}{s_{n+1}^2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & \dots & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & \dots & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right| = - \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & \dots & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} & \dots & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} \end{array} \right| \\ &= -\frac{1}{s_{n+1}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < 1, x_{n+1} > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\left(\frac{S_1}{S_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}}, S_{n+1}\right)}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= f_{Y_1}(x_1 x_{n+1}) \left[ \prod_{i=2}^n f_{Y_i}(x_i x_{n+1} - x_{i-1} x_{n+1}) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot f_{Y_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} - x_n x_{n+1}) \cdot x_{n+1}^n \\ &= x_{n+1}^n e^{-x_1 x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=2}^n (x_i x_{n+1} - x_{i-1} x_{n+1}) - (x_{n+1} - x_n x_{n+1})} \\ &= n! \cdot \frac{1}{n!} x_{n+1}^n e^{-x_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x_{n+1}^n e^{-x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1} = 1$ , we know that  $S_{n+1} \sim \Gamma(n+1, 1)$ . So  $f_W(\mathbf{w}) = n!$ , i.e.,  $W$  has the same distribution as the vector of order statistics of an i.i.d. sample of size  $n$  from the  $U(0, 1)$  distribution. As  $f_{\left(\frac{S_1}{S_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}}, S_{n+1}\right)}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = f_W(\mathbf{w}) f_{S_{n+1}}(x_{n+1})$ , we have that  $W$  and  $S_{n+1}$  are independent. □

4. Let  $0 < p_1 < \dots < p_k < 1$ , and let  $X_{(\lceil np_i \rceil)}$  be the corresponding sample quantiles (as defined in Ferguson p. 87) for a sample of size  $n$  from a distribution with location parameter  $\theta$  having distribution function  $F(x - \theta)$  and density  $f(x - \theta)$ . Let  $u_i$  denote the  $p_i$ th quantile of  $F$  (i.e.  $F(u_i) = p_i$ ).
- (a) Let  $Z_i = X_{(\lceil np_i \rceil)} - u_i$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  represent the vector  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_k)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  represent the  $k$ -vector of all 1's. Show that  $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{Z} - \theta\mathbf{1})$  converges in distribution to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the symmetric matrix with components  $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{p_i(1-p_j)}{f(u_i)f(u_j)}$  for  $i \leq j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_{(i)} = F(X_{(i)} - \theta)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $U_{(1)}, \dots, U_{(n)}$  are the order statistics of  $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0, 1)$ . From Problem 3, we have  $U_{(i)} = \frac{S_i}{S_{n+1}}$  where  $S_i = \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n+1} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$ .

Let  $n_i = \lceil np_i \rceil$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and let  $n_0 = 0$ ,  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $n_{k+1} = n$ , and  $p_{k+1} = 1$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k+1$ , since  $S_{n_i} - S_{n_{i-1}} \sim \Gamma(n_i - n_{i-1}, 1)$ , by Central Limit Theorem, we have  $\sqrt{n_i - n_{i-1}} \frac{(S_{n_i} - S_{n_{i-1}}) - (n_i - n_{i-1})}{n_i - n_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  as  $n_i - n_{i-1} \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n_i - n_{i-1}}{n+1}} = \sqrt{p_i - p_{i-1}}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n}} = 1$ , by Slutsky Theorem, we have  $\sqrt{n} \frac{(S_{n_i} - S_{n_{i-1}}) - (n_i - n_{i-1})}{n+1} = \sqrt{n+1} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \sqrt{\frac{n_i - n_{i-1}}{n+1}} \sqrt{n_i - n_{i-1}} \frac{(S_{n_i} - S_{n_{i-1}}) - (n_i - n_{i-1})}{n_i - n_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, p_i - p_{i-1})$ . As  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \frac{(n_i - n_{i-1}) - (np_i - np_{i-1})}{n+1} = 0$ , by Slutsky Theorem, we have  $\sqrt{n+1} \left( \frac{(S_{n_i} - S_{n_{i-1}})}{n+1} - (p_i - p_{i-1}) \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, p_i - p_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k+1$ . Since  $S_1 - S_0, \dots, S_{k+1} - S_k$  are independent, we have

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{S_{n_1}}{n+1} - p_1 \\ \frac{S_{n_2} - S_{n_1}}{n+1} - (p_2 - p_1) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}}{n+1} - (1 - p_k) \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \left( \mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & & & & \\ & p_2 - p_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 - p_k \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1 + x_2 & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \end{bmatrix}^\top$ , then

$$\frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i \right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} x_i & -x_1 & -x_1 & \dots & -x_1 & -x_1 \\ \sum_{i=3}^{k+1} x_i & \sum_{i=3}^{k+1} x_i & -\sum_{i=1}^2 x_i & \dots & -\sum_{i=1}^2 x_i & -\sum_{i=1}^2 x_i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} x_i & \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} x_i & \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} x_i & \dots & \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} x_i & -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \\ x_{k+1} & x_{k+1} & x_{k+1} & \dots & x_{k+1} & -\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \end{bmatrix},$$

which is continuous near  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [p_1, p_2 - p_1, \dots, 1 - p_k]^\top$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-p_1 & -p_1 & -p_1 & \dots & -p_1 & -p_1 \\ 1-p_2 & 1-p_2 & -p_2 & \dots & -p_2 & -p_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1-p_k & 1-p_k & 1-p_k & \dots & 1-p_k & -p_k \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \text{diag}(p_1, p_2 - p_1, \dots, 1 - p_k) \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \begin{bmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & p_1(1-p_2) & \dots & p_1(1-p_k) \\ p_1(1-p_2) & p_2(1-p_2) & \dots & p_2(1-p_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_1(1-p_k) & p_2(1-p_k) & \dots & p_k(1-p_k) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution (cont.)**

Therefore, by Cramer's Theorem, we have

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} U_{(\lceil np_1 \rceil)} \\ \vdots \\ U_{(\lceil np_k \rceil)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_k \end{bmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \left( \mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & p_1(1-p_2) & \cdots & p_1(1-p_k) \\ p_1(1-p_2) & p_2(1-p_2) & \cdots & p_2(1-p_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_1(1-p_k) & p_2(1-p_k) & \cdots & p_k(1-p_k) \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Notice that  $X_{\lceil np_i \rceil} - \theta = F^{-1}(U_{\lceil np_i \rceil})$  and  $u_i = F^{-1}(p_i)$ . Let  $h(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (F^{-1}(x_1), \dots, F^{-1}(x_k))^\top$ , then  $\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{f[F^{-1}(x_1)]}, \dots, \frac{1}{f[F^{-1}(x_k)]} \right)$ . If  $f$  is continuous and positive near  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ , then  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$  is continuous near  $[p_1, \dots, p_k]^\top$ . Then by Cramer's Theorem, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{Z} - \theta \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  is the symmetric matrix with components  $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{p_i(1-p_j)}{f(u_i)f(u_j)}$  for  $i \leq j$ .  $\square$

- (b) Find the asymptotic best linear unbiased estimate of  $\theta$  based on  $\mathbf{Z}$ . That is, for  $\theta = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{Z}$ , find  $\mathbf{a}$  to minimize  $\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a}$  subject to  $\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{a} = 1$  (in terms of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $L(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} + \lambda(1 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{a})$ . Let

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = 2\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} - \lambda \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 1 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{a} = 0, \quad (2)$$

From (1) we have  $\mathbf{a} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}$ . Substituting it in to (2), we get  $\lambda = \frac{2}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

$\square$

- (c) In view of (b), it is comforting to know that the inverse of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  has a simple form. It is a tridiagonal matrix. Find it.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  is symmetric,  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$  is also symmetric. Assume that  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & d_1 & & & \\ d_1 & c_2 & d_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & d_{k-2} & c_{k-1} & d_{k-1} \\ & & & d_{k-1} & c_k \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Since } \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_k, \text{ we have } \forall i, j,$$

$$\frac{p_i(1-p_{j-1})}{f(u_i)f(u_{j-1})}d_{j-1} + \frac{p_i(1-p_j)}{f(u_i)f(u_j)}c_j + \frac{p_i(1-p_{j+1})}{f(u_i)f(u_{j+1})}d_j = 0, \quad i < j \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{p_{j-1}(1-p_j)}{f(u_j)f(u_{j-1})}d_{j-1} + \frac{p_j(1-p_j)}{f(u_j)f(u_j)}c_j + \frac{p_j(1-p_{j+1})}{f(u_j)f(u_{j+1})}d_j = 1, \quad i = j \quad (4)$$

**Solution (cont.)**

where  $d_0 = d_k = 0$ ,  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $p_{k+1} = 1$ ,  $u_0$  and  $u_{k+1}$  are any constants such that  $f(u_0), f(u_{k+1}) > 0$ . From (3), we have for  $j = 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$\frac{1-p_j}{f(u_j)}c_j + \frac{1-p_{j+1}}{f(u_{j+1})}d_j = -\frac{1-p_{j-1}}{f(u_{j-1})}d_{j-1}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting it in (4), we have

$$\frac{p_{j-1}-p_j}{f(u_j)f(u_{j-1})}d_{j-1} = 1, \quad j = 2, \dots, k \quad \implies \quad d_j = \frac{f(u_{j+1})f(u_j)}{p_j - p_{j+1}}, \quad \forall j \leq k-1.$$

Substituting it in to (5), we have

$$c_j = \frac{f^2(u_j)(p_{i+1} - p_{i-1})}{(p_{j-1} - p_j)(p_j - p_{j+1})} = f^2(u_j) \left( \frac{1}{p_j - p_{j-1}} + \frac{1}{p_{j+1} - p_j} \right), \quad \forall j.$$

Therefore,

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(u_1)p_2}{p_1(p_2-p_1)} & \frac{f(u_1)f(u_2)}{p_1-p_2} & & & \\ \frac{f(u_1)f(u_2)}{p_1-p_2} & \frac{f^2(u_2)(p_3-p_1)}{(p_3-p_2)(p_2-p_1)} & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{f(u_{k-2})f(u_{k-1})}{p_{k-2}-p_{k-1}} & \frac{f^2(u_{k-1})(p_k-p_{k-2})}{(p_k-p_{k-1})(p_{k-1}-p_{k-2})} & \frac{f(u_{k-1})f(u_k)}{p_{k-1}-p_k} \\ & & & \frac{f(u_{k-1})f(u_k)}{p_{k-1}-p_k} & \frac{f^2(u_k)(1-p_{k-1})}{(1-p_k)(p_k-p_{k-1})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

- (d) Find  $\hat{\theta}$  of (b) explicitly, for the uniform distribution,  $F(x) = x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* For uniform distribution, we have  $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}$ . Therefore,

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_2}{p_1(p_2-p_1)} & \frac{1}{p_1-p_2} & & & \\ \frac{1}{p_1-p_2} & \frac{p_3-p_1}{(p_3-p_2)(p_2-p_1)} & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{p_{k-2}-p_{k-1}} & \frac{p_k-p_{k-2}}{(p_k-p_{k-1})(p_{k-1}-p_{k-2})} & \frac{1}{p_{k-1}-p_k} \\ & & & \frac{1}{p_{k-1}-p_k} & \frac{1-p_k}{(1-p_k)(p_k-p_{k-1})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p_1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-p_k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{1}^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{1-p_k} = \frac{1+p_1-p_k}{p_1(1-p_k)}, \quad \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1-p_k}{1+p_1-p_k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \frac{p_1}{1+p_1-p_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

and therefore  $\hat{\theta} = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{Z} = \frac{1-p_k}{1+p_1-p_k}Z_1 + \frac{p_1}{1+p_1-p_k}Z_k$ .

□

5. Suppose  $X$  has the  $G_{1,\gamma}(x)$  distribution, and let  $Y = \gamma(X - 1)$ . Show that as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Y$  converges in distribution to a random variable having the  $G_3$  distribution. Here,

$$G_{1,\gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x^{-\gamma}} & , \text{ for } x > 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ for } x \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad G_3(x) = e^{-e^{-x}}.$$

*Proof.*

$$F_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y \leq y) = \mathbb{P}\left(X \leq \frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right) = G_{1,\gamma}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right) = \begin{cases} e^{-\left(\frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right)^{-\gamma}} & , \text{ for } y > -\gamma \\ 0 & , \text{ for } y \leq -\gamma \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right)^{-\gamma}} = e^{-\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right)^{-\gamma}} = e^{-\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{y}{\gamma} + 1\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{y} \cdot (-y)}} = e^{-e^{-y}}$  and the support goes to  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} F_Y(y) = G_3(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $Y$  converges in distribution to a random variable having the  $G_3$  distribution.  $\square$

6. Find the asymptotic joint distribution of the range,  $R_n = X_{(n:n)} - X_{(n:1)}$ , and midrange,  $M_n = \frac{1}{2}(X_{(n:n)} + X_{(n:1)})$ , when sampling from a Pareto distribution with density  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$  for  $x > 1$ .

*Proof.* The distribution of Pareto random variable is given by  $F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x}$  for  $x > 1$  and 0 otherwise. Since

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{(n:1)} > x) = [1 - F(x)]^n = \begin{cases} x^{-n} & , \text{ } x > 1 \\ 0, & \text{ } x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

we have for all  $y > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(n(X_{(n:1)} - 1) > y) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_{(1)} > 1 + \frac{y}{n}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{y}{n}\right)^{-n} \rightarrow e^{-y}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $n(X_{(n:1)} - 1) \xrightarrow{D} \text{Exp}(1)$ . Furthermore, we have  $X_{(n:1)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ .

From Theorem 15 in Ferguson, we have  $nF(X_{(n:1)}) \xrightarrow{D} Y_1$  and  $n[1 - F(X_{(n:n)})] \xrightarrow{D} Y_2$ , where  $Y_1, Y_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \Gamma(1, 1)$ . Then  $n \frac{X_{(n:1)} - 1}{X_{(n:1)}} = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{X_{(n:1)}}\right) \xrightarrow{D} Y_1$  and  $n \left(\frac{1}{X_{(n:n)}}\right)^\top \xrightarrow{D} Y_2$  are asymptotically independent.

So by Slutsky Theorem, we have  $\frac{X_{(n:n)}}{n} \xrightarrow{D} \frac{1}{Y_2}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{X_{(n:1)}}{n} \\ \frac{X_{(n:n)}}{n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{Y_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $g(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1, \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_1))$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_g$  the set of continuity points of  $g$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}((x_1, x_2) \notin \mathcal{C}_g) = 0$ . By Slutsky Theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} R_n \\ M_n \end{pmatrix} = g\left(\frac{X_{(n:1)}}{n}, \frac{X_{(n:n)}}{n}\right) \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Y_2} \\ \frac{1}{2Y_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\square$

7. (Casella and Berger Problem 6.3) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the pdf

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)/\sigma}, \mu < x < \infty, 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

Find a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma)$ .

*Proof.* The joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu, \sigma) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(\mu, +\infty)}(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^n} e^{-\frac{n}{\sigma} (\bar{x}_n - \mu)} \mathbb{1}_{(\mu, +\infty)}(x_{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  and  $x_{(1)} = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Since this is a function of  $(\bar{x}_n, x_{(1)})^\top$  and  $(\mu, \sigma)^\top$ , by Fisher-Neyman Factorization Theorem, a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma)$  is  $(\bar{X}_n, X_{(1)})^\top$ .  $\square$

8. Consider the experiment  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \{f_\theta(x) : \theta \in \Theta\})$ , where  $\{f_\theta(x) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a family of pdfs or pmfs all defined with respect to a common measure. For each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , define  $\Theta_x = \{\theta : f_\theta(x) > 0\}$ . Assume  $\Theta_x \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Assume  $T$  is a sufficient statistic. Prove the following lemma. (This lemma was used in class as part of the proof of the version of the Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem that allows the support of the distribution to depend on the parameter.)

**Lemma:** If  $T(x) = T(y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then  $\Theta_x = \Theta_y$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is a sufficient statistic, by Fisher-Neymann Factorization Theorem, there exists functions  $g(t, \theta)$  and  $h(x)$  such that  $f_\theta(x) = g(T(x), \theta)h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

If for  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $T(x) = T(y)$ , then we have  $T(x) = T(y)$  and  $g(T(x), \theta) = g(T(y), \theta)$ . Notice that  $f_\theta(x) = g(T(x), \theta)h(x)$ , if  $h(x) = 0$ , then  $f_\theta(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $\Theta_x = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $h(x) > 0$ . Analogously,  $h(y) > 0$ . Then

$$\Theta_x = \{\theta : f_\theta(x) > 0\} = \{\theta : g(T(x), \theta) > 0\} = \{\theta : g(T(y), \theta) > 0\} = \{\theta : f_\theta(y) > 0\} = \Theta_y.$$

$\square$