Modern Multivariate Statistical Techniques

Jinhong Du,15338039 April 22, 2018

Content

1. Suppose $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_1 = \mu_1$, $Var\mathbf{X}_1 = \Sigma_{XX}$ and $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_2 = \mu_2$, $Var\mathbf{X}_2$ are independently distributed. Consider the statistic

$$\frac{\{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_1) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_2)\}^2}{Var(\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_2)}$$

as a function of **a**. Show that $\mathbf{a} \propto \Sigma_{XX}^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$ maximizes the statistic by using a Lagrange multiplier approach.

Proof.

Let $\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a} = 1$, then

$$L(\mathbf{a}, \lambda) = \mathbf{a}^{\top} (\mu_1 - \mu_2) (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^{\top} \mathbf{a} - \lambda (\mathbf{a}^{\top} \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a} - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = 2(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a} - 2\lambda \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a} = 0$$
 (1)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = -\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a} + 1 = 0 \tag{2}$$

The maximizor should satisfy

$$(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^{\top} \mathbf{a}^* = \lambda \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a}^*$$

Since $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}^* = c$ is a scalar, so we can write as

$$c(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \lambda \Sigma_{XX} \mathbf{a}^*$$

And therefore,

$$\mathbf{a}^* = \frac{c}{\lambda} \Sigma_{XX}^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_2)$$
$$\propto \Sigma_{XX}^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_2)$$

2. Consider the problem of finding Θ^* that solves the following constrained minimization problem:

$$\hat{\Theta}^* = \underset{\mathbf{K} \Theta \mathbf{I} = \Gamma}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \ tr\{(\mathbf{Y}_c - \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{X}_c)^{\top} (\mathbf{Y}_c - \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{X}_c)\}$$

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ be a matrix of Lagrangian coefficients. The normal equations are:

$$\hat{\Theta}^* \mathbf{X}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top + \mathbf{K}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{L}^\top = \mathbf{Y}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top$$

$$\mathbf{K}\hat{\Theta}^*\mathbf{L} = \Gamma$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1} - \overline{\mathbf{X}} & \mathbf{X}_{2} - \overline{\mathbf{X}} & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{n} - \overline{\mathbf{X}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_{1} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}} & \mathbf{Y}_{2} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}} & \cdots & \mathbf{Y}_{n} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof.

$$f(\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = tr\{(\mathbf{Y}_c - \boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{X}_c)^{\top}(\mathbf{Y}_c - \boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{X}_c)\} - 2tr\{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{\top}(\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{L} - \boldsymbol{\Gamma})\}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial tr\{F(\mathbf{X})\}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})^{\top} \\ \frac{\partial tr\{\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{A} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}^{\top} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^{\top} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}^{\top}) \end{split}$$

Let

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Theta} &= 2\mathbf{Y}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top - 2\hat{\Theta} \mathbf{X}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top - 2\mathbf{K}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{L}^\top = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Lambda} &= \mathbf{K} \Theta \mathbf{L} - \Gamma = 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{\Theta}^* \mathbf{X}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top + \mathbf{K}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{L}^\top = \mathbf{Y}_c \mathbf{X}_c^\top$$
$$\mathbf{K} \hat{\Theta}^* \mathbf{L} = \Gamma$$