
STAT 30100 : MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS-1

Winter 2020



HOMEWORK 0



Solutions by

JINHONG DU

12243476

STAT 30100, Homework 0

This assignment covers the handouts `probmeasures.pdf` and `charfn.pdf`.

1. In each case determine whether or not ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Say why or why not. If it is, give the density.

- (a) Ω is the positive integers, \mathcal{F} is the collection of all subsets of Ω , μ is counting measure, ν is the measure associated with the random variable $X = \#$ fair coin tosses needed to get first head.

Since $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and μ is the counting measure, we have $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mu(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$. It is clear that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. To see the other side, if $A \neq \emptyset$, then $\exists k \in \Omega$ such that $k \in A$, i.e., at least one element in A , i.e. $\mu(A) \geq 1 > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Since ν is a measure and $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$, we have that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

$\forall k \in \Omega$,

$$\nu(\{k\}) = f(k)\mu(\{k\}),$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2^k} = f(k),$$

and therefore $f(k) = \frac{1}{2^k}$ for $k \in \Omega$. So

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^x} & , x \in \Omega \\ 0 & , x \notin \Omega \end{cases}.$$

- (b) $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^1$, \mathcal{F} is the collection of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^1 , μ is the measure associated with a uniform(0,1) random variable, ν is the measure associated with a normal(0,1) random variable.

Let $A = [2, 3] \subset \mathbb{R}$, then $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Since

$$\mu(A) = 0$$

$$\nu(A) = \int_2^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx > 0,$$

ν is not absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

2. Suppose measures μ and ν on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) are mutually singular with $\mu(\Omega) > 0$ and $\nu(\Omega) > 0$. Show that this implies that neither measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the other.

Proof. Since μ and ν are mutually singular, there exists $S_\mu, S_\nu \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus S_\mu) = 0$, $\nu(\Omega \setminus S_\nu) = 0$ and $S_\mu \cap S_\nu = \emptyset$.

Since $\mu(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega \setminus S_\mu) + \mu(S_\mu)$, we have $\mu(S_\mu) = \mu(\Omega) > 0$. Analogously, $\nu(S_\nu) = \nu(\Omega) > 0$.

Since $S_\nu \subseteq \Omega \setminus S_\mu$, we have

$$\nu(S_\nu) \leq \nu(\Omega \setminus S_\mu) \leq \nu(\Omega),$$

i.e., $\nu(\Omega \setminus S_\mu) = \nu(\Omega) > 0$, which implies that ν is not absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

Since $S_\mu \subseteq \Omega \setminus S_\nu$, we have

$$\mu(S_\mu) \leq \mu(\Omega \setminus S_\nu) \leq \mu(\Omega),$$

i.e., $\mu(\Omega \setminus S_\nu) = \mu(\Omega) > 0$, which implies that μ is not absolutely continuous with respect to ν . \square

3. Verify properties (1) and (2) in the handout on characteristic functions.

Proof. (1) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_X(0) &= \mathbb{E}e^{i \cdot 0 \cdot X} = \mathbb{E}1 = 1 \\ |\phi_X(t)| &= |\mathbb{E}e^{itX}| \leq \mathbb{E}|e^{itX}| = \mathbb{E}1 = 1\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{a+bX}(t) &= \mathbb{E}e^{it(a+bX)} \\ &= e^{ita} \mathbb{E}e^{i(tb)X} \\ &= e^{ita} \phi_X(tb)\end{aligned}$$

\square