# TTIC 31250 An Introduction to the Theory of Machine Learning

#### VC-dimension II

Avrim Blum 04/22/20

1

#### Typical use of bounds

Thm: If  $|S| \ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \Big[ \ln(2|H|) + \ln\Big(\frac{1}{\delta}\Big) \Big]$ , then with prob  $\ge 1 - \delta$ , all  $h \in H$  have  $|\text{err}_{\mathbb{D}}(h)| \cdot \text{err}_{\mathbb{S}}(h)| \cdot \varepsilon$ .

- Proof: Just apply Hoeffding + union bound.
  - Chance of failure at most  $2|H|e^{-2|S|\epsilon^2}$ .
  - Set to δ. Solve.

#### Hoeffding bounds:

- $Pr[N_{heads}/m > p + \varepsilon] \le e^{-2m\varepsilon^2}$
- $Pr[N_{heads} / m$

3

#### Shattering

- Defn: A set of points S is shattered by H if there are concepts in H that label S in all of the 2<sup>|S|</sup> possible ways.
  - In other words, all possible ways of classifying points in S are achievable using concepts in H.
- E.g., any 3 non-collinear points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be shattered by linear threshold functions, but no set of 4 points can be.

# Chernoff and Hoeffding bounds

Consider m flips of a coin of bias p. Let  $N_{heads}$  be the observed # heads. Let  $\epsilon, \alpha \in [0,1]$ .

#### Hoeffding bounds:

- $Pr[N_{heads}/m > p + \varepsilon] \le e^{-2m\varepsilon^2}$ , and
- $\Pr[N_{heads} / m .$

#### Chernoff bounds:

- $Pr[N_{heads} / m > p(1+\alpha)] \le e^{-mp\alpha^2/3}$ , and
- Pr[ $N_{heads}$  /m < p(1- $\alpha$ )]  $\leq e^{-mp\alpha^2/2}$ .

#### E.g,

- $Pr[N_{heads} > 2(expectation)] \le e^{-(expectation)/3}$ .
- $Pr[N_{heads} < (expectation)/2] \le e^{-(expectation)/8}$ .

2

#### Effective number of hypotheses

Define: H[S] = set of all different ways to label points in S using concepts in H.

Define H[m] = maximum |H[S]| over datasets S of m points.

E.g., linear separators in the plane: H[3]=8, H[4]=14.

4

#### **VC-dimension**

- The VC-dimension of a hypothesis class H is the size of the largest set of points that can be shattered by H. I.e., largest d s.t.  $H[d] = 2^d$ .
- So, if the VC-dimension is d, that means there exists a set of d points that can be shattered, but no set of d+1 points can be shattered.

5

# Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m = $|S| > \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left[ \log_2(2H[2m]) + \log_2 \frac{1}{\delta} \right]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all  $h \in H$  with error >  $\epsilon$  are inconsistent with data.
- Theorem 2 (Sauer's lemma):

$$H[m] \leq \sum_{i=0}^{VCdim(H)} {m \choose i} = O(m^{VCdim(H)}).$$

- Corollary 3: can replace bound in Thm 1 with  $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[VCdim(H)\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right]\right)$
- Theorem 4: For any alg A, class H, exists distrib D and target in H such that if  $|S| < \frac{VCdim(H)-1}{8\epsilon}$  then  $E[err_D(A)] \ge \varepsilon$ .

#### Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m=|S| $(2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all h $\in$ H with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- Proof (Step 1):
  - Given a set S of m examples, define  $A_S =$  event that exists  $h \in H$  with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  but  $err_S(h) = 0$ . Want to show  $\Pr_{S \sim D^m}[A_S] \leq \delta$ .
  - Now, consider drawing two sets S,S' of m examples each. Let  $B_{S,S'}=$  event that exists  $h\in H$  with  $err_{S'}(h)\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  but  $err_S(h) = 0$ . Claim:  $\Pr_{S,S' \sim D^m}[B_{S,S'}] \ge \frac{1}{2} \Pr_{S \sim D^m}[A_S]$ .
  - So suffices to show  $Pr[B] \le \delta/2$ .

9

10

# Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m=|S| >  $(2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all h $\in$ H with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- To show: for any S" of 2m examples,  $\Pr_{S,S'}\left[B_{S'',S,S'}^*\right] \leq \delta/2$ .
  - Key idea: Now that S'' is fixed, at most H[2m] labelings to worry about. For each one, show that its chance of being perfect on S but error  $\geq \epsilon/2$  on S' is low (over the random partition into S, S'). Then apply union bound.
  - So, fix some labeling  $h \in H[S^n]$ . Can assume h makes at least  $\epsilon m/2$  mistakes in S" (else prob of bad event is 0).
  - When we split S'' into S, S', what's the chance all these mistakes go into S'?

#### Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m=|S|>  $(2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all h $\in$ H with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- Proof (Step 1):
  - Given a set S of m examples, define  $A_S$  = event that exists  $h \in H$  with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  but  $err_S(h) = 0$ . Want to show  $\Pr_{S \sim D^m}[A_S] \leq \delta$ .
  - Now, consider drawing two sets  $\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}'$  of m examples each. Let  $B_{S,S'} = \text{event that exists } h \in H \text{ with } err_{S'}(h) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ but}$  $err_S(h) = 0$ . Claim:  $\Pr_{S,S' \sim D^m}[B_{S,S'}] \ge \frac{1}{2} \Pr_{S \sim D^m}[A_S]$ .
  - **Proof:**  $Pr[B] \ge Pr[A] * Pr[B|A]$ .  $Pr[B|A] \ge \frac{1}{2}$  by Chernoff so long as  $m \ge \frac{8}{\epsilon}$ . So,  $Pr[B] \ge 1/2 * Pr[A]$ .

## Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m=|S| $(2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all h $\in$ H with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- Proof (Step 2):
  - Now, consider a  $3^{\rm rd}$  experiment. Draw a set  ${\it S}"$  of 2mexamples, then randomly partition into S,S' of m each.
  - Let  $B^*_{S',S,S'}=$  event that exists  $h\in H$  with  $err_{S'}(h)\geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ but  $err_S(h)=0$ . Claim:  $\Pr_{S''\sim D^{2m},S,S'}[B^*_{S'',S,S'}]=\Pr_{S,S^{'}\sim D^{m}}[B_{S,S^{'}}]$ . (think of examples as sealed envelopes)
  - So, it suffices to show  $\Pr_{S''\sim D^{2m},S,S'}\left[B_{S'',S,S'}^*\right]\leq \delta/2.$
  - Will actually prove: for any |S''| = 2m,  $\Pr_{S,S'} \left[ B_{S'',S,S'}^* \right] \le \delta/2$ .

# Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if m=|S| $(2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all h $\in$ H with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- To show: for any S" of 2m examples,  $\Pr_{S,S,S'} \left[ B_{S'',S,S'}^* \right] \leq \delta/2$ .
  - h makes at least  $\epsilon m/2$  mistakes in S". What's the chance all these mistakes go into S'?
  - Let's partition S" by first randomly pairing the points together  $(a_1,b_1),...,(a_m,b_m).$  Then for each pair i, flip a coin: if heads,  $a_i \to S, b_i \to S'$ ; if tails,  $a_i \to S', b_i \to S$ .

11

12

# Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1: For any class H, distribution D, if  $m=|S| > (2/\epsilon)[\log_2(H[2m]) + \log_2(2/\delta)]$ , then with prob. 1- $\delta$ , all  $h \in H$  with  $err_D(h) \ge \epsilon$  have  $err_S(h) > 0$ .
- To show: for any S" of 2m examples,  $\Pr_{S,S'}\left[B_{S'',S,S'}^*\right] \leq \delta/2$ .
  - h makes at least  $\epsilon m/2$  mistakes in S". What's the chance all these mistakes go into S'?
  - Let's partition S" by first randomly pairing the points together  $(a_1,b_1),...,(a_m,b_m).$  Then for each pair i, flip a coin: if heads,  $a_i \to S, b_i \to S'$ ; if tails,  $a_i \to S', b_i \to S.$
  - If there is any i s.t. h makes mistakes on both  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  then the chance is 0; else the chance (over the random coin flips) is at most  $2^{-\epsilon m/2}$ .
  - Overall failure prob  $\leq H[2m]2^{-\epsilon m/2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ .

#### Upper and lower bound theorems

- Theorem 1': For any class H, distribution D, if  $m=|S|\geq \frac{8}{\epsilon^2}\Big[\ln(H[2m]+\ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)\Big]$ , then with prob 1- $\delta$ , all  $h\in H$  have  $|err_D(h)-err_S(h)|\leq \epsilon$ .
- Proof: same as for Thm 1 except def of B\*:
  - $B^*_{S^*,S,S'}$  = event that  $\exists h \in H$  with  $|err_{S'}(h) err_{S}(h)| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .
  - To show: for any |S''| = 2m,  $\Pr_{S,S'} \left[ B_{S'',S,S'}^* \right] \le \delta/2$ .
  - Fix  $h\in H[S'']$ , pairing  $(a_1,b_1),\ldots,(a_m,b_m)$ . Say there are m' indices i s.t. only one of  $h(a_i),h(b_i)$  is a mistake.
  - Prob that h is bad over coin-flip experiment is prob that get  $|\#heads \#tails| \ge \epsilon m/2$  in  $m' \le m$  flips.
  - View as ratio being off from expectation by  $\geq \left(\frac{\epsilon m}{4m'}\right)$  and apply Hoeffding.

13