

1 Public Goods

1.1 Dolbear's Triangle

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u_1(x_1, g) \\
 &u_2(x_2, g) \\
 &\mathcal{F} = \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid (10 - (x_1 + x_2)) = g\} \\
 &\quad = \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid x_1 + x_2 + g = 10\} \\
 &\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 5
 \end{aligned}$$

1.1.1 Question

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u_1(x_1, g) = 8x_1 + 5g \\
 &u_2(x_2, g) = 8x_2 + 5g \\
 &\quad \mathcal{F} = \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid 10 - (x_1 + x_2) = g\} \\
 &u_2^{ADJ}(x_1, g) = u_2(10 - x_1 - g, g) \\
 &\quad = 80 - 8x_1 - 3g \\
 &PE = \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathcal{F} \mid x_1 = 0 \vee x_2 = 0\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can solve the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max_{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3} \alpha(8x_1 + 5g) + \beta(8x_2 + 5g) \\
 &\quad s.t. \quad x_1 + x_2 + g = 10
 \end{aligned}$$

The above problem is equivalent to solving

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max_{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3} 8\alpha x_1 + 8\beta x_2 + 5(\alpha + \beta)g \\
 &\quad s.t. \quad x_1 + x_2 + g = 10
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

- If $\alpha > \beta$ then $x_2 = 0$
- if $\alpha < \beta$ then $x_1 = 0$
- if $\alpha = \beta$ then $x_1 = x_2 = 0, g = 10$

Now

- if $\alpha > \beta$ and $8\alpha > 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_1 = 10, x_2 = 0, g = 0$
- if $\alpha > \beta$ and $8\alpha < 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, g = 10$
- if $\alpha > \beta$ and $8\alpha = 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_2 = 0, x_1 + g = 10$

Similiarly;

- if $\alpha < \beta$ and $8\beta > 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 10, g = 0$
- if $\alpha < \beta$ and $8\beta < 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, g = 10$
- if $\alpha < \beta$ and $8\beta = 5(\alpha + \beta)$ then, $x_1 = 0, x_2 + g = 10$

1.2 Lindahl equilibrium

We have to find the equilibrium price ratio (p_1^*, p_2^*) ;

1.2.1 Question

Find the competitive equilibrium in the following economy;

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1(x_1, g) &= 8x_1 + 5g & \omega_1 &= 4 \\
 u_2(x_2, g) &= 8x_2 + 5g & \omega_2 &= 6 \\
 g &= f(x_0) = x_0 \\
 \mathcal{F} &= \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid x_1 + x_2 + g = 10\} \\
 (p_1^*, p_2^*) &= \left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

How to check if it is correct?

To solve for the equilibrium price ratio, we can solve the following two problems;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{(x_1, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \quad & 8x_1 + 5g \\
 s.t. \quad & x_1 + \frac{2}{5}g = 4
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution to the above problem is $(x_1, x_2, g) = (0, 0, 10)$ since $\frac{8}{5} < \frac{5}{2}$.

Similiarly

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \quad & 8x_2 + 5g \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_2 + \frac{3}{5}g = 6 \end{aligned}$$

Solution to the above problem is $(x_1, x_2, g) = (0, 0, 10)$ since $\frac{8}{5} < \frac{5}{3}$.

1.2.2 First Welfare Theorem for Lindahl Equilibrium;

$$\begin{aligned} & u_1(x_1, g) \\ & u_2(x_2, g) \\ & g = f(x_0) \\ & (\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ & (\theta_1, \theta_2) \\ & \mathcal{F} = \{(x_1, x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid f(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - x_1 - x_2) = g\} \\ & u_1, u_2 \text{ are increasing by assumption} \end{aligned}$$

Proof Suppose $((p_1^*, p_2^*), (x_1^*, x_2^*, g^*))$ is the Lindahl equilibrium, and suppose (x_1^*, x_2^*, g^*) is not Pareto efficient.

So there must exist $(x'_1, x'_2, g') \in \mathcal{F}$ such that,

1. $u_1(x'_1, g') \geq u_1(x_1^*, g^*)$ and $u_2(x'_2, g') \geq u_2(x_2^*, g^*)$
2. $u_1(x'_1, g') > u_1(x_1^*, g^*)$ or $u_2(x'_2, g') > u_2(x_2^*, g^*)$

By 1, $x'_1 + p_1^* g' \geq \omega_1 + \theta_1 \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*)$ and $x'_2 + p_2^* g' \geq \omega_2 + \theta_2 \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*)$
or

By 2, $x'_1 + p_1^* g' > \omega_1 + \theta_1 \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*)$ and $x'_2 + p_2^* g' > \omega_2 + \theta_2 \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*)$

So, adding the above two conditions which we got from 2, we get

$$x'_1 + x'_2 + (p_1^* + p_2^*)g' > \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*)$$

$$\implies \pi^*(p_1^* + p_2^*) < (p_1^* + p_2^*)g' - (\omega_1 + \omega_2 - x'_1 - x'_2)$$

But this is a contradiction, hence it must be the case that (x_1^*, x_2^*, g^*) is infact Pareto efficient.

1.2.3 Question 2

Find the Lindahl Equilibrium;

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x_1, g) &= x_1 + 2\sqrt{g} & \omega_1 &= 2 \\ u_2(x_2, g) &= x_2 + 4\sqrt{g} & \omega_2 &= 1 \\ u_3(x_3, g) &= x_3 + 4\sqrt{g} & \omega_3 &= 1 \\ g &= f(x_0) = x_0 \end{aligned}$$

First we solve the firm's profit maximization problem;

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(g, x_0)} \quad & (p_1 + p_2 + p_3)g - x_0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g \leq x_0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to solving,

$$\max_{(g)} (p_1 + p_2 + p_3)g - g$$

So the solution to the above problem is,

$$g^* \in \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } p_1 + p_2 + p_3 > 1 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } p_1 + p_2 + p_3 < 1 \\ \mathbb{R}_+ & \text{if } p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1 \end{cases}$$

Now we can solve the utility maximization problems of the agents;

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x_1, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \quad & x_1 + 2\sqrt{g} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + p_1^*g = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to solving;

$$\max_{0 \leq g_1 \leq \frac{2}{p_1^*}} 2 - p_1^*g_1 + 2\sqrt{g_1}$$

Differentiating w.r.t g_1 we get $-p_1^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}}$

So the solution to the above problem is,

$$g_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p_1^*}\right)^2 & \text{if } p_1^* > \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{2}{p_1^*} & \text{if } p_1^* \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x_2, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \quad & x_2 + 4\sqrt{g} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_2 + p_2^* g = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to solving;

$$\max_{0 \leq g_2 \leq \frac{1}{p_2^*}} \quad 1 - p_2^* g_2 + 4\sqrt{g_2}$$

Differentiating w.r.t g_2 we get $-p_2^* + \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_2}}$

So the solution to the above problem is,

$$g_2 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{p_2^*}\right)^2 & \text{if } p_2^* > 4 \\ \frac{1}{p_2^*} & \text{if } p_2^* \leq 4 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x_3, g) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \quad & x_3 + 4\sqrt{g} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_3 + p_3^* g = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to solving;

$$\max_{0 \leq g_3 \leq \frac{1}{p_3^*}} \quad 1 - p_3^* g_3 + 4\sqrt{g_3}$$

Differentiating w.r.t g_3 we get $-p_3^* + \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_3}}$

So the solution to the above problem is,

$$g_3 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{p_3^*}\right)^2 & \text{if } p_3^* > 4 \\ \frac{1}{p_3^*} & \text{if } p_3^* \leq 4 \end{cases}$$

Now we can equate the quantity demanded and quantity supplied of the public good equal to solve for the equilibrium price ratio;

We know that for supply of the public good to be positive we need $p_1^* + p_2^* + p_3^* = 1$ or simply because of the preferences and endowments of agent 2 and 3 $p_1^* + 2p_2^* = 1 \dots (i)$.

So if $g_1^* = \frac{2}{p_1^*}$ and $g_2 = g_3 = \frac{1}{p_2^*} = \frac{1}{p_3^*}$ then equating $g_1 = g_2 = g_3$ we get $\frac{2}{p_1^*} = \frac{1}{p_2^*} = \frac{1}{p_3^*}$ or $p_1^* = 2p_2^* \dots (ii)$

now using (i) and (ii) we get $p_2^* = \frac{1}{4}$ and therefore $p_1^* = \frac{1}{2}$ and since $p_2^* = p_3^*$ we also get $p_3^* = \frac{1}{4}$.

substituting for p_1^*, p_2^*, p_3^* in g_1, g_2, g_3 we get that $g_1^* = g_2^* = g_3^* = 4$