Can you use a hashtable to implement skipTo()?

Better than next()

- What's the worst case for sequential merge-based intersection?
- {52, 1} ➔ move k2's cursor
  - To the position whose id is at least 52 ➔ **skipTo**(52)
  - Essentially, asking the first i, such that K2[i] >= 52 (K2's list is sorted).
  - Takes many sequential call of next()
  - Could use binary search in the rest of the list
  - Cost: $\lceil \log_2(N_{remainder}) \rceil$



skipTo(id)

- Galloping search (gambler's strategy)
  - [Stage 1] Doubling the search range until you overshoot
  - [Stage 2] Perform binary search in the last range
- Performance analysis (worst case)
  - Let the destination position be n positions away.
  - ≈ $\log_2 n$ probes in Stage 1 + ≈ $\log_2 n$ probes in Stage 2
  - Total = $2 \lceil \log_2 (n+1) \rceil = O(\log_2 n)$



Total Cost

- Galloping search (gambler's strategy)
  - Cost of the i-th probe: $\approx 2 \log_2(n_i)$
  - Total cost of K1 probes: $\approx 2 \log_2(\prod_1^{|K1|} n_i)$

  $\leq 2 \log_2(((\sum_1^{|K1|} n_i) / |K1|)^{|K1|}) \leq 2|K1|*\log_2(|K2|/|K1|)$
- Asymptotically, resembles linear merge when |K2|/|K1| = O(1), resembles binary search when |K1| = O(1)

What about list intersection using binary search?

Multiple Term Conjunctive Queries

- K1 AND K2 AND … AND Kn
- SvS does not perform well if none of the associated lists are short
- In addition, it is blocking
- Can you design non-blocking multiple sorted array intersection algorithm?

Generalization

- Generalize the 2-way intersection algorithm
- 2-way:
  - {1, 2} ➔ move k1's cursor
  - skipTo(2)
- 3-way:
  - {1, 2, 3} ➔ move k1,k2's cursor
  - skipTo(3)



eliminator = $Max_{1<=i<=n}(k_i.cursor)$

Optimization

- Mismatch found even before accessing K3's cursor
- Choice 1: continue to get cursors of other list
- Choice 2: settle the dispute within the first two lists ➔ max algorithm [Culpepper & Moffat: Efficient set intersection for inverted indexing]



  - Better locality of access ➔ fewer cache misses
  - Similar to SvS

Pseudo-Code for the Max Algorithm (Wrong)

- Input: $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$ in increasing size

```
(1)   x := K1[1]; startAt := 2        //x is the eliminator
(2)   while x is defined do
(3)       for i = startAt to n do
(4)           y := Ki.skipTo(x)
(5)           if y > x then           //mismatch
(6)               x := K1.next()      //restart_1   //restart_2
(7)               if y > x then startAt := 1; x := y else startAt := 2 end if
(8)               break               //match in all  lists
(9)           elsif i = n then        //y = x
(10)              Output x
(11)              x := K1.next()
(12)          end if
(13)      end for
(14)  end while
```

The original code has a bug when in restart_1 cases

Pseudo-Code for the Max Algorithm (Fixed)

- Input: $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$ in increasing size

```
(1)   x := K1[1]; startAt := 2
(2)   while x is defined do
(3)       for i = startAt to n do
(4)           y := Ki.skipTo(x)
(5)           if y > x then
(6)               x := K1.next()
(7)               if y > x then startAt := 1; x := y else startAt := 2 end if
(8)               break
(9)           elsif i = n then
(10)              Output x
(11)              x := K1.next()
(12)          end if
(13)      end for
(14)  end while
```

```
(4.1) if i = 1 then
(4.2)     if y > x then
(4.3)         x := y
(4.4)         break
(4.5)     end if
(4.6) end if
```

References

- J. Shane Culpepper, Alistair Moffat: Efficient set intersection for inverted indexing. ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. 29(1): 1 (2010)
- F.K. Hwang and S. Lin, A simple algorithm for merging two disjoint linearly ordered sets. SIAM J. Comput. 1 1 (1972), pp. 31–39.
- Stefan Buettcher, Charles L. A. Clarke, Gordon V. Cormack, Information Retrieval: Implementing and Evaluating Search Engines, 2010 [Chapter 5]