

ICPC Recommendation

Recommendation No. 16 Considerations for Marking Submarine Cables

© 2015 International Cable Protection Committee (ICPC Ltd). All rights reserved.

Contact for Enquiries and Proposed Changes

If you have any questions regarding this document or suggestions for improving it, please send an email to the ICPC's general.manager@iscpc.org

Issue Date: 21 December 2015

Suggested Citation

International Cable Protection Committee. ICPC Recommendation #16, Considerations for Marking Submarine Cables, Issue 1, 21 December 2015.

Available by request at www.iscpc.org or secretariat@iscpc.org

DISCLAIMER

An International Cable Protection Committee Ltd ("ICPC") Recommendation ("Recommendation") implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. A Recommendation is intended as a guide to aid cable owners and other seabed users in promoting the highest goals of reliability and safety in the submarine cable environment. The existence of a Recommendation does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the Recommendation or not, from laying or repairing undersea cables or employing procedures to these ends which may be required by the ordinary practice of seamanship or by the special circumstances of each case, but which may not be conforming to the Recommendation.

The ICPC does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of a Recommendation in the name of the ICPC. The ICPC and its members do not accept any liability for any errors in the Recommendation or for any consequences resulting from its use as a planning guide. Nothing in this Recommendation should be viewed as relieving anyone from the rights and obligations of seabed users under international law, including but not limited to the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea ("UNCLOS").

NB: ICPC Recommendations are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest issues. This Recommendation may be revised or withdrawn at any time without further notice to the recipient.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Disclaimer	. 2
Table Of Contents	. 3
1. INTRODUCTION	. 4
2. HISTORY	. 4
3. RATIONALE	. 4
3.1. Factory Markings	. 5
3.1.1.1. Cable ID Code	. 5
3.1.1.2. Reference Scale	. 5
3.1.1.3. Size of Lettering/Visibility	. 5
3.1.1.4. Spacing	. 5
3.1.1.5. Tolerance of Placement	. 5
3.1.1.6. Allowable Gaps in Marking	. 5
3.1.1.7. Lifetime	. 5
3.1.1.8. Abrasion Resistance	. 5
4. RECORD KEEPING	. 6
4.1. Traceability	. 6
4.2. Fibre Type Transition Location	. 6
5. REFERENCES	. 6
6 DEFINITIONS	6

1. INTRODUCTION

In this document, the ICPC provides its Recommendation for the marking of submarine cables. Higher level considerations are provided here rather than a set of detailed specifications. This Recommendation should form the basis of any method for marking cables and record keeping. Each cable manufacturer will likely have equipment and constraints unique to their facilities, so the detailed implementation is best left to each manufacturer. Although specific implementation methods may vary, they should still fulfil the basic requirements of this Recommendation.

Issue Date: 21 December 2015

Commercial issues notwithstanding, the ICPC strongly encourages submarine cable manufacturers to develop and adopt a common scheme for cable marking for the benefit of the entire industry. Similarly, submarine cable system purchasers are strongly encouraged to include cable marking as a requirement for all new cables.

2. HISTORY

SubOptic 2001 Paper T5.2.2 discussed processes and tools, including the need for cable markings, for optimizing Chromatic Dispersion during the repair. A summary of the issues was presented during the ICPC June 2002 meeting in Naples, Florida as part of the Presentation on Submarine Cable Improvement Group (SCIG) Activities. Those original works form the basis for this recommendation for which the ICPC gratefully thanks their authors.

3. RATIONALE

The requirement for cable marking is driven by the need to efficiently manage repair operations. The markings can also assist in determining that the correct cable has been recovered where cables are closely spaced.

Depending on the type of optical system, the markings should also provide a confirmation of fibre types in the recovered cable during a repair.

Note: This Recommendation is not designed to address RPL marking.

3.1. Factory Markings

New cables should be marked at the cable factory during the cable manufacturing process in an automated process with marking applied to the polyethylene core at regular intervals.

Issue Date: 21 December 2015

3.1.1.1. Cable ID Code

An alphanumeric cable ID code should be imprinted onto the polyethylene core to identify the cable. The alphanumeric code is referenced to a documented code key that provides the full details of number of fibres, fibre type and fibre specifications.

Manufacturers will have their own unique codes, however the ICPC strongly recommends that a common code be adopted that would allow easy identification of any cable, anywhere in the world, without reference to system specific documentation.

3.1.1.2. Reference Scale

A numeric length scale imprinted onto the polyethylene jacket should also be applied to assist in locating underlying fibre splices in lieu of hand applied tape marks. The reference length scale is also applied continuously during cable manufacture. If the cable is subsequently cut when integrated, it is expected that there will be discontinuities of the length scale at cable bodies. This will be recorded in the SLD; therefore any arbitrary starting number for applying the scale is acceptable.

3.1.1.3. Size of Lettering/Visibility

The marking should be visible with the naked eye for viewing from a distance of approx. 0.5m. The ICPC recommends a minimum size lettering of 5mm in height.

3.1.1.4. Spacing

Markings should repeat at intervals of between 1 to 5 meters.

3.1.1.5. Tolerance of Placement

The length scale should be applied with a tolerance consistent with the contract requirements. A tolerance of +/- 0.1% or better of length is desirable.

3.1.1.6. Allowable Gaps in Marking

All gaps should be recorded in the manufacturing log and identified on the cable acceptance documentation. Gaps greater than 100 m should be recorded in the system documentation.

3.1.1.7. Lifetime

Marking should last for the lifetime of the system (excluding tape markings). The markings should be insoluble in solvents used for the removal of bitumen. Tapemarkings, required for cable installation should remain during the installation time.

3.1.1.8. Abrasion Resistance

Markings should remain intact through all normal cable handling equipment used during cable loading, unloading, deployment, recovery and repair.

4. RECORD KEEPING

4.1. Traceability

Records should be kept by the manufacturer and owners that cross reference the cable ID to the optical fibre specifications including the following:

Issue Date: 21 December 2015

- Number of fibres in the cable
- Fibre type
- Manufacturer
- · Test data.

4.2. Fibre Type Transition Location

Records should be kept by the manufacturer, owner and maintainer that cross reference the cable length reference to the locations of fibre splices between different fibre types. Particularly important is the recording of the beginning and end of bridge splice sections used in newer cable systems.

5. REFERENCES

Document Number	Title
------------------------	-------

SubOptic 2001
Paper T5.2.2
(Lonnie Hagadorn FLAG Telecom)

The Necessary Evolution of Marine Repair Processes in the DWDM Cable World

Are Hadatas as Subarasina Cable Increases and Career

Naples FL, 4-6 June, 2002
(R. Rapp on behalf of SCIG)

An Update on Submarine Cable Improvement Group Activities

6. DEFINITIONS

The following words, acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this document.

Term	Definition
DWDM	Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing
ID	Identity
LW	Lightweight
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle
RPL	Route Position List
SCIG	Submarine Cable Improvement Group
SLD	Straight Line Diagram
SPA	Special Application