Algorithms and Analysis

Lesson 21: *Know Your Graph Algorithms*



Weighted graph algorithms, Minimum spanning tree, Prim, Kruskal, shortest path, Dijkstra

Outline

1. **Minimum Spanning Tree**
2. Prim's Algorithm
3. Kruskal's Algorithm
4. Shortest Path



Graph Algorithms

- We consider a graph algorithm to be **efficient** if it can solve a graph problem in $O(n^a)$ time for some fixed a
- That is, an efficient algorithm runs in polynomial time
- A problem is **hard** if there is no known efficient algorithm
- This does **not** mean the best we can do is to look through all possible solutions—see later lectures
- In this lecture we are going to look at some efficient graph algorithms for weighted graphs

Graph Algorithms

- We consider a graph algorithm to be **efficient** if it can solve a graph problem in $O(n^a)$ time for some fixed a
- That is, an efficient algorithm runs in polynomial time
- A problem is **hard** if there is no known efficient algorithm
- This does **not** mean the best we can do is to look through all possible solutions—see later lectures
- In this lecture we are going to look at some efficient graph algorithms for weighted graphs

Graph Algorithms

- We consider a graph algorithm to be **efficient** if it can solve a graph problem in $O(n^a)$ time for some fixed a
- That is, an efficient algorithm runs in polynomial time
- A problem is **hard** if there is no known efficient algorithm
- This does **not** mean the best we can do is to look through all possible solutions—see later lectures
- In this lecture we are going to look at some efficient graph algorithms for weighted graphs

Graph Algorithms

- We consider a graph algorithm to be **efficient** if it can solve a graph problem in $O(n^a)$ time for some fixed a
- That is, an efficient algorithm runs in polynomial time
- A problem is **hard** if there is no known efficient algorithm
- This does **not** mean the best we can do is to look through all possible solutions—see later lectures
- In this lecture we are going to look at some efficient graph algorithms for weighted graphs

Graph Algorithms

- We consider a graph algorithm to be **efficient** if it can solve a graph problem in $O(n^a)$ time for some fixed a
- That is, an efficient algorithm runs in polynomial time
- A problem is **hard** if there is no known efficient algorithm
- This does **not** mean the best we can do is to look through all possible solutions—see later lectures
- In this lecture we are going to look at some efficient graph algorithms for weighted graphs

Minimum spanning tree

- A minimal spanning tree is the shortest tree which spans the entire graph



Minimum spanning tree

- A minimal spanning tree is the shortest tree which spans the entire graph



Greedy Strategy

- We consider two algorithms for solving the problem
  - ⋆ Prim's algorithm (discovered 1957)
  - ⋆ Kruskal's algorithm (discovered 1956)
- Both algorithms use a **greedy strategy**
- Generally greedy strategies are not guaranteed to give globally optimal solutions
- There exists a class of problems with a **matroid** structure where greedy algorithms lead to globally optimal solutions
- Minimum spanning trees, Huffman codes and shortest path problems are matroids

Greedy Strategy

- We consider two algorithms for solving the problem
  - ⋆ Prim's algorithm (discovered 1957)
  - ⋆ Kruskal's algorithm (discovered 1956)
- Both algorithms use a **greedy strategy**
- Generally greedy strategies are not guaranteed to give globally optimal solutions
- There exists a class of problems with a **matroid** structure where greedy algorithms lead to globally optimal solutions
- Minimum spanning trees, Huffman codes and shortest path problems are matroids

Greedy Strategy

- We consider two algorithms for solving the problem
  - ⋆ Prim's algorithm (discovered 1957)
  - ⋆ Kruskal's algorithm (discovered 1956)
- Both algorithms use a **greedy strategy**
- Generally greedy strategies are not guaranteed to give globally optimal solutions
- There exists a class of problems with a **matroid** structure where greedy algorithms lead to globally optimal solutions
- Minimum spanning trees, Huffman codes and shortest path problems are matroids

Greedy Strategy

- We consider two algorithms for solving the problem
  - ⋆ Prim's algorithm (discovered 1957)
  - ⋆ Kruskal's algorithm (discovered 1956)
- Both algorithms use a **greedy strategy**
- Generally greedy strategies are not guaranteed to give globally optimal solutions
- There exists a class of problems with a **matroid** structure where greedy algorithms lead to globally optimal solutions
- Minimum spanning trees, Huffman codes and shortest path problems are matroids

Greedy Strategy

- We consider two algorithms for solving the problem
  - ⋆ Prim's algorithm (discovered 1957)
  - ⋆ Kruskal's algorithm (discovered 1956)
- Both algorithms use a **greedy strategy**
- Generally greedy strategies are not guaranteed to give globally optimal solutions
- There exists a class of problems with a **matroid** structure where greedy algorithms lead to globally optimal solutions
- Minimum spanning trees, Huffman codes and shortest path problems are matroids

Outline

1. Minimum Spanning Tree
2. **Prim's Algorithm**
3. Kruskal's Algorithm
4. Shortest Path



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Prim's Algorithm

- Prim's algorithm grows a subtree greedily
- Start at an arbitrary node
- Add the shortest edge to a node not in the tree



Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞            \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅              \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()     \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1          \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞              \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅                \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()       \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1            \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞            \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅              \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()     \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1          \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞              \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅                \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()       \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1            \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞              \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅                \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()       \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1            \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞            \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅              \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()     \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1          \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞            \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅              \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()     \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1          \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞              \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅                \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()       \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1            \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞

node=0



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	∞	42	∞	27	∞

neighbours of node 0 added to PQ

node=0

PQ (27, (0,6))

(42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	∞	42	∞	27	∞

node=0

PQ (27, (0,6)) → nearest node=6

(42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	∞	42	∞	0	∞

add edge (0,6) to MST

node=6

PQ (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	17	40	∞	0	48

neighbours of node 6 added to PQ

node=6

PQ (17, (6,3))

(42, (0,4)) (40, (6,4))

(48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	17	40	∞	0	48

node=6

PQ (17, (6,3)) → nearest node=3

(42, (0,4))

(40, (6,4))

(48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	0	40	∞	0	48

add edge (6,3) to MST

node=3

PQ (40, (6,4))

(42, (0,4)) (48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	0	40	∞	0	33

neighbours of node 3 added to PQ

node=3

PQ (33, (3,7))

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	0	40	∞	0	33

node=3

PQ (33, (3,7)) → nearest node=7

(40, (6,4))

(48, (6,7))

(42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	∞	0	40	∞	0	0

add edge (3,7) to MST

node=7

PQ (40, (6,4))

(42, (0,4)) (48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	55	0	40	34	0	0

neighbours of node 7 added to PQ

node=7

PQ (34, (7,5))

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	55	0	40	34	0	0

node=7

PQ (34, (7,5)) → nearest node=5

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	∞	55	0	40	0	0	0

add edge (7,5) to MST

node=5

PQ (40, (6,4))

(42, (0,4))

(48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	24	26	0	40	0	0	0

neighbours of node 5 added to PQ

node=5

PQ (24, (5,1))

(40, (6,4)) (26, (5,2))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4)) (48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	24	26	0	40	0	0	0

node=5

PQ (24, (5,1)) → nearest node=1

(40, (6,4)) (26, (5,2))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4)) (48, (6,7))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	26	0	40	0	0	0

add edge (5,1) to MST

node=1

PQ (26, (5,2))

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	26	0	40	0	0	0

neighbours of node 1 added to PQ

node=1

PQ (26, (5,2))

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	26	0	40	0	0	0

node=1

PQ (26, (5,2)) → nearest node=2

(40, (6,4)) (48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2)) (42, (0,4))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0

add edge (5,2) to MST

node=2

PQ (40, (6,4))

(42, (0,4))

(48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0

neighbours of node 2 added to PQ

node=2

PQ (40, (6,4))

(42, (0,4))

(48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0

node=2

PQ (40, (6,4)) → nearest node=4

(42, (0,4))

(48, (6,7))

(55, (7,2))



Prim's Algorithm in Detail

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d[]	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0

add edge (6,4) to MST

PQ (42, (0,4))

(55, (7,2)) (48, (6,7))



Finished MST

Why Does This Work?

- Clearly Prim's algorithm produces a spanning tree
  - ⋆ It is a tree because we always choose an edge to a node not in the tree
  - ⋆ It is a spanning tree because it has $|\mathcal{V}| - 1$ edges
- Why is this a minimum spanning tree?
- Once again we look for a proof by induction

Why Does This Work?

- Clearly Prim's algorithm produces a spanning tree
  - ⋆ It is a tree because we always choose an edge to a node not in the tree
  - ⋆ It is a spanning tree because it has $|\mathcal{V}| - 1$ edges
- Why is this a minimum spanning tree?
- Once again we look for a proof by induction

Why Does This Work?

- Clearly Prim's algorithm produces a spanning tree
  - ⋆ It is a tree because we always choose an edge to a node not in the tree
  - ⋆ It is a spanning tree because it has $|\mathcal{V}| - 1$ edges
- Why is this a minimum spanning tree?
- Once again we look for a proof by induction

Why Does This Work?

- Clearly Prim's algorithm produces a spanning tree
  - ⋆ It is a tree because we always choose an edge to a node not in the tree
  - ⋆ It is a spanning tree because it has $|\mathcal{V}| - 1$ edges
- Why is this a minimum spanning tree?
- Once again we look for a proof by induction

Why Does This Work?

- Clearly Prim's algorithm produces a spanning tree
  - ⋆ It is a tree because we always choose an edge to a node not in the tree
  - ⋆ It is a spanning tree because it has $|\mathcal{V}| - 1$ edges
- Why is this a minimum spanning tree?
- Once again we look for a proof by induction

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Proof by induction

- We want to show that each subtree, T_i, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is part of (a subgraph) of some minimum spanning tree
- In the base case, T_1 consists of a tree with no edges, but this has to be part of the minimum spanning tree
- To prove the inductive case we assume that T_i is part of the minimum spanning tree
- We want to prove that T_{i+1} formed by adding the shortest edge is also part of the minimum spanning tree
- We perform the proof by contradiction—we assume that this added edge isn't part of the minimum spanning tree

Contrariwise



Contrariwise



Contrariwise



Contrariwise



Loop Counting

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
   for i←0 to |V|
      d_i ←∞
   endfor
   E_T ←∅
   PQ.initialise()
   node ← v_1
   for i←1 to |V| − 1                    // loop 1  O(|V|)
      d_node ←0
      for k ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}  // inner loop O(|E|/|V|)
         if ( w_node,k < d_k  )
            d_k ←w_node,k
            PQ.add( (d_k, (node,k)) )     // O(log(|E|))
         endif
      endfor
      do
         (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
      until (d_next_node > 0)
      E_T ←E_T ∪ {(node, next_node)}
      node ←next_node
   endfor
   return E_T
}
```

Run Time

- The worst time is

$$O(|\mathcal{V}|) \times O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{V}|}\right) \times O\big(\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\left(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\right)$$

- Note that $|\mathcal{E}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2$
- Thus, $\log(|\mathcal{E}|) < 2\log(|\mathcal{V}|) = O\left(\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\right)$
- Thus the worst case time complexity is $|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)$

Run Time

- The worst time is

$$O(|\mathcal{V}|) \times O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{V}|}\right) \times O\big(\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\left(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\right)$$

- Note that $|\mathcal{E}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2$
- Thus, $\log(|\mathcal{E}|) < 2\log(|\mathcal{V}|) = O\left(\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\right)$
- Thus the worst case time complexity is $|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)$

Run Time

- The worst time is

$$O(|\mathcal{V}|) \times O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{V}|}\right) \times O\big(\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\left(|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{E}|)\right)$$

- Note that $|\mathcal{E}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2$
- Thus, $\log(|\mathcal{E}|) < 2\log(|\mathcal{V}|) = O\left(\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\right)$
- Thus the worst case time complexity is $|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{V}|)$

Run Time

- The worst time is

$$O(|\mathcal{V}|) \times O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{V}|}\right) \times O\big(\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\left(|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{E}|)\right)$$

- Note that $|\mathcal{E}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2$
- Thus, $\log(|\mathcal{E}|) < 2\log(|\mathcal{V}|) = O\left(\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\right)$
- Thus the worst case time complexity is $|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{V}|)$

Run Time

- The worst time is

$$O(|\mathcal{V}|) \times O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{V}|}\right) \times O\big(\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\left(|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{E}|)\right)$$

- Note that $|\mathcal{E}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2$
- Thus, $\log(|\mathcal{E}|) < 2\log(|\mathcal{V}|) = O\left(\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\right)$
- Thus the worst case time complexity is $|\mathcal{E}| \log(|\mathcal{V}|)$

Outline

1. Minimum Spanning Tree
2. Prim's Algorithm
3. **Kruskal's Algorithm**
4. Shortest Path



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Kruskal's algorithm works by choosing the shortest edges which don't form a loop



Pseudo Code

```
KRUSKAL(G = (V, E, w))
{
  PQ.initialise()
  for edge ∈ |E|
    PQ.add( (w_edge, edge) )
  endfor

  E_T ← ∅
  noEdgesAccepted ←0

  while (noEdgesAccepted < |V| − 1)
    edge ←PQ.getMin()
    if E_T ∪ {edge} is acyclic
      E_T ←E_T ∪ {edge}
      noEdgesAccepted ←noEdgesAccepted +1
    endif
  endwhile

  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
KRUSKAL(G = (V, E, w))
{
   PQ.initialise()
   for edge ∈ |E|
      PQ.add( (w_edge, edge) )
   endfor

   E_T ← ∅
   noEdgesAccepted ←0

   while (noEdgesAccepted < |V| − 1)
      edge ←PQ.getMin()
      if E_T ∪ {edge} is acyclic
         E_T ←E_T ∪ {edge}
         noEdgesAccepted ←noEdgesAccepted +1
      endif
   endwhile

   return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
KRUSKAL(G = (V, E, w))
{
  PQ.initialise()
  for edge ∈ |E|
    PQ.add( (w_edge, edge) )
  endfor

  E_T ← ∅
  noEdgesAccepted ←0

  while (noEdgesAccepted < |V| − 1)
    edge ←PQ.getMin()
    if E_T ∪ {edge} is acyclic
      E_T ←E_T ∪ {edge}
      noEdgesAccepted ←noEdgesAccepted +1
    endif
  endwhile

  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
KRUSKAL(G = (V, E, w))
{
  PQ.initialise()
  for edge ∈ |E|
    PQ.add( (w_edge, edge) )
  endfor

  E_T ← ∅
  noEdgesAccepted ←0

  while (noEdgesAccepted < |V| − 1)
    edge ←PQ.getMin()
    if E_T ∪ {edge} is acyclic
      E_T ←E_T ∪ {edge}
      noEdgesAccepted ←noEdgesAccepted +1
    endif
  endwhile

  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
KRUSKAL(G = (V, E, w))
{
  PQ.initialise()
  for edge ∈ |E|
    PQ.add( (w_edge, edge) )
  endfor

  E_T ← ∅
  noEdgesAccepted ←0

  while (noEdgesAccepted < |V| − 1)
    edge ←PQ.getMin()
    if E_T ∪ {edge} is acyclic
      E_T ←E_T ∪ {edge}
      noEdgesAccepted ←noEdgesAccepted +1
    endif
  endwhile

  return E_T
}
```

Analysis

- Kruskal's algorithm looks much simpler than Prim's
- The sorting takes most of the time, thus Prim's algorithms is $O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\big)$
- We can sort the edges however we want—we could use quick sort rather than heap sort using a priority queue
- But we haven't specified how we determine if the added edge would produce a cycle

Analysis

- Kruskal's algorithm looks much simpler than Prim's
- The sorting takes most of the time, thus Prim's algorithms is $O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\big)$
- We can sort the edges however we want—we could use quick sort rather than heap sort using a priority queue
- But we haven't specified how we determine if the added edge would produce a cycle

Analysis

- Kruskal's algorithm looks much simpler than Prim's
- The sorting takes most of the time, thus Prim's algorithms is $O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\big)$
- We can sort the edges however we want—we could use quick sort rather than heap sort using a priority queue
- But we haven't specified how we determine if the added edge would produce a cycle

Analysis

- Kruskal's algorithm looks much simpler than Prim's
- The sorting takes most of the time, thus Prim's algorithms is $O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{E}|)\big) = O\big(|\mathcal{E}|\log(|\mathcal{V}|)\big)$
- We can sort the edges however we want—we could use quick sort rather than heap sort using a priority queue
- But we haven't specified how we determine if the added edge would produce a cycle

Cycling

- For a path to be a cycle the edge has to join two nodes representing the same subtree
- To compute this we need to quickly **find** which subtree a node has been assigned to
- Initially all nodes are assigned to a separate subtree
- When two subtrees are combined by an edge we have to perform the **union** of the two subtrees
- But that is precisely the **union-find** algorithm we covered in lecture 13

Cycling

- For a path to be a cycle the edge has to join two nodes representing the same subtree
- To compute this we need to quickly **find** which subtree a node has been assigned to
- Initially all nodes are assigned to a separate subtree
- When two subtrees are combined by an edge we have to perform the **union** of the two subtrees
- But that is precisely the **union-find** algorithm we covered in lecture 13

Cycling

- For a path to be a cycle the edge has to join two nodes representing the same subtree
- To compute this we need to quickly **find** which subtree a node has been assigned to
- Initially all nodes are assigned to a separate subtree
- When two subtrees are combined by an edge we have to perform the **union** of the two subtrees
- But that is precisely the **union-find** algorithm we covered in lecture 13

Cycling

- For a path to be a cycle the edge has to join two nodes representing the same subtree
- To compute this we need to quickly **find** which subtree a node has been assigned to
- Initially all nodes are assigned to a separate subtree
- When two subtrees are combined by an edge we have to perform the **union** of the two subtrees
- But that is precisely the **union-find** algorithm we covered in lecture 13

Cycling

- For a path to be a cycle the edge has to join two nodes representing the same subtree
- To compute this we need to quickly **find** which subtree a node has been assigned to
- Initially all nodes are assigned to a separate subtree
- When two subtrees are combined by an edge we have to perform the **union** of the two subtrees
- But that is precisely the **union-find** algorithm we covered in lecture 13

Outline

1. Minimum Spanning Tree
2. Prim's Algorithm
3. Kruskal's Algorithm
4. **Shortest Path**



Shortest path

- We can efficiently compute the shortest path from one vertex to any other vertex
- This defines a spanning tree, but where the optimisation criteria is that we choose the vertex that are closest to the $source$
- To find this spanning tree we use Dijkstra's algorithm where we successively add the nearest node to the source which is connected to the subtree built so far
- This is very close to Prim's algorithm and has the same complexity

Shortest path

- We can efficiently compute the shortest path from one vertex to any other vertex
- This defines a spanning tree, but where the optimisation criteria is that we choose the vertex that are closest to the $source$
- To find this spanning tree we use Dijkstra's algorithm where we successively add the nearest node to the source which is connected to the subtree built so far
- This is very close to Prim's algorithm and has the same complexity

Shortest path

- We can efficiently compute the shortest path from one vertex to any other vertex
- This defines a spanning tree, but where the optimisation criteria is that we choose the vertex that are closest to the $source$
- To find this spanning tree we use Dijkstra's algorithm where we successively add the nearest node to the source which is connected to the subtree built so far
- This is very close to Prim's algorithm and has the same complexity

Shortest path

- We can efficiently compute the shortest path from one vertex to any other vertex
- This defines a spanning tree, but where the optimisation criteria is that we choose the vertex that are closest to the $source$
- To find this spanning tree we use Dijkstra's algorithm where we successively add the nearest node to the source which is connected to the subtree built so far
- This is very close to Prim's algorithm and has the same complexity

Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Dijkstra's Algorithm



Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Pseudo Code

```
DIJKSTRA(G = (V, E, w), source) {
  for i←0 to |V|
    d_i ←∞          \\ Minimum 'distance' to source
  endfor
  E_T ←∅            \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()   \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ←source
  d_node ←0
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh + d_node < d_neigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh + d_node
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node, neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    while next_node not in subtree
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Compare to Prim's Algorithm

```
PRIM(G = (V, E, w)) {
  for i←1 to |V|
    d_i ←∞              \\ Minimum 'distance' to subtree
  endfor
  E_T ←∅                \\ Set of edges in subtree
  PQ.initialise()       \\ initialise an empty priority queue
  node ← v_1            \\ where v_1 ∈ V is arbitrary
  for i←1 to |V| − 1
    d_node ←0
    for neigh ∈ {v ∈ V|(node, v) ∈ E}
      if ( w_node,neigh < d_enigh )
        d_neigh ←w_node,neigh
        PQ.add( (d_neigh, (node,neigh)) )
      endif
    endfor
    do
      (a_node, next_node) ←PQ.getMin()
    until (d_next_node > 0)
    E_T ←E_T ∪ {(a_node, next_node)}
    node ←next_node
  endfor
  return E_T
}
```

Dijkstra Details

- Dijkstra is very similar to Prim's (it differs in the distances that are used)
- It has the same time complexity
- It can be viewed as using a greedy strategy
- It can also be viewed as using the dynamic programming strategy (see lecture 22)

Dijkstra Details

- Dijkstra is very similar to Prim's (it differs in the distances that are used)
- It has the same time complexity
- It can be viewed as using a greedy strategy
- It can also be viewed as using the dynamic programming strategy (see lecture 22)

Dijkstra Details

- Dijkstra is very similar to Prim's (it differs in the distances that are used)
- It has the same time complexity
- It can be viewed as using a greedy strategy
- It can also be viewed as using the dynamic programming strategy (see lecture 22)

Dijkstra Details

- Dijkstra is very similar to Prim's (it differs in the distances that are used)
- It has the same time complexity
- It can be viewed as using a greedy strategy
- It can also be viewed as using the dynamic programming strategy (see lecture 22)

Lessons

- There are many efficient (i.e. polynomial $O(n^a)$) graph algorithms
- Some of the most efficient ones are based on the Greedy strategy
- These are easily implemented using priority queues
- Minimum spanning trees are useful because they are easy to compute
- Dijkstra's algorithm is one of the classics

Lessons

- There are many efficient (i.e. polynomial $O(n^a)$) graph algorithms
- Some of the most efficient ones are based on the Greedy strategy
- These are easily implemented using priority queues
- Minimum spanning trees are useful because they are easy to compute
- Dijkstra's algorithm is one of the classics

Lessons

- There are many efficient (i.e. polynomial $O(n^a)$) graph algorithms
- Some of the most efficient ones are based on the Greedy strategy
- These are easily implemented using priority queues
- Minimum spanning trees are useful because they are easy to compute
- Dijkstra's algorithm is one of the classics

Lessons

- There are many efficient (i.e. polynomial $O(n^a)$) graph algorithms
- Some of the most efficient ones are based on the Greedy strategy
- These are easily implemented using priority queues
- Minimum spanning trees are useful because they are easy to compute
- Dijkstra's algorithm is one of the classics

Lessons

- There are many efficient (i.e. polynomial $O(n^a)$) graph algorithms
- Some of the most efficient ones are based on the Greedy strategy
- These are easily implemented using priority queues
- Minimum spanning trees are useful because they are easy to compute
- Dijkstra's algorithm is one of the classics