**Lesson 15:** *Use Arrays for Fast Set Algorithms*


Equivalent classes, Disjoint Set, Fast Sets

1. **Equivalent Classes**

2. Disjoint Sets

3. Fast Sets

Equivalence Relations

- Given a set of elements $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ and a binary relationship $\sim$ with the following properties▮

  (Reflexivity) For every element $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \sim x$▮
  (Symmetry) For every two elements $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ if $x \sim y$ then $y \sim x$▮
  (Transitivity) For every three elements $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ if $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$ then $x \sim z$▮

- Then $\sim$ defines a partitioning of the set into **equivalence classes**

## Example of Equivalence Classes

- Although, equivalent classes sound very mathematical they often provide a useful formalisation of the real world▮

- E.g. Pairs of web pages with a link in each direction between them▮

- Consider web pages in the same equivalence class if you can get from one to the other by clicking links▮

- Partitions the web into linked domains▮

- Friendship relations in social media▮

Dynamic Equivalence Classes

- Finding equivalence classes is rather easy using graph traversal algorithms

- However, as our web example suggests, there are applications where equivalence classes change over time

- Adding a link could join two domains which were separate

- We will see this is a useful idea both for building mazes and (in a later lecture) for finding minimum spanning trees

- Building a data structure which finds equivalence classes where the equivalence relation changes over time is challenging, but fortunately there is an elegant solution to this

Outline

1. Equivalent Classes

2. **Disjoint Sets**

3. Fast Sets

Union-Find

- In the union-find algorithm we have a set of objects $x \in \mathcal{S}$ which are to be grouped into subsets $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \ldots$

- Initially each object is in its individual subset (no relationships)

- We want to make the **union** of two subsets (add relationship between elements)

- We also want to **find** the subset given an element

- This is a common problem for which we will write a class `DisjointSets` to perform fast `union`s and `find`s

DisjointSets

- We want to create a class

```
public class DisjointSets
{
    public DisjointSets(int numElements) {/* Constructor */}

    public int find(int x) {/* Find root */}

    public void union(int root1, int root2) {/* Union */}

    private int[] s;
}
```

- Where `find(x)` returns a unique identifier for the subset which element `x` belongs to

- The array `s` contains labelling information to implement `find(x)`

The Union-Find Dilemma

- A natural algorithm to perform finds is to maintain an array returning a subset label for each element—this makes `find` fast

- However, every time we combine two subset we have to change all the labels in this array (taking $O(n)$ operations)

- If we are unlucky the cost of performing n unions is $\Theta(n^2)$

- If we ensure that we relabel the smaller subset then the time complexity is $\Theta(n \log(n))$

- Fast *finds* seems to give slow(ish) *unions*

- What about the other way around?

Fast Union

- To achieve fast unions we can represent our disjoint sets as a forest (many disjoint trees)

- Every time we perform a union we make one of the trees point to the head of the other tree

- The cost of `find` depends on the depth of the tree

- To make unions efficient we make the shallow tree a subtree of the deeper tree

Putting it Together

`find(6)=7`

7	0	7	7	7	7	7	-3	7	7
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Smart Union

```java
public DisjointSets(int numElements)
{
    s = new int[numElements];
    for(int i=0; i<s.length; i++)
        s[i] = -1;                    // roots are negative number
}


public void union(int root1, int root2)
{
    if (s[root2]<s[root1]) {           // root2 is deeper
        s[root1] = root2;              // make root2 the root
    } else {
        if (s[root1]==s[root2])
            s[root1]--;                // update height if same
        s[root2] = root1;              // make root1 new root
    }
}
```

Path Compression

- To speed up `find` we relabel all nodes we visit during `find` by the root label

```java
public int find(int index)
{
    if (s[index]<0)
        return index;
    else
        return s[index] = find(s[index]);
}
```

s[]

| | | | | 10 | | | | 20 | | | | | | | -3 | | | | | | | |

5 10 20

Mazes

- Union-Find is a data structure which can occur in very different applications

- One application is building a maze

- Start from a complete lattice

- Remove a randomly chosen edge if it connects two unconnected regions

- Stop when the start and end cell are connected

- Or better after all cells are connected

0	1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29
30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39
40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49

Time Complexity of Union-Find

- If we perform M finds and N unions then the time complexity is $O\big(M \log_2^*(N)\big)$

- Where $\log_2^*(N)$ is the number of times you need to apply the logarithm function before you get a number less than 1

- In practice $\log_2^*(N) \leq 5$ for all conceivable N

$$\log_2(\log_2(\log_2(\log_2(\log_2(65536))))) = 0.3305$$

- The proof of this time complexity is rather involved

Outline

1. Equivalent Classes

2. Disjoint Sets

3. **Fast Sets**

Comparison of Sets

- Binary Search Trees: $O(\log_2(n))$, general purpose

- Hash tables: $O(1)$, but need to compute hash, slow iterator when sparse, general purpose

- B-trees: $O((k-1)\log_k(n))$ very complicated, used for large amounts of data

- Tries: $O(\log_k(n))$ for large k expensive in memory, complicated to code efficiently

What Set to Use?

- A PhD student and I were working on writing a fast solver for a combinatorial optimisation problem

- We had to choose one variable to change out of a small number of possible variables

- Each time we changed a variable then we had to update the list of possible variables (remove some variables add others)

- We wanted a data structure which had quick add and remove and where we could choose a variable at random—what should we use?

Bounded Set

- One special feature is that we knew we only wanted the set to contain integers between 0 and n (where n might be 100 000)

- This allowed us to use an array to represent whether an integer belong to that set

- But how do we find a random element of the set quickly?

- Use another array of course!

FastSet

add(7) add(9)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-1	-3	-1	-1	-0	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1

4	9	7	1						

Implementation

```java
public class FastSet extends AbstractSet<Integer> {
    private int[] indexArray;
    private int[] memberArray;
    private int noMembers;

    public FastSet(int n) {
        indexArray = new int[n];
        memberArray = new int[n];
        for(int i=0; i<n; i++) {
            indexArray [i] = -1;
        }
        noMembers = 0;
    }

    public int size() {
        return noMembers;
    }
}
```

Add and Remove

```java
public boolean add(int i) {
    if (indexArray[i]>-1)
        return false;
    memberArray[noMembers] = i;
    indexArray[i] = noMembers;
    ++noMembers;
    return true;
}

public boolean remove(int i) {
    if (indexArray[i]==-1)
        return false;
    --noMembers;
    memberArray[indexArray[i]] = memberArray[noMembers];
    indexArray[memberArray[noMembers]] = indexArray[i];
    indexArray[i] = -1;
    return true;
}
```

Collection Methods

```java
public void clear() {
    for(int i=0; i<noMembers; i++) {
        indexArray[memberArray[i]] = -1;
    }
    noMembers = 0;
}

public boolean isEmpty() {
    return noMembers==0;
}

public Iterator<Integer> iterator() {
    return new FastSetIterator();
}
```

Iterator

```java
private class FastSetIterator implements Iterator<Integer> {
    int current = 0;

    public boolean hasNext() {
        return current < noMembers;
    }

    public Integer next() throws NoSuchElementException {
        if (current>=noMembers) throw new NoSuchElementException();
        current++;
        return memberArray[current-1];
    }

    public void remove() throws IllegalStateException {
        if (current==0) throw new IllegalStateException();
        indexArray[memberArray[current-1]] = -1;
        noMembers--;
        memberArray[current-1] = memberArray[noMembers];
        indexArray[memberArray[noMembers]] = current-1;
    }
}
```

And Random?

- So far we have just implemented a new `Set<Integer>` as part of the java Collection class▪

- We can add additional methods taking advantage of the classes strength

```java
private static Random rand = new Random();

public int getRandomElement() {
    return memberArray[rand.nextInt(noMembers)];
}▪
```

- Need to use FastSet signature to use this

```java
FastSet fastSet = new FastSet(n);
⋮
int r = fastSet.getRandomElement();▪
```

Speed Up

- We compared our algorithm to a very highly regarded "state-of-the-art" algorithm▪

- For large problems we were over 10 times faster because of this data structure▪

- The competitor algorithm used a complex tree structure instead of the simple array▪

- Why?▪The array solution isn't in the books▪

Lessons

- If you have a bounded set then using an array is usually going to be very fast $O(1)$ (or $O(\log^*(n))$)▪

- These data structures are not general purpose for solving every day problems (c.f. `List<T>`, `Set<T>` and `Map<T>`)▪

- They are "back pocket" data structures that solve problems that come up often enough that they are worth knowing about▪

- Sometimes good algorithms are not documented, but it doesn't mean they don't exist▪