Advanced Machine Learning Subsidary Notes

Lecture 16: Convexity

Adam Prügel-Bennett

February 9, 2024

1 Keywords

· Convex sets, convex functions, Jensen's inequality

2 Main Points

2.1 Convex Sets

- · We are familiar geometrically with convex regions
- To define convexity we need to define an intermediate point z = a x + (1 a) y
 - we requires $a \in [0,1]$ (i.e. x is in the interval between 0 and 1) for z to be between x and y
 - to define convexity we only need to have addition and scalar multiplication
- We can the define convexity in a very general way: a set S is convex if for every pair of points $x, y \in S$ and for every possible $a \in [0, 1]$ then $z = a x + (1 a) y \in S$
- We can apply convexity to sets of complicated objects
- For example the set of positive semi-definite matrices forms a convex set
 - This follows from the fact the sum of two positive semi-definite matrices is also positive semi-definite and if we multiply a positive semi-definite matrix by a positive number then the matrix is still positive semi-definite

2.2 Convex Functions

• We can define a function, f(x), to be a *convex function* if for all pairs of points in the domain of the function and all $a \in [0, 1]$

$$f(a \mathbf{x} + (1 - a) \mathbf{y}) \le a f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - a) f(\mathbf{y})$$

- This means that the function sits on or below the linear chord connecting any two points in the domain of the function
- The epigraph of a function is the area that lies on or above the functions
 - The epigraph of a convex function forms a convex region
 - If the epigraph of a function forms a convex region then the function is convex
- We can define convex-down or concave functions by inverting the constraint

$$f(a x + (1 - a) y) \ge a f(x) + (1 - a) f(y)$$

- for clarity I will sometimes refer to "convex" functions as convex-up functions
- convex-down functions have similar (but opposite) properties to convex up functions
- A function where for every pair of points and for any a such that 0 < a < 1 (i.e. a lies strictly between 0 and 1) then if

$$f(a x + (1 - a) y) < a f(x) + (1 - a) f(y)$$

then function is said to be strictly convex

- Linear functions f(x) = ax + c are both convex-up and convex-down functions
 - For a function to be a strictly convex function it cannot have a linear section
- · Convex functions lie on or above their tangent plane
 - The tangent plane to a function f(x) at a point x_0 is the plane orthogonal to the gradient, $\nabla f(x_0)$ that goes through the point x_0
- A necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be convex is that its second derivative is non-negative or for multi-dimensional functions the Hessian is positive semi-definite
 - If the second derivative is positive (i.e. always greater than 0) or the Hessian is positive definite then the function is strictly positive
- Examples
 - Convex-up Functions
 - * $f(x) = x^2$ is strictly convex since f''(x) = 2 > 0
 - * $f(x) = x^{-2}$ is strictly convex since $f''(x) = 2x^{-4} > 0$
 - * $f(x) = x^4$ is convex since $f''(x) = 12x^2 \ge 0$
 - * $f(x) = e^{cx}$ is strictly convex for all c as $f''(x) = c^2 e^{cx} > 0$
 - * $f(x) = ||x||^2$ is strictly convex since $\mathbf{H}(x) = \mathbf{I} \succ 0$
 - * f(x) = |x| is convex since for $a \in [0,1]$ we have $|ax + (1-a)y| \le a|x| + (1-a)|y|$ with equality only when $xy \ge 0$
 - Convex-down Functions
 - 1. $f(x) = -x^2$ is strictly convex-down since f''(x) = -2 < 0
 - 2. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ (for x > 0) is strictly convex-down since $f''(x) = -x^{-3/2}/4 < 0$
 - 3. $f(x) = \log(x)$ is strictly convex-down since $f''(x) = -1/x^2 < 0$
- A function f(x) that is constrained to a convex domain ($x \in \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is a convex set) is convex in that domain if for all pairs $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $a \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(a \mathbf{x} + (1-a) \mathbf{y}) \le a f(\mathbf{x}) + (1-a) f(\mathbf{y})$$

- This is just a more precise definition of a convex function
- Note that by limiting the domain of a function some non-convex functions may be convex over that domain
 - * e.g. $\cos(x)$ is convex in the interval $[-\pi/2, 3\pi/3]$
- A convex function constrained to lie in a convex set will still be convex
- Any combination of linear constraints will form a convex set
- Therefore convex functions restricted to satisfy linear constraints will be convex
- The minimum of a convex function will form a convex set
 - There can be no local minima

- For a strictly convex function the minimum will be unique
- · The sum of convex functions will be convex

· Linear regression

- The loss function of linear regression is convex

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}) = \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$$

- * The Hessian is $\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{X}$ which is positive semi-definite which is a sufficient condition for $L(\boldsymbol{w})$ to be convex
- Both the L_2 regulariser and the L_1 regulariser are convex
- The L_2 regulariser is strictly convex so there will be a unique solution
- Many machine learning algorithms are chosen because they involve minimising a convex function leading to a unique minimum

2.3 Jensen's Inequality

• For any convex-up function, if x is a random variable then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x})] \ge f(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}])$$

- $\mathbb{E}[\cdots]$ denotes the expectation
- · For any convex-down function

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x})] \le f(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}])$$

• These are known as Jensen's Inequality

Proof

– We can prove this starting from the fact that $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ lies above the tangent plane at any point

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) > f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^\mathsf{T} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$$

– This has to be true at the point $x^* = \mathbb{E}[x]$

$$f(x) \ge f(\mathbb{E}[x]) + (x - \mathbb{E}[x])^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla f(\mathbb{E}[x])$$

- Taking expectations of both sides of the equation

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x})] \ge f(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}]) + (\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}] - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}])^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla f(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}]) = f(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}])$$

- · Using Jensen's Inequality
 - Consider the strictly convex function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = x^2$ by Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\left[x^2\right] \ge \mathbb{E}\left[x\right]^2$$

* or
$$\mathbb{E}[x^2] - \mathbb{E}[x]^2 \ge 0$$

- \cdot the left-hand side is the variance so we see variances are non-negative
- · because $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = x^2$ is strictly convex we only get equality where \boldsymbol{x} doesn't vary at all
- Consider the Kullback-Liebler (KL) divergence defined for discrete probability probability distributions defined over the same range as

$$\mathcal{KL}(f||g) = -\sum_{i} f_{i} \log\left(\frac{g_{i}}{f_{i}}\right)$$

- * This is often used to measure how different distribution are from each other
- * Note if $g_i = f_i$ then $\mathcal{KL}(f||g) = 0$ since $\log(1) = 0$
- * Now we can use Jensen's inequality to show that $\mathcal{KL}(f||g) \geq 0$

$$KL(f||g) = -\sum_{i} f_{i} \log\left(\frac{g_{i}}{f_{i}}\right) = -\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[\log\left(\frac{g_{i}}{f_{i}}\right)\right]$$

$$\geq -\log\left(\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[\frac{g_{i}}{f_{i}}\right]\right)$$

$$= -\log\left(\sum_{i} f_{i} \frac{g_{i}}{f_{i}}\right) = -\log\left(\sum_{i} g_{i}\right) = -\log(1) = 0$$

- · Here we are assuming we have random variable that take values $X_i = g_i/f_i$ that occur with probability f_i
- · The KL-divergence is therefore equal to $\mathbb{E}[-\log(X_i)]$
- · Since $-\log(x)$ is convex up we have by Jensen's inequality that the KL-divergences is greater than or equal to $-\log(\mathbb{E}[X_i]) = -\log(\sum_i f_i X_i)$
- · But $X_i = g_i/f_i$ so the KL-divergence is greater than $-\log(\sum_i g_i)$
- · But g_i is a probability so $\sum_i g_i = 1$ giving us our result
- * This is known as the Gibbs' inequality after the mathematical physicist, J. Willard Gibbs, (founder of modern statistical mechanics) who first proved it
- * We often use KL-divergences when we want to choose the parameters of one probability distribution so that it approximates a second probability distribution

3 Exercises

3.1 Positive quadrant

Prove that the set of vectors with non-negative elements form a convex set

3.2 Inverse of Convex Functions

- 1. Use the chain rule to compute the second derivative of f(g(x))
- 2. If $g(x) = f^{-1}(x)$ show that the second derivative of f(g(x)) vanishes
- 3. Use these results to derive an identity for the second derivative of $f^{-1}(x)$
- 4. Derive a condition for $f^{-1}(x)$ to be a convex-down function given that f(x) is convex-up
- 5. Use this to show
 - (a) \sqrt{x} is a convex-down function
 - (b) $\log(x)$ is a convex-down function

3.3 Cumulant Generating Function

- Here is something a bit harder (which you don't need to know)
- The cumulant generating function of a probability distribution p(x) is defined as

$$G(\lambda) = \log(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]$$

- the expectation is over the random variable x drawn from p(x)

- It is called the cumulant generating function because it we take then n^{th} derivative and set λ to zero we obtain the n^{th} cumulant (i.e. $\kappa_n = G^{(n)}(0)$)
- The first cumulant is the mean, the second the variance while the third and forth are proportional to the skewness and kurtosis
- Cumulant generating functions appear a lot when you work with probabilities, but go beyond this course
- · Nevertheless let's show they are convex
 - 1. Find the second derivative
 - 2. Show that if p(x) is a probability distribution then $q(x) = p(x) e^{\lambda x} / \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]$ is also a probability distribution
 - 3. Hence show that the cumulant generating function is convex
- · See answers

4 Answers

4.1 Positive quadrant

- Let $\mathcal P$ be the set of vectors with non-negative elements
- If $x \in \mathcal{P}$ then if $c \ge 0$ we have $v = c x \in \mathcal{P}$ since each element of v will be non-negative (i.e. $v_i = c x_i \ge 0$)
- Also for any two vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ clearly $w = x + y \in \mathcal{P}$ since $w_i = x_+ y_i$
- Thus for any two vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ and any $a \in \{0, 1\}$ the vector z = a x + (1 a) y will be in \mathcal{P}

4.2 Inverse of Convex Functions

1. Taking derivatives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f(g(x))}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}f'(g(x))g'(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = f''(g(x))(g'(x))^2 + f'(g(x))g''(x)$$

- 2. If $g(x) = f^{-1}(x)$ then f(g(x)) = x and the second derivative vanishes
- 3. Using 1. and 2. we find (writing $f^{-1}(x)$ as g(x))

$$g''(x) = -\frac{f''(g(x))(g'(x))^2}{f'(g(x))}$$

- 4. If f(x) is convex then $f''(y) \ge 0$ for any y (including $y = f^{-1}(x)$) also $(g'(x))^2 \ge 0$ so for the inverse of f(x) to be convex down we require $f'(f^{-1}(x)) > 0$
- 5. Use this to show
 - (a) Let $f(x)=x^2$, so that f''(x)=2>0 and f'(y)=y which is non-negative if $y\geq 0$, but $f^{-1}(x)=\sqrt{x}>0$ so $f'(f^{-1}(x))\geq 0$ and consequently \sqrt{x} is convex-down
 - (b) Let $f(x) = \exp(x)$, so that $f''(x) = \exp(x) > 0$. But $f'(y) = \exp(y) > 0$ for all y so $f'(f^{-1}(x)) > 0$ which is sufficient to show $f^{-1}(x) = \log(x)$ is a convex-down function

4.3 Cumulant Generating Function

1. If $G(\lambda) = \log(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right])$ then

$$G'(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[x e^{\lambda x}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]}$$

and

$$G''(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[x^2 e^{\lambda x}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[x e^{\lambda x}\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]^2}$$

- Now if p(x) is a probability distribution is will be non-negative for all x and sum or integrate to 1
 - But then $q(x) = p(x) e^{\lambda x} / \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right]$ will be non-negative as $e^{\lambda x} > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda x}\right] > 0$ (the expectation of positive quantities will be positive)
 - But

$$\int q(x) dx = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda x}]} \int p(x) e^{\lambda x} dx = \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda x}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda x}]} = 1$$

- So q(x) is non-negative and normalised so is a well defined probability distribution
- 3. Using the result of 1. and 2

$$G''(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_p\left[x^2 e^{\lambda x}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_p[e^{\lambda x}]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}_p\left[x e^{\lambda x}\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}_p[e^{\lambda x}]^2} = \mathbb{E}_g\left[x^2\right] - \mathbb{E}_g[x]^2 \ge 0$$

· since variances are non-negative