

Tandon CS Bridge: HW #2

Due on July 21, 2023

Ratan Dey Extended 24-week

Kunhua Huang

kh4092

Problem 5

a. Solve the following questions from the Discrete Math zyBook:

1. Exercise 1.12.2, sections b, e

(a)

$$\frac{p \rightarrow (q \wedge r) \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

(b)

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p \vee r \quad \neg q}{\therefore r}$$

Solution

(a)

1. $\neg q$ *Hypothesis*
2. $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$ *Hypothesis*
3. $\neg(q \wedge r)$ *Domination Law, 1, 2*
4. $\neg p$ *Modus Tollens, 2, 3*

(b)

1. $p \vee q$ *Hypothesis*
2. $\neg p \vee r$ *Hypothesis*
3. $q \vee r$ *Resolution, 1, 2*
4. $\neg q$ *Hypothesis*
5. r *Disjunctive Syllogism, 3, 4*

2. Exercise 1.12.3, section c

Proving the rules of inference using other rules.

Some of the rules of inference can be proven using the other rules of inference and the laws of propositional logic.

(a) One of the rules of inference is Disjunctive syllogism :

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{\therefore q}$$

Prove that Disjunctive syllogism is valid using the laws of propositional logic and any of the other rules of inference besides Disjunctive syllogism. (Hint: you will need one of the conditional identities from the laws of propositional logic).

Solution

(a)

1. $p \vee q$ *Hypothesis*
2. $\neg p \rightarrow q$ *Conditional Identities, 1*
3. $\neg p$ *Hypothesis*
4. q

3. Exercise 1.12.5, section c, d

Proving arguments in English are valid or invalid.

Give the form of each argument. Then prove whether the argument is valid or invalid. For valid arguments, use the rules of inference to prove validity.

(a)

I will buy a new car and a new house if I get a job.
I am not going to get a job.
 \therefore *I will not buy a new car.*

(b)

I will buy a new car and a new house only if I get a job.
I am not going to get a job.
I will buy a new house.
 \therefore *I will not buy a new car.*

Solution

(a)

p: I will buy a new car.
q: I will buy a new house.
r: I get a job

Therefore:

$r \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$
 $\neg r$
 $\therefore \neg p$

Proof. Suppose $r = q = F$, $p = T$

1. $r \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ T
 2. $\neg r$ T
 3. $\neg p$ F

Since all hypotheses are true and the conclusion is false, the argument is invalid. \square

(b)

p: I will buy a new car.
q: I will buy a new house.
r: I get a job

Therefore:

$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$
 $\neg r$
 q
 $\therefore \neg p$

Proof.

1. $\neg r$ *Hypothesis*
2. $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ *Hypothesis*
3. $\neg(p \wedge q)$ *Modus Tollens, 1, 2*
4. $\neg p \vee \neg q$ *De Morgan's Laws, 3*
5. q *Hypothesis*
6. $\neg P$ *Identity Laws*

□

b. Solve the following questions from the Discrete Math zyBook:

1. Exercise 1.13.3, section b

Show an argument with quantified statements is invalid.

Determine whether each argument is valid. If the argument is valid, give a proof using the laws of logic. If the argument is invalid, give values for the predicates P and Q over the domain a, b that demonstrate the argument is invalid.

(a)

$$\therefore \frac{\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)}{\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))}$$

Solution

(a)

If the truth table of the argument is as follows:

	P(x)	Q(x)
a	T	F
b	F	T

Then the hypothesis is true and the conclusion is false, the argument is invalid.

2. Exercise 1.13.5, sections d, e

Determine and prove whether an argument in English is valid or invalid.

Prove whether each argument is valid or invalid. First find the form of the argument by defining predicates and expressing the hypotheses and the conclusion using the predicates. If the argument is valid, then use the rules of inference to prove that the form is valid. If the argument is invalid, give values for the predicates you defined for a small domain that demonstrate the argument is invalid.

The domain for each problem is the set of students in a class.

(a)

Every student who missed class got a detention.
Penelope is a student in the class.
Penelope did not missed class.

Penelope did not get a detention.

(b)

Every student who missed class or got a detention did not get a A.
 Penelope is a student in the class.
 Penelope got an A.

 Penelope did not get a detention.

Solution

(a)

U : The set of students in the class
 $P(x)$: The student x missed class
 $Q(x)$: The student x got a detention
 $R(x)$: The student x got an A

Therefore:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \\ Penelope \in U \\ \neg P(Penelope) \\ \hline \therefore \neg Q(Penelope) \end{array}$$

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ | <i>Hypothesis</i> |
| 2. | $Penelope \in U$ | <i>Penelope is an element in U</i> |
| 3. | $P(Penelope) \rightarrow Q(Penelope)$ | <i>Universal Instantiation, 1, 2</i> |
| 4. | $\neg P(Penelope)$ | <i>Hypothesis</i> |

If $\neg P(Penelope) = T$, all the hypotheses and inferences are true, and $Q(Penelope)$ could be either T or F.

If $Q(Penelope) = T$, the conclusion $\neg Q(Penelope) = F$, the argument is invalid.

(b)

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x ((P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow \neg R(x)) \\ Penelope \in U \\ R(Penelope) \\ \hline \therefore \neg Q(Penelope) \end{array}$$

The argument is valid.

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | $\forall x ((P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow \neg R(x))$ | <i>Hypothesis</i> |
| 2. | $Penelope \in U$ | <i>Penelope is an element in U.</i> |
| 3. | $\forall x ((P(Penelope) \vee Q(Penelope)) \rightarrow \neg R(Penelope))$ | <i>Universal Instantiation, 1, 2</i> |
| 4. | $R(Penelope)$ | <i>Hypothesis</i> |
| 5. | $\neg(P(Penelope) \vee Q(Penelope))$ | <i>Modus Tollens, 3, 4</i> |
| 6. | $\neg P(Penelope) \wedge \neg Q(Penelope)$ | <i>De Morgan's Laws, 5</i> |
| 7. | $\neg Q(Penelope)$ | <i>Simplification, 6</i> |

□

Problem 6

Solve Exercise 2.4.1, section d; Exercise 2.4.3, section b, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

1. Exercise 2.4.1, section d

Proving statements about odd and even integers with direct proofs.

Each statement below involves odd and even integers. An odd integer is an integer that can be expressed as $2k + 1$, where k is an integer. An even integer is an integer that can be expressed as $2k$, where k is an integer.

Prove each of the following statements using a direct proof.

- (a) The product of two odd integers is an odd integer.

Solution

Proof. Assume: a and b are two odd integers.

Since a and b are two odd integers, $a = 2m + 1$, $b = 2n + 1$, for some integers m and n .

$$ab = (2m + 1) * (2n + 1) \tag{1}$$

$$= 2(mn + m + n) + 1 \tag{2}$$

Since m and n are integers, $mn + m + n$ is also an integer.

Since $ab = 2(mn + m + n) + 1$
where $mn + m + n$ is an integer,
 ab is odd. □

2. Exercise 2.4.3, section b

Proving algebraic statements with direct proofs.

Determine whether the statement is true or false. If the statement is true, give a proof. If the statement is false, give a counterexample.

- (a) If $x + y$ is an even integer, then x and y are both even integers.

Solution

The statement is **false**.

The simplest counterexample could be when $x = y = 1$, $x + y = 2$, 2 is an even number while 1 is odd.

Problem 7

Solve Exercise 2.5.1, section d; Exercise 2.5.4, sections a, b; Exercise 2.5.5, section c, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

1. Proof by contrapositive of statements about odd and even integers.

Prove each statement by contrapositive

- (a) For every integer n , if $n - 2n^2 + 7$ is even, then n is odd.

Solution

Proof. **Proof by contrapositive**

Assume n is even.

Since n is an even number, $n = 2k$, for some integers k .

$$n - 2n^2 + 7 = 2k - 2(2k)^2 + 7 \quad (3)$$

$$= -4k^2 + 2k + 6 + 1 \quad (4)$$

$$= 2(k + 6 - 2k^2) + 1 \quad (5)$$

Since k is an integer, $k + 6 - 2k^2$ is also an integer.

Since $n - 2n^2 + 7 = 2(k + 6 - 2k^2)$

where $k + 6 - 2k^2$ is an integer

$n - n^2 + 7$ is odd.

Therefore, $n - n^2 + 7$ is even. □

2. Proof by contrapositive of algebraic statements.

Prove each statement using a direct proof or proof by contrapositive. One method may be much easier than the other.

- (a) The product of any integer and an even integer is even.
- (b) If $p > 2$ and p is a prime number, then p is odd.

Solution

- (a) *Proof.* **Direct Proof**

Let k be an integer and a be an even integer.

Since a is even, $a = 2m$, for some integers m .

$$a * k = 2mk \quad (6)$$

Since k and m are both integers, mk is also an integer.

Since $a * k = 2mk$

where mk is an integer

$a * k$ is even. □

(b) The statement is equivalent to $((p > 2) \wedge (p \text{ is a prime number})) \rightarrow (p \text{ is odd})$

The contrapositive statement of this statement is equivalent to:

$((p \text{ is even}) \wedge p > 2) \rightarrow (p \text{ is not a prime number})$

Proof. Proof by contrapositive

Assume p is even and $p > 2$.

Since p is even, $p = 2k$, for some integers k

Since $p = 2k$ and k is an integer, p can always be divided by 2

Since $p > 2$, p itself can not be 2

Therefore, at least one multiplier except 1 and p itself exists

Therefore, p is not a prime number

Therefore, If $p > 2$ and p is a prime number, then p is odd. □

3. Proving statements using a direct proof or by contrapositive.

Prove each statement using a direct proof or proof by contrapositive. One method may be much easier than the other.

(a) For every non-zero number x , if x is irrational, then $1/x$ is also irrational.

Solution

Proof. Contrapositive

A number could either be rational or irrational, let $1/x$ be any non-zero rational number

Since $1/x$ is rational, $1/x = a/b$, for some integers a and b

Since $1/x = a/b$, $x = b/a$

Since $x = b/a$, x is rational

Therefore, For every non-zero number x , if x is irrational, then $1/x$ is also irrational. □

Problem 8

Solve Exercise 2.6.6, sections c, d, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

Proofs by contradiction.

Give a proof for each statement.

1. The average of three real numbers is greater than or equal to at least one of the numbers.
2. There is no smallest integer.

Solution

1.

Proof. Suppose there are three real numbers, a , b , and c , such that their average $p = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$

And assume that:

$$\begin{cases} p < a \\ p < b \\ p < c \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} 3p < a + b + c \\ p < \frac{a+b+c}{3} \end{cases}$$

Since $p < \frac{a+b+c}{3}$, it is inconsistent with the assumption that $p = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$

Therefore, the average of three real numbers is greater than or equal to at least one of the numbers. \square

2.

Proof. Assume that there is a smallest integer x

Since x is an integer, $x - 1$ is also an integer

However, $x - 1 < x$

It is inconsistent with the fact that x is the smallest integer

Therefore, there is no smallest integer. \square

Problem 9

Solve Exercise 2.7.2, section b, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

Proof by cases - even/odd integers and divisibility.

Prove that: If integers x and y have the same parity, then $x + y$ is even.

Solution

Proof.

Case 1: x and y are both even.

Let $x = 2m, y = 2n$, for some integers m and n

$$x + y = 2m + 2n = 2(m + n)$$

Since m and n are both integers, $m + n$ is also an integer

Therefore, $x + y$ is even.

Case 2: x and y are both odd.

Let $x = 2m + 1, y = 2n + 1$, for some integers m and n

$$x + y = 2m + 1 + 2n + 1 = 2(m + n + 2)$$

Since m and n are both integers, $m + n + 2$ is also an integer

Therefore, $x + y$ is even.

Therefore, If integers x and y have the same parity, then $x + y$ is even. □