RML Example 6: Paragraphs

RML (Report Markup Language) is ReportLab's own language for specifying the appearance of a printed page, which is converted into PDF by the utility rml2pdf.

These RML samples showcase techniques and features for generating various types of ouput and are distributed within our commercial package as test cases. Each should be self explanatory and stand alone.

Paragraph 1: About this page
This page tests out a number of attributes of the **paraStyle** tag. This paragraph is in a style we have called "style1". It should be a normal paragraph, set in Courier 12 pt. It should be a normal paragraph, set in Courier (not bold). It should be a normal paragraph, set in Courier 12 pt. This <span> should be red.

Paragraph 2: Indent Left
       This paragraph is in a style we have called "style2". It should be indented on the left. It should be indented on the left by 1 inch. It should be indented on the left. This should be ~~struck out~~.

Paragraph 3: Indent Right
This paragraph is in a style we have called "style3". It should be indented on the right. It should be indented on the right by 1 inch. It should be indented on the right.

Paragraph 4: Space Before

This paragraph is in a style we have called "style4". It should be have a space before it. It should be have a space before it of 2 centimeters. It should be have a space before it.

Paragraph 5: Space After
This paragraph is in a style we have called "style5". It should be have a space after it. It should be have a space after it of 2 centimeters. It should be have a space after it.

Paragraph 6: First Line Indent
     This paragraph is in a style we have called "style6".It should be have an indented first line. It should be have an first line indented by 2 centimeters. It should be have an indented first line.

Paragraph 7: Leading
This paragraph is in a style we have called "style7". It should be using leading. It

should have a gap of 5 points between each line. It should be using leading. It should

have a gap of 5 pt between each line. It should be using leading. The gap between lines

should be half of the height of a line. This paragraph should look like it has a line

spacing of "1.5 lines"

Paragraphs 8-12: Simple Bullet Points

● **Parastyle name="style8" parent="style1" bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "5"**

● These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style8"

• These five lines should have bullet points.

● The bullet font is ZapfDingbats.

● The bullet size is 5 points

● This is a long line to see how multi-line bullets look: These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style8". These four lines should have bullet points. The bullet font is ZapfDingbats. The bullet size is 5 points

Paragraphs 13-18: Indented Bullet Points

☛ **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "10" bulletIndent = "20"**

☛ These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style9"

☛ These five lines should have *indented* bullet points.

☛ Bullet points should look like a pointing hand.

☛ Bullet font is still ZapfDingbats, and bullet size is 10 points.

☛ The bullet indent is 20 points

☛ This is a long line to see how multi-line bullets look: These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style9". These four lines should have *indented* bullet points. Bullet points should look like a pointing hand. Bullet font is still ZapfDingbats, and bullet size is 10 points. The bullet indent is 20 points

Paragraph 19-24: Indented Bullet Points with a Left Indent for the Text

☞ **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "10" bulletIndent = "20" leftIndent = "35"**

☞ These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style10"

☞ These four lines should have *indented* bullet points, with the text indented as well.

☞ Bullet points should look like a pointing hand.

☞ Bullet font is still ZapfDingbats, and bullet size is 10 points.

☞ The bullet indent is 20 points, and the text indent is 35 points

☞ This is a long line to see how multi-line bullets look: These paragraphs are in a style we have called "style10". These four lines should have *indented* bullet points, with the text indented as well. Bullet points should look like a pointing hand. Bullet font is still ZapfDingbats, and bullet size is 10 points.

Paragraph 25: Left Justified Paragraphs

This paragraph is in a style we have called "style11". It should be left justified. It has an argument which states 'alignment = "left"'. It should be left justified. It should be aligned to the left.

Paragraph 26: Right Justified Paragraphs

This paragraph is in a style we have called "style12". It should be right justified. It has an argument which states 'alignment = "right"'. It should be right justified. It should be aligned to the right.

Paragraph 27: Centered Paragraphs

This paragraph is in a style we have called "style13".It should be center justified. It has an argument which states 'alignment = "center"'. It should be centered. It should be aligned to the center.

Paragraph 28: Justified Paragraphs

This paragraph is in a style we have called "style14". It should be justified. It has an argument which states 'alignment = "justify"'. It should be justified. This

paragraph doesn't contain any bold text though.

Paragraph 28.1: Justified Paragraphs With Bold Text
This paragraph is in a style we have called "style14". It should be **justified**. It has an argument which states **'alignment = "justify"'**. It should be justified. This paragraph doesn't contain any bold text though.

Paragraphs 29-32: Bullets using left align, right align, centered and justify.

- **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "5" bulletIndent = "20" leftIndent = "35" alignment = "left"**
- This is "style15", bullets with a left alignment. (The bullets in this style are based on "style10")
- **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "5" bulletIndent = "20" leftIndent = "35" alignment = "right"**
- This is "style16", bullets with a right alignment.(The bullets in this style are based on "style10")
- **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "5" bulletIndent = "20" leftIndent = "35" alignment = "center"**
- This is "style17", bullets with a center alignment.(The bullets in this style are based on "style10")
- **bulletFontName = "ZapfDingbats" bulletFontSize = "5" bulletIndent = "20" leftIndent = "35" alignment = "justify"**
- This is "style18", bullets with a justified paragraph.(The bullets in this style are based on "style10")

These all look wierd, but most people do not actually use these styles because they look so wrong.

Paragraph 33-35: Using Colours by Colour Name

This text should be **RED**

This text should be **GREEN**

This text should be **BLUE**

RML Example 5: Paragraphs

```
Paragraphs with anchored bullets: green line is the global indent, blue
the bullet indent
 1.1  bullet anchor absent
 1.22 bullet anchor absent
 1.3  bullet anchor absent
 1.1  bullet anchor start
 1.22 bullet anchor start
 1.3  bullet anchor start
 1.1  bullet anchor middle
1.22  bullet anchor middle
 1.3  bullet anchor middle
1.1   bullet anchor end
1.22  bullet anchor end
1.3   bullet anchor end
1.1   bullet anchor numeric
1.22  bullet anchor numeric
1.3   bullet anchor numeric
```

Here is another example, demonstrating bulletAnchor, note the alignment of the text
when we get to double figures:

Numbers not aligned	Numbers aligned
9.0 bulletAnchor absent	9.0 bulletAnchor="numeric"
10.0 bulletAnchor absent	10.0 bulletAnchor="numeric"

You SHOULD be able to specify colours by all the means available to reportlab.lib.colours. Currently, you cannot use RGB or HEX values...

Last Paragraph: Para Tags and Paragraph Content
This should *not* have any extra spaces at the start of **this** line (though there should be at the start of the heading). RML should ignore additional whitespace, and you should be able to format the actual paragraphs as you like. <u>This should be underlined</u>. There should be line break after the colon:
The text in this paragraph starts on a different line to the actual "para" tag.

Quoting and escaping
This checks for all the possible quotes: & = &, < = <, > = >, ' = ', " = ", £ = £.
If this is not italic, **and this is not bold**, even normal angle brackets are broken.

RML Example 5: Paragraphs

Test imageAndFlowables tag with paras
We should have an image on the **right** side of the paragraphs here. The imageAndFlowables tag can take an arbitrarary number of flowables and try to flow them round an image specified by attributes *imageName imageWidth imageHeight imageMask*; other attributes allow for padding and which side the image goes eg *imageTopPadding imageBottomPadding imageLeftPadding imageRightPadding imageSide*. Of course we hope that a single paragraph will flow properly round the image and that the **leading** should not appear to change during the process. On our assumptions, this selectionally introduced contextual feature is unspecified with respect to irrelevant intervening contexts in selectional rules. To characterize a linguistic level L, a descriptively adequate grammar appears to correlate rather closely with a descriptive fact. A consequence of the approach just outlined is that a case of semigrammaticalness of a different sort suffices to account for the system of base rules exclusive of the lexicon. A majority of informed linguistic specialists agree that the speaker-hearer's linguistic intuition raises serious doubts about the traditional practice of grammarians. For one thing, the descriptive power of the base component does not readily tolerate problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Test imageAndFlowables tag with paras
We should have an image on the **left** side of the paragraphs here.

Test imageAndFlowables tag on right
We should have an image on the **right** side of the paragraphs here.

Test imageAndFlowables tag with default image
You can supply a default image to be used if the intended one is not found. You should still see our grey logo here despite the requested 'missing.gif' not being present.
Summarizing, then, we assume that the fundamental error of regarding functional notions as categorial may remedy and, at the same time, eliminate the levels of acceptability from fairly high (e.g. (99a)) to virtual gibberish (e.g. (98d)). This suggests that the theory of syntactic features developed earlier delimits a descriptive fact. We have already seen that any associated supporting element is not quite equivalent to the traditional practice of grammarians. From C1, it follows that the theory of syntactic features developed earlier can be defined in such a way as to impose irrelevant intervening contexts in selectional rules. So far, a descriptively adequate grammar is rather different from a general convention regarding the forms of the grammar.

Test imageAndFlowables tag with PDF image
The image on the left is a PDF file, not a bitmap.
Summarizing, then, we assume that the fundamental error of regarding functional notions as categorial may remedy and, at the same time, eliminate the levels of acceptability from fairly high (e.g. (99a)) to virtual gibberish (e.g. (98d)). This suggests that the theory of syntactic features developed earlier delimits a descriptive fact.

**Intra-paragraph
**
And now for a break...
here we should be one line two.

```
Summarizing, then, we assume that the fundamental error of regarding functional notions
as categorial may remedy and, at the same time, eliminate the levels of acceptability
from fairly high (e.g. (99a)) to virtual gibberish (e.g. (98d)). Now let's fake a
bullet list:
- bullet 1
- bullet 2
- bullet 3
- bullet 4
- bullet 15
```

Para autoLeading test 0: No autoLeading

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

Para autoLeading test 1: autoLeading="off"

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Para autoLeading test 2: autoLeading="min"

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Para autoLeading test 3: autoLeading="max"

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Para autoLeading test 4: <para autoLeading="off">

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Para autoLeading test 5: <para autoLeading="min">

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

Para autoLeading test 6: <para autoLeading="max">

AA. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent Why stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. AA. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern linguistics

can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and <u>morphological</u> analysis.

Simple style textTransform tests
This should be ORDINARY text.
This should be ORDINARY text.
this should be lower text.
THIS SHOULD BE UPPER TEXT.
This Should Be Capitalized Text.

Complex style textTransform tests
T*his* should be **ORDINARY** text.
T*his* should be **ORDINARY** text.
t*his* should be **lower** text.
T*HIS* SHOULD BE **UPPER** TEXT.
T*his* Should Be **Capitalized** Text.

endDots

This paragraph should end in dots ....

This paragraph should end in dots. Analogously, a case of semigrammaticalness of a different sort is not subject to an abstract underlying order. There is also a different approach to the [unification] problem, this selectionally introduced contextual feature delimits the levels of acceptability from fairly high forms of the grammar. Dots ....

This indented paragraph should end in dots ....

This indented paragraph should end in dots. Analogously, a case of semigrammaticalness of a different sort is not subject to an abstract underlying order. There is also a different approach to the [unification] problem, this selectionally introduced contextual feature delimits the levels of acceptability from fairly high forms of the grammar. Dots ....

AAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAACCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAA
ABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDD
AAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDD
DDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCC
CCCCDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDAAAAAAABBBBBBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDD
DDD ....

RML Example 5: Paragraphs

ABCDEFGHI
ABCDEFGHI