1. Consider a relation V with attributes LMNOPQRST and functional dependencies W

a. LPR<sup>+</sup> = LPRQST, not a superkey, violates BCNF

LR+ = LRST, not a superkey, violates BCNF

M<sup>+</sup> = MLO, not a superkey, violates BCNF

MR+ = MRN, not a superkey, violates BCNF

b. For the sake of conciseness, subsets that produce 'obvious' results that do not contribute to the answer have been omitted (i.e. subsets such as {L} have been omitted since it's closure is itself and does not give any information about the FDs).

LPR $^+$  = LPRQST violates BCNF of V; chosen for decomposition Decomposing with LPR produces relations R<sub>1</sub> = LPRQST, R<sub>2</sub> = LMNOPR

 $R_1 = LPRQST$ 

L	Р	Q	R	S	Т	Closure	FDs
			./			LPR <sup>+</sup> =	Superkey
•	•		*			LPRQST	
./			./			LR <sup>+</sup> =	Violates
•			V			LRST	BCNF

 $R_1 = LPRQST$ 

LR+ = LRST violates BCNF of LPQRST

Produced relations:  $R_3$  = LRST,  $R_4$  = LPRQ

 $R_3 = LRST$ 

L	R	S	T	Closure	FDs
✓	✓			LR <sup>+</sup> =	Superkey
				LRST	$LR^+ = ST$

### $R_4 = LPRQ$

L	Р	R	Q	Closure	FDs
<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		LPR <sup>+</sup> =	Superkey
				LPRQST	$LPR^+ = Q$

## $R_2 = LMNOPR$

L	M	N	0	P	R	Closure	FDs
	✓					M <sup>+</sup> =	Violates
						MLO	BCNF

M<sup>+</sup> = MLO violates BCNF of LMNOPR

Produced relations:  $R_5 = MLO$ ,  $R_6 = MNPR$ 

 $R_5 = MLO$ 

М	L	0	Closure	FDs
✓			M <sup>+</sup> = MLO	Superkey
				$M^+ = LO$

# $R_6 = MNPR$

M	N	Р	R	Closure	FDs
✓			✓	MR <sup>+</sup> = MRN	Violates
					BCNF

 $R_6 = MNPR$ ,  $MR^+ = MRN$ ,  $R_7 = MRN$ ,  $R_8 = MPR$ 

 $R_7 = MRN$ 

M	R	N	Closure	FDs
✓	✓		MR <sup>+</sup> = MRN	Superkey
				$MR^+ = N$

# $R_8 = MPR$

M	D	D	Closuro	EDs
IVI	P	I.	Closure	LD2

Final decomposition and projection of FDs:

 $R_3 = LRST$ ,

 $R_4 = LPQR$ 

 $R_5 = LMO$ 

 $R_7 = MNR$ 

 $R_8 = MPR$ 

Projecting FDs onto relations:

 $R_3$ : LR  $\rightarrow$  ST

 $R_4$ : LPR  $\rightarrow Q$ 

 $R_5: M \rightarrow LO$ 

 $R_7: MR \rightarrow N$ 

R<sub>8</sub>: No FDs

2. Consider a relation P with attributes ABCDEFGH and functional dependencies T.

 $T = \{AB \rightarrow CD, ACDE \rightarrow BF, B \rightarrow ACD, CD \rightarrow AF, CDE \rightarrow FG, EB \rightarrow D\}$ 

- a. S1:
  - $AB \rightarrow C$
  - $AB \rightarrow D$
  - $ACDE \rightarrow B$
  - $ACDE \rightarrow F$
  - $B \rightarrow A$
  - $B \rightarrow C$
  - $B \rightarrow D$
  - $CD \rightarrow A$
  - $CD \rightarrow F$
  - $CDE \rightarrow F$
  - $CDE \rightarrow G$
  - $EB \rightarrow D$
  - $AB \rightarrow C: A^+ = A, B^+ = BACDF$ , reduced to  $B \rightarrow C$
  - $AB \rightarrow D$ :  $B^+ = BACDF$ , reduced to  $B \rightarrow D$
  - ACDE → B: Nothing yields B, no reduction
  - ACDE  $\rightarrow$  F: CD<sup>+</sup> = CDAF, reduced to CD  $\rightarrow$  F
  - B → A: singleton no reduction
  - B → C: singleton no reduction
  - B → D: singleton no reduction
  - CD → A: Nothing yields A, no reduction
  - $CD \rightarrow F$ : Nothing yields F, no reduction
  - $CDE \rightarrow F: CD^+ = CDAF$ , reduced to  $CD \rightarrow F$
  - CDE → G: Nothing yields G, no reduction
  - $EB \rightarrow D$ :  $B^+ = BACDF$ , reduced to  $B \rightarrow D$

#### New set S2:

- a.  $ACDE \rightarrow B$
- b.  $B \rightarrow A$
- c.  $B \rightarrow C$
- d.  $B \rightarrow D$
- e.  $CD \rightarrow F$
- f.  $CD \rightarrow A$
- g.  $CDE \rightarrow G$

## Try to eliminate FDs:

ACDE 
$$\rightarrow$$
 B ACDE<sup>+</sup><sub>S2-{a}</sub> = ABCDEFG, therefore this FD is needed B  $\rightarrow$  A, B<sup>+</sup><sub>S2-{(b)}</sub> = BCDAF, removed since B  $\rightarrow$  CD, CD  $\rightarrow$  A

$$B \rightarrow A, B^{+}_{S2-\{(b)\}} = BCDAF,$$
 removed since B

$$B \to C$$
,  $B^+_{S2 - \{(b), (c)\}} = BD$ , needed

$$B \to D$$
,  $B^+_{S2-\{(b), (d)\}} = BC$ , needed

$$CD \rightarrow F$$
,  $CD^+_{S2-\{(b), (e)\}} = CDA$ , needed

$$CD \rightarrow A$$
,  $CD^+_{S2-\{(b), (f)\}} = CDF$ , needed

CDE 
$$\rightarrow$$
 G, CDE<sup>+</sup><sub>S2 - {(b), (g)}</sub> = CDEAFB, needed

### Final set:

$$ACDE \rightarrow B$$

$$B \rightarrow C$$

$$B \rightarrow D$$

$$CD \rightarrow A$$

$$CDE \rightarrow G$$

b.

Attribute	LHS	RHS	Conclusion
Α	✓	✓	Check
В	✓	✓	Check
С	✓	✓	Check
D	✓	✓	Check
E	✓	×	In all keys
F	×	✓	Not in any key
G	×	✓	Not in any key
Н	×	×	In all keys

- Any attribute that does not appear in the RHS implies that it cannot be obtained by the FDs and therefore must be in the key
- Any attribute that only appears in the RHS must be inferred from some FD in the set and therefore cannot

CDEH<sup>+</sup> = ABCDEFGH, a superkey

BEH<sup>+</sup> = ABCDEFGH, a superkey

AEH<sup>+</sup> = AEH, not a superkey

CEH<sup>+</sup> = CEH, not a superkey

DEH<sup>+</sup> = DEH, not a superkey

ACEH<sup>+</sup> = ACEH, not a superkey

ADEH<sup>+</sup> = ADEH, not a superkey

All other possibilities must include CDEH or BEH and therefore are not minimal.

Keys: CDEH, BEH

c. Minimal basis:

$$ACDE \rightarrow B$$

$$B \rightarrow C$$

$$B \rightarrow D$$

$$CD \rightarrow A$$

$$CD \rightarrow F$$

$$CDE \rightarrow G$$

Revised FDs after joining RHSs:

$$ACDE \rightarrow B$$

$$B \rightarrow CD$$

$$CD \rightarrow AF$$

$$CDE \rightarrow G$$

Result set relations:

R<sub>1</sub> {ACDEB}, R<sub>2</sub>{BCD}, R<sub>3</sub>{CDAF}, R<sub>4</sub>{CDEG}, discard R<sub>2</sub> because it is in R<sub>1</sub>

Final set relations:

 $R_1$  {ACDEB},  $R_3$ {CDAF},  $R_4$ {CDEG}

d. Relation that violates BCNF: CD projects onto R<sub>1</sub> and produces ACD and it is not a superkey.

Since there exists a relation that violates BCNF, this schema allows redundancy.