Making an Arduino Memory Game

A Really Simple Cyber-Physical System

Zhiyang Ong

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Dwight Look College of Engineering,
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX

February 18, 2018



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- **6** Design Decisions
- 6 Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- 5 Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Acknowledgments

Dott. Francesco Stefanni, University of Verona

IEEE TAMU Officers

- Maria Theresia Tyas
- Millie Kriel
- Polina Golikova

Reference: Jerepondumie, "Make an Arduino Memory Game," in Hackster.io, Hackster, Inc., San Francisco, CA, September 15, 2017. Available online from Hackster.io at: https://www.hackster.io/Jerepondumie/make-an-arduino-memory-game-73f55e; February 18, 2018 was the last accessed date.

Warnings!!!

- "The code is rather buggy; use is at own risk."
- Donald Chai
- "Beware of bugs in the above code.
- I have only proved it correct, not tried it."
- Donald E. Knuth





Ice Breaker

Name

What are some of your favorite study techniques?



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- 5 Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Classical Reachability Analysis

If there exists a path from vertex s in a graph G to vertex t in G, t is reachable from s. All vertices in G are "reachable from themselves" (Yuan, 2006, $\S 5.2$, pp. 85).

- Reachability Analysis is :
 - Definition of reachable state in a Petri Net or FSM.
 - Define reachability analysis, based on the definition of the reachability of a state in a Petri Net or FSM.
 - E.g., A small bounded Petri Net can have many states, which are represented in the state/reachability graph. Use symmetry or stubborn set reductions, followed by creating and checking CTL formulae and predicates. Determine if a target state/marking is reachable from the initial state/marking, not necessarily via the minimal path. Check CTL properties/predicates for testing liveness properties.
 - Give more examples of reachability analysis
 - Explain the importance of reachability analysis



Classical Reachability Analysis

Reachability analysis is defined as follows:

"A fixed-point analysis" technique to determine if a "set of states [is] reachable from a designated set of initial states" (Yuan, 2006, §1.8.2., pp. 16).

2

- :
- Definition of reachable state in a Petri Net or FSM.
- Define reachability analysis, based on the definition of the reachability of a state in a Petri Net or FSM.
- E.g., A small bounded Petri Net can have many states, which
 are represented in the state/reachability graph. Use symmetry
 or stubborn set reductions, followed by creating and checking
 CTL formulae and predicates. Determine if a target
 state/marking is reachable from the initial state/marking, not
 necessarily via the minimal path. Check CTL
 properties/predicates for testing liveness properties.

References for Classical Reachability Analysis

(Yuan, 2006) Yuan, J., Pixley, C., and Aziz, A. Constrained-Based Verification. Springer Science+Business Media, Inc., New York, NY, 2006.



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- 5 Design Decisions
- 6 Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Problem Statement

- Models of concurrent and nondeterministic quantum systems need to be verified.
- Quantum Markov decision processes (qMDPs) can model such quantum systems.
- Question: How can we carry out reachability analysis on concurrent and nondeterministic quantum systems, modeled as qMDPs?
- Input: qMDP M
- Input: state space \mathcal{H} , which is a Hilbert space
- Input: state space $B \in \mathcal{H}$
- Output: Scheduler &
- Output: Non-negative integer, n.



Shortcomings of Classical Reachability Analysis

- Classic Markov chains cannot capture concurrency.
- A Markov chain only allows one "choice" of action per state, which implies that all "rewards" of the Markov chain are the same.
- Cannot formalize behavior/functionality of quantum systems
 - Discrete state spaces of classical systems are finite or countably finite
 - Continuous state spaces of quantum systems cannot be addressed by discrete state spaces
 - State spaces of quantum systems are continuous, even for finite-dimensional quantum systems
 - Need to examine a finite number of representative elements (in an orthonormal basis) of the state space of a quantum system
 - Or, at most, examine countably infinitely many representative elements of this state space
 - Always preserve the linear algebraic structure of the representative elements [& linear-time properties]



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- **5** Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Prior and Related Work

- Almost all previous work use model checking to verify quantum communication protocols
- Use quantum process algebra to verify quantum communication systems, including quantum error correction codes
- Use simulation tools for quantum systems to verify their behavior/functionality, especially their correctness and safety properties
- Quantum partially observable Markov decision processes (QOMDPs), which are introduced by (Barry, Barry, and Aaronson, 2014), only care about the reachability of a single state (i.e., goal state).:
 - The paper does not specifically address the reachability of invariant subspaces.
 - Goal-state reachability is undecidable for QOMDPs.



Invariant subspace: sounds like T: V-iV something that



transforms you into the same space

- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- **6** Design Decisions
- 6 Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- **1** Future Work



Design Decisions

- Quantum model checking framework for the formal verification of generic quantum engineering systems
 - Not just quantum communication systems
- Use a formal method based on modeling quantum systems with quantum automaton
 - Exploit similar work in quantum Markov chains, quantum dot automata, & quantum cellular automata
- Only consider linear-time properties of generic quantum systems
 - Describe these linear-time properties as infinite sequences of sets of atomic propositions, just like LTL model checking
- Extend this to verify safety properties for reversible automata
- ullet Extend this to verify $\omega ext{-properties}$ for reversible Büchi automata
- Meet requirements for correctness, safety, & reliability



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- Problem Statement
- Prior and Related Works
- Design Decisions
- 6 Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis In the Finite-Horizon



Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis In the Infinite-Horizon



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- 🕜 Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis In the Finite-Horizon



Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis In the Infinite-Horizon



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- Problem Statement
- Prior and Related Works
- Design Decisions
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Questions That I Have

- I assume that uncomputable problems are the same as undecidable problems (Barry, Barry, and Aaronson, 2014).
- From (Barry, Barry, and Aaronson, 2014), can QOMDPs be reduced to qMDPs?
- The authors mentioned that quantum systems can be modeled as qMDPs? Do such quantum systems include robots, which can be modeled as quantum dynamical systems? Or, is it restricted to quantum computer programs?
- What is the ortho-complement of a subspace?
- What does it mean for a measurement to be projective? What does it mean mathematically?
- Is "W" on page 2, right column, in the last paragraph $(w \in W)$, a set of words "w"?
- \otimes , right column, in paragraph 1/2 on page 2



do Markov chains inherently model nondeterminism? Are there extensions of Markov chains to capture/model



concurrency?

- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- **6** Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- **1** Future Work





Discussions

- (What do I think about this work?)
- •



- Preamble
- 2 Classical Reachability Analysis
- 3 Problem Statement
- 4 Prior and Related Works
- Design Decisions
- 6 Key Contributions of (Ying 2014)
- Analysis on Decidability of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- 8 Analysis on Complexity of Quantum Reachability Analysis
- Questions That I Have
- Discussions
- Future Work



Future Work

Extensions of

