# LLVM and JitBuilder: Measuring and Comparing the Overhead of two API-based JIT Frameworks

Eric Coffin
Faculty of Computer Science
University of New Brunswick
Fredericton, NB, Canada
eric.coffin@unb.ca

## **ABSTRACT**

Just-in-Time compilation has allowed for significant performance gains during the run-time of applications. Two popular open-source JIT frameworks are LLVM MCJIT and OMR JitBuilder, both of which can be embedded within applications, offering interaces to define and generate native code at run-time. LLVM is a collection of modular compiler and toolchain components, while MCJIT is a framework based on these components to provide JIT compilation. Similarly, JitBuilder is a JIT framework based on the OMR compiler and runtime components. In this report we discuss the different approaches these two frameworks employ. In addition, we measure the overhead of each framework while compiling and then executing a small handful of functions. We found that while LLVM required a larger memory footprint, in certain cases it was able to generate code more quickly. Furthermore, the code LLVM generated typically offered high throughput. JitBuilder, a relatively young project compared to LLVM, does not currently expose the underlying configuration of TRJIT. Instead, it locks the compilation level to the warm setting, thus limiting the oppourtunities for optimizations.

## **CCS CONCEPTS**

Software and its engineering → Compilers.

#### **KEYWORDS**

compilers, just-in-time, optimization

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 BACKGROUND
- 2.1 LLVM

LLVM.

# 2.2 JITBuilder

JITBuilder.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

 $Conference '17, \ July\ 2017,\ Washington,\ DC,\ USA$ 

© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery

## 3 METHODOLOGY

Methodology

- 3.1 Results
- 4 RELATED WORK

Related work.

# 5 FUTURE WORK

Future Work

#### 6 SUMMARY

Summary

#### 7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted within the Centre for Advanced Studies—Atlantic, Faculty of Computer Science, University of New Brunswick. The authors are grateful for the colleagues and facilities of CAS Atlantic in supporting our research. The authors would like to acknowledge the funding support provided by the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency (ACOA) through the Atlantic Innovation Fund (AIF) program. Furthermore, we would also like to thank the New Brunswick Innovation Foundation for contributing to this project.

### **REFERENCES**