

FIGURE 2.11. Test and training error as a function of model complexity.

be close to $f(x_0)$. As k grows, the neighbors are further away, and then anything can happen.

The variance term is simply the variance of an average here, and decreases as the inverse of k. So as k varies, there is a bias-variance tradeoff.

More generally, as the *model complexity* of our procedure is increased, the variance tends to increase and the squared bias tends to decrease. The opposite behavior occurs as the model complexity is decreased. For k-nearest neighbors, the model complexity is controlled by k.

Typically we would like to choose our model complexity to trade bias off with variance in such a way as to minimize the test error. An obvious estimate of test error is the training error $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(y_i-\hat{y}_i)^2$. Unfortunately training error is not a good estimate of test error, as it does not properly account for model complexity.

Figure 2.11 shows the typical behavior of the test and training error, as model complexity is varied. The training error tends to decrease whenever we increase the model complexity, that is, whenever we fit the data harder. However with too much fitting, the model adapts itself too closely to the training data, and will not generalize well (i.e., have large test error). In that case the predictions $\hat{f}(x_0)$ will have large variance, as reflected in the last term of expression (2.46). In contrast, if the model is not complex enough, it will underfit and may have large bias, again resulting in poor generalization. In Chapter 7 we discuss methods for estimating the test error of a prediction method, and hence estimating the optimal amount of model complexity for a given prediction method and training set.