

Re-implementing a Ruby on Rails Site in Yesod

CS 557: Functional Languages @ Portland State University

Dylan Laufenberg, winter 2019

1 Introduction

For the last seven years or so, I've been developing and expanding a Ruby on Rails-powered website for a Sacramento, CA soup kitchen named Sharing God's Bounty. I've had mixed feelings about my choice of Ruby on Rails over the last half-dozen years, so this final project provides an excellent opportunity to experiment with a significantly different Web technology stack than any other that I've used. I implement a narrow, vertical slice of functionality to experience and experiment with Haskell across many aspects of server-side code for the Web. I use the Yesod Web framework for my project, and I provide comparisons to my Ruby on Rails experience as appropriate throughout this report. I follow the quick start instructions [here](#), which have provided me with Yesod 1.6.0.3.

Yesod's documentation comes primarily from the Yesod book [1] (available online [here](#)), so a large portion of my work for this project has simply been reading through the book to understand how to utilize this highly sophisticated, mature framework. I don't attempt to introduce or define every concept in Yesod here. Instead, I highlight particularly noteworthy applications of Haskell to the domain of Web application development and discuss how I use Yesod to build out the features I develop for this final project. In particular, I assume the reader knows about Haskell features such as Template Haskell, QuasiQuotes, and language pragmas either through prior knowledge or by reading the Haskell section of the Yesod book [2].

New section: Cover “The Basics”? Regardless, need some kind of transition.

Part I

Learning the Basics

2 Resources and type-safe URIs

One of the first concepts we need to discuss in Yesod, and one of the clearest examples of applying Haskell type safety to an unexpected domain, is the problem of constructing a routing system to bind certain URIs to associated server-side actions.

The most fundamental term here, one which Yesod shares with many other Web frameworks, is the **route**, which is a mapping from a URI to server-side code. To represent routes, Yesod uses what it calls resources. A **resource** is a **data** constructor that acts as the canonical name of the route in views [1]. For instance, the default site scaffold includes a static route of the form:

```
/static StaticR Static appStatic
```

This line specifies a `/static` route, a resource constructor named `StaticR` as the name of the route, and a subsite named `Static` whose function `appStatic` will handle requests on this route [3]. In order to create a link to a static file, e.g. an image served as part of a template, we invoke the `StaticR` constructor with an appropriate argument. The `Static` subsite generates “static file identifiers” at compile time [4]. When we insert a link using a resource constructor:

```

```

Yesod generates a correct link to the static resource at compile-time. In this case, the user's browser receives:

```

```

2.1 Benefits of Resources

There are two primary benefits to this approach, both of which leverage Haskell features from class (albeit at a very advanced level).

Check links at compile time Yesod uses resources to generate correct links at compile-time, which allows it to check whether said links are valid and raise compile-time errors if any problems are detected. In this case, the Static subsite checks the identifier `img_logo_png` against all generated static file identifiers. If it finds a match, it inserts the correct link. If not, then GHC raises an error. For instance, if we mistakenly specify a JPG logo:

```

```

GHC detects the issue for us and (rather obtusely) notifies us of the issue with a compile-time error:

```
• Variable not in scope: img_logo_jpg :: Route Static
• Perhaps you meant 'img_logo_png' (imported from Import.NoFoundation)
|
163 |             $(widgetFile "default-layout")
|             ~~~~~
```

In effect, Yesod automatically tests every internal link at compile time, without the need to manually write tests against the generated HTML. We, the developers, need to test our functions for generating links, then Yesod takes care of the rest for us. In this case, I feel comfortable as a developer taking it on faith that the static file subsite is well-tested.

Refactor routes with confidence Generating links at compile time also eliminates an entire class of common and nefarious errors: broken links. Updating or moving routes is a common occurrence in Web application development, even with careful planning. Yesod makes this safe and automatic by generating all such links from resource constructors at compile time. To change the route across the entire application, all we need to do is change the route definition:

```
/dynamic StaticR Static appStatic
```

And all of our generated links to that route automatically update when we recompile:

```

```

3 Shakespearean Templates

Yesod provides domain-specific languages (DSLs) over HTML, CSS, and Javascript, all named after Shakespearean characters. In converting my site, I migrate the HTML, CSS, and Javascript, in that order. (For the full, reference versions of all information in this section that's not otherwise cited, see [5].)

All of Yesod's DSLs provide a common set of interpolation features:

- **Variable interpolation** All values in scope when a template is rendered are available through variable interpolation. For instance, in my main site template, I write

```
<title>#{pageTitle pc} - Sharing God's Bounty
```

to insert the value of `pageTitle pc` as part of the HTML title.
- **Resource interpolation** We can insert type-safe URIs via `@{routeR}` resource interpolation, as discussed in [Resources and type-safe URIs](#).
- **Template interpolation** We can embed templates in other templates by writing `^{templateName}`. This is safe in that it only allows embedding of templates of the same type and, of course, provides all the usual compile-time guarantees for both templates. (See the [Mixins](#) section for more.)

3.1 Hamlet

Yesod uses Hamlet as its HTML DSL. Hamlet is similar to HTML, with a few distinguishing features.

Significant whitespace Hamlet templates infer closing tags from indentation levels. When you write, for instance, `<div>`, everything that should appear inside this tag must be nested below it. A matching closing tag is automatically inserted at the correct place in the generated HTML.

Class and ID shorthand Hamlet templates provide shorthand for specifying classes and IDs by prefacing a class or ID name with a `.` or a `#`, respectively. This shorthand even works with multiple classes, by writing either `<div .foo .bar>` or `<div ."foo bar">`. Either form generates the final HTML `<div class="foo bar"></div>`. To be honest, I tried these forms with the intention of exposing a shortcoming of Hamlet, and I was shocked to see that it handled both cases beautifully!

Example To see these features in action, let's take a look at my mobile navigation menu. The Hamlet representation is as follows: **UPDATE THIS EXAMPLE with the “final” version! It'll be WAY more impressive!**

```
<nav .mobile>
  <ul>
    <li>Menu items go here.
  <h2>
    Menu
```

Which compiles to:

```
<nav class="mobile"><ul><li>Menu items go here. </li>
</ul>
<h2>Menu</h2>
</nav>
```

Shortcomings There are, unfortunately, a number of shortcomings to Hamlet. First and perhaps most importantly, none of the four templating DSLs provide the strong, compile-time guarantees of correctness that we expect in Haskell, even though Hamlet in particular feels like it could. As a new user, I was genuinely surprised to learn that Hamlet doesn't raise compile-time errors for invalid tags! For instance, I once mistakenly wrote `<image ...>`, and Hamlet happily generated both opening and closing `image` tags, which, understandably, confused both Firefox and me.

Second, although Hamlet knows that some tags like `img` are self-closing, it doesn't insert the closing `/` at the ends of such tags.

Third, Hamlet *does not* check whether classes and IDs written in Hamlet notation exist in linked Cassius or Lucius files!

Fourth, the HTML produced seems to be both arbitrary and virtually unreadable in its structure. The navigation example above is Hamlet's output, verbatim, and this is with minimization disabled! What particularly irks me about this oversight is that Hamlet *outright requires* proper indentation and spacing! Why it doesn't simply carry this indentation through to the final HTML is beyond my comprehension.

3.2 Lucius

To represent CSS, Yesod uses two, equivalent DSLs: Lucius, which is “a superset of CSS” [5], and Cassius, which uses significant whitespace instead of curly braces. I chose to use Lucius to ease the process the migration from Ruby on Rails.

Lucius and Cassius both present broadly similar feature sets to Sass, which my Ruby on Rails app uses for its CSS. In fact, in searching for help on Lucius mixins, I found a question by a Sass user who was similarly struggling with

the Lucius equivalent [11]. (More on that in the [Mixins](#) section.) Put simply, Lucius and Cassius aim to ease the process of writing CSS but *do not* provide strong compile-time guarantees of the correctness of CSS.

3.2.1 CSS variables

Lucius supports fairly standard features to simplify generating CSS. In addition to interpolation, it supports another, immensely useful feature: CSS variables. Other than the surprising (and unfriendly) syntactic detail that we write `@foo` to define a variable and `#{foo}` to use it, variables in Lucius feel familiar after working with Hamlet. With this understanding, I begin migrating my Sass by writing some Lucius variables at the top of my `.lucius` file:

```
@textcolor: #333;
@pageBGDark: #382916; /* Brown */
@pageTextLight: white;
@spacing: 20px;
@halfSpacing: 10px;
```

Here, `@spacing` and `@halfspacing` support the grid on which the front-end design is based and open up a new possibility that, should I ever wish to adjust this grid, I might well be able to do so simply by changing these variables. However, this potential is limited somewhat by an unfortunate limitation of Lucius: variables are treated as literal text and thus cannot invoke other variables! When we write, for instance:

```
@spacing: 20px;
@halfSpacing: calc("#{spacing}/2);
```

```
body {
  margin: 0 #{halfSpacing};
}
```

Lucius generates the CSS:

```
body {
  margin: 0 calc("#{spacing}/2);
}
```

If Lucius supported either nested variables or some notion of compile-time calculation with variables, I might be able to scale nearly every element on the page according to the `@spacing`. It's disappointing that Yesod ships with this limitation, but it downright disheartens me that Lucius does not even notice that it's generating obviously invalid CSS!

3.2.2 Nested scopes

Lucius also allows us to nest selectors, and it will unpack these selectors when it generates final CSS. For instance, my masthead CSS takes full advantage of this feature:

```
.masthead {
  background-color: #{pageBGDark};
  padding: #{spacing};
  text-align: center;

  box-shadow: 0 0 20px black;

  a img {
    display: block;
    ^{centering "200px"}
  }

  .logo {
    margin-bottom: #{spacing};
  }
}
```

```

h2 {
  margin-top: 30px;
  margin-bottom: 0;
}

```

Let's consider this example in detail. First, we see three variables being interpolated: `pageBGDark`, followed by `spacing` twice. (The shadow does not use `#{spacing}` variable because I choose to keep the shadows separate from the rest of the grid.) We also see template interpolation with `~{centering "200px"}`; we'll discuss this in the [Mixins](#) section. Of particular note, though, is that within the `.masthead` selector, we see both CSS properties *and additional selectors*. At compile time, Lucius unpacks these nested selectors, prepending `.masthead` to each one. This particular section compiles to the final CSS:

```

.masthead {
  background-color: #382916;
  padding: 20px;
  text-align: center;
  box-shadow: 0 0 20px black;
}
.masthead a img {
  display: block;
  width: 200px;
  margin-left: auto;
  margin-right: auto;
}
.masthead .logo {
  margin-bottom: 20px;
}
.masthead h2 {
  margin-top: 30px;
  margin-bottom: 0;
}
.masthead p {
  color: white;
  margin: 0;
}

```

3.2.3 Mixins

Lucius mixins — CSS-specific templates written using QuasiQuoters and included using template interpolation — are where I first experience the growing pains of learning Yesod. In my experience, when learning a new framework, there's almost always some, unforeseen hurdle that at least temporarily destroys my enthusiasm and for the first time makes me question my choice of framework. In Yesod, my first significant hurdle is the Lucius mixin system.

The Yesod book's example [\[5\]](#) appears simple enough:

```

-- Our mixin, which provides a number of vendor prefixes for transitions.
transition val =
  [luciusMixin|
    -webkit-transition: #{val};
    -moz-transition: #{val};
    -ms-transition: #{val};
    -o-transition: #{val};
    transition: #{val};
  ]

```

Essentially, this is simply a Lucius-specific QuasiQuoter that takes arbitrary text and uses it in a CSS block.

In the example in the [Nested scopes](#) section, the template interpolation `~{centering "200px"}` is defined as a mixin. It's very simple: it sets width according to its `width` parameter and sets left and right margins to `auto`, which is a standard way to center block-level HTML elements. Given that this is a common pattern in CSS, it's a good candidate for a Lucius mixin.

Following the Yesod book's example, I first try simply writing my QuasiQuoter in my Lucius file, right below my variable definitions. (After all, where else would my CSS go?) I write:

```
centering width =
  [luciusMixin|
    width: #{width};
    margin-left: auto;
    margin-right: auto;
  ]
```

Unfortunately, Yesod seems to be unaware of the QuasiQuoter. Since the mixin QuasiQuoter shares syntax with Lucius variable interpolation, and since I don't have a variable named `width` defined in my Lucius file, GHC produces a compilation error:

```
error: Variable not in scope: width
|
163 |           $(widgetFile "default-layout")
|           ~~~~~
```

To confirm that this misunderstanding of variable interpolation is the cause of the compilation error and to confirm that Lucius mixins can't appear in Lucius files, we can simply remove the variable interpolation:

```
centering width =
  [luciusMixin|
    width: width;
    margin-left: auto;
    margin-right: auto;
  ]
```

With this modification, the Yesod application compiles, and it produces this same text, verbatim, in the generated CSS file. Clearly, something is amiss with our attempted use of mixins.

3.2.4 Troubleshooting mixins

Close inspection of the Yesod book's mixin example [\[5\]](#) reveals that it actually declares its mixin as a Haskell function that's in scope when the layout is rendered. With great hesitation, and in the name of learning Yesod, I consent to moving my mixin into `Foundations.hs`, from where the renderer is invoked.

In order to limit the function's scope appropriately, we follow the convention in the scaffolded file and use a `let` clause to declare the function locally within the `defaultLayout` widget **See widgets section for more details:**

```
defaultLayout :: Widget -> Handler Html
defaultLayout widget = do
  ...
  let centering width =
    [luciusMixin|
      width: #{width};
      margin-left: auto;
      margin-right: auto;
    ]
  ...
```

Unfortunately, just as the Yesod book warns [\[2\]](#), GHC complains of an ambiguous type for `width`. Specifically, Yesod uses the `OverloadedStrings` pragma to overload the `String` type such that either `String` or `Text` may represent a string, and in this case, GHC doesn't know which to use. Both class and the Yesod book mention the

`ExtendedDefaultRules` pragma as a potential way to resolve this ambiguity. However, since both class and the Yesod book independently chasten us against relying on `ExtendedDefaultRules`, we instead search for an alternate, Dr. Jones-approved solution such as a type annotation.

The first challenge in creating a type annotation is determining what type the `QuasiQuoter` returns! Since `QuasiQuoters` are embedded Template Haskell, we brace ourselves for a complex, difficult-to-parse answer. We search Google and find no useful results. (This is left as an exercise for the reader.) We search Hoogle for “`luciusMixin`” and find no results. We check the Yesod wiki [8] and find that (a) it simply links to a Markdown file within a Yesod cookbook GitHub repository and (b) all its links appear to be broken! We pause briefly to catch our breath and let a wave of frustration pass.

Eventually, we find a Yesod blog post [9] that explains that, unfortunately, Yesod introduced Lucius mixins but did not support reloading mixins automatically when they changed during development until version 1.0.6.1. Noting that version number looks suspiciously like the Yesod 1.0.6.5 we are using through Stack, and that the blog post is dated July 1, 2013, we begin to question whether our version of Yesod even supports the syntax specified in the Yesod book! (Answer: it does; Shakespeare’s version numbers don’t appear to have any correlation to Yesod’s version numbers.)

Eventually, we locate the `Text.Lucius` module [10] in the Shakespeare package with help from Hackage. We observe that `luciusMixin :: QuasiQuoter`, which seems unhelpful and unlikely to be correct (and is, indeed, wrong). We fire up GHCi and attempt to `import Text.Lucius`, but to no avail: either GHCi doesn’t know about Stack, or Yesod’s custom import system has foiled us.

A technique long honed by savvy Ruby on Rails developers (such as I) saves the day: if we simply provide an incorrect type annotation, perhaps GHC will tell us what it was expecting to see! A helpful StackOverflow answer [7] explains that by adding the `ScopedTypeVariables` language pragma, we can provide type annotations inside `let` statements. Thus, we ask Stack to run our application with our mixin defined as:

```
defaultLayout :: Widget -> Handler Html
defaultLayout widget = do
  ...
  -- Define Lucius mixins
  let centering :: Text -> Int
      centering width =
        [luciusMixin|
          width: #{width};
          margin-left: auto;
          margin-right: auto;
        |]
  ...
```

As desired and expected, GHC catches our incorrect type and very helpfully states:

- Couldn’t match expected type ‘`Mixin`’ with actual type ‘`Int`’
- In the first argument of ‘`Text.Internal.Css.CDMixin`’, namely
‘`(centering "200px")`’
In the expression: `Text.Internal.Css.CDMixin (centering "200px")`
In the expression:
`((Text.Shakespeare.Base.DerefBranch`
 `(Text.Shakespeare.Base.DerefIdent`
 `(Text.Shakespeare.Base.Ident "centering")))`
 `(Text.Shakespeare.Base.DerefString "200px"),`
 `Text.Internal.Css.CDMixin (centering "200px"))`

```
163 |           $(widgetFile "default-layout")
    |           ~~~~~
```

Eureka! We adjust our mixin accordingly:

```
defaultLayout :: Widget -> Handler Html
defaultLayout widget = do
  ...
```

```

-- Define Lucius mixins
let centering :: Text -> Mixin
    centering width =
        [luciusMixin|
            width: #{width};
            margin-left: auto;
            margin-right: auto;
        |]
...

```

And, at long last, the mixin compiles and works correctly, generating the code seen in [Nested scopes](#)!

3.3 Julius

According to the Yesod book, “Julius allows the three forms of interpolation we’ve mentioned so far, and otherwise applies no transformations to your content” [\[5\]](#). Given how minimal it is and how little Javascript the Sharing God’s Bounty website uses, we’ll skip the subject of Julius entirely.

4 Skipped sections

In order to maximize the value of this Yesod experiment, I skip over a number of topics that the Yesod book covers in detail. I don’t touch them in my implementation except where absolutely necessary, though I may refer to them as needed. Because I’m not directly and explicitly working with them, I won’t discuss them directly here. See the Yesod book (as needed) for background information on:

- Widgets
- The Yesod typeclass
- Forms
- Sessions

Part II

Adding New Features

5 Database-stored content pages

Now that we’ve covered most of the basics, we can look at adding new features to the scaffolded application. Given the stated scope and the time constraint of the project, our focus is on adding a narrow, vertical slice of features designed to let us learn about, experience, and discuss Haskell and Yesod. These features are *not* designed to be production-ready but rather to fulfill these stated objectives. The first set of new features we add includes the ability to store pages in a database, associate them with URIs, and display them.

5.1 Adding a table to the database

Our first order of business is to learn enough about Persistent, Yesod’s database abstraction layer [\[6\]](#), to create a new table. Persistent leverages Haskell data types to provide myriad type-safety guarantees going both to and from a backing store (in our case, SQLite). Persistent defines a sum type, `PersistValue`, whose constructors map to supported value types we can store in the database. The Yesod book defines `PersistValue` as follows [\[6\]](#):


```

data PersistValue
  = PersistText Text
  | PersistByteString ByteString
  | PersistInt64 Int64
  | PersistDouble Double
  | PersistRational Rational
  | PersistBool Bool
  | PersistDay Day
  | PersistTimeOfDay TimeOfDay
  | PersistUTCTime UTCTime
  | PersistNull
  | PersistList [PersistValue]
  | PersistMap [(Text, PersistValue)]
  | PersistObjectId ByteString
  -- ^ Intended especially for MongoDB backend
  | PersistDbSpecific ByteString
  -- ^ Using 'PersistDbSpecific' allows you to use types
  -- specific to a particular backend

```

Meanwhile, columns are represented by the `PersistField` typeclass and tables are represented by the `PersistEntity` typeclass [6].

The models file The scaffolded Yesod site reads its Persistent configuration from the file `config/models`, which defines the database configuration using a Persistent DSL [4]. The DSL features we utilize are as follows:

- **Tables** are represented as top-level headers with no indentation. They should be CamelCased with initial capital.
- **Columns** are represented as lines indented under the table to which they belong. Three, space-separated fields make up a column declaration:
 1. The column name (camelCased with initial lowercase, for use as the name of a `PersistField`).
 2. The column type, as a `PersistValue` constructor type.
 3. Optionally, any additional attributes, such as `Maybe` to allow the column to be null.
- **Unique constraints** are represented at the same indentation level as columns, as follows:
 1. The unique constraint name (CamelCased with initial capital).
 2. The names of any columns to be included in the constraint, space-separated.
 3. Optionally, the `force!` directive to allow a unique constraint involving a nullable column. (This is not allowed by default because Haskell and Yesod differ in their definitions of equality of null values [6].)

Defining a new table For the purposes of this project, we simply need one table that provides enough information to represent pages and, eventually, a single, unified navigation menu. Following the example of the real Sharing God’s Bounty website, we represent a page as a title, a path (i.e. the relative path from the root of the site to the page), and some contents to be displayed in an article body. Meanwhile, to support menus, each page must be representable in the menu, which requires a numeric sorting order (so we can reorder pages) and a display text. The path and both menu-related fields should be nullable so that we can de-list a page completely if desired, making it entirely inaccessible to the public.

We complete our design with two unique constraints. First, no two pages should be allowed to have the same path, though this might be perfectly plausible under a different site architecture. Second, no two pages should be allowed to have the same numeric menu order.

These design specifications allow us to produce a full model in the Persistent DSL:

```

BasicPage
  title Text                -- The title of the page

```

```

path Text Maybe           -- The path to the page (nullable)
menuOrder Int64 Maybe     -- The numeric order of the page in the menu (nullable)
menuText Text Maybe       -- The text of the page's menu entry (nullable)
content Text              -- The page's body content
UniquePath path !force    -- The path must be unique but may still be null
UniqueMenuOrder menuOrder !force -- The menu order must be unique but may still be null

```

Migrating the schema For the uninitiated, database migrations are a standard notion of capturing changes to the database schema in a programmatic and reproducible way. In Ruby on Rails, we write migrations manually (or use a command-line utility to generate both our skeletal models and skeletal migrations). Yesod goes at least one step further: it automatically generates and runs migrations, when it's safe to do so, by comparing the Persistent schema to the database schema [6]. Potentially destructive migrations must be written by hand. (We avoid them to narrow the scope of this project.) Thus, all that's required to create our table and teach Yesod how to handle it safely is to create the above specification in the Persistent DSL!

Reflections Persistent is a bit of an odd duck. Then again, so is Ruby on Rails' ActiveRecord. I have taken issue with a number of Yesod's choices and limitations. Given that, I feel I need to highlight that my experience with Persistent has been stellar! This is the most remarkably helpful database DSL I have personally seen to date. It's cryptic at first, which is unsurprising in Yesod, but I am truly awe-struck by Persistent's expressive power!

Having said that, I take the same issue with Persistent that I take with ActiveRecord. Both DSLs attempt to solve the database problem space entirely in their host languages. In doing so, both DSLs take reductive views of databases that belie the power of a full-fledged DBMS. Both DSLs are well-suited to simple data stores like SQLite. As a SQL-literate developer, though, I prefer to take full advantage of a highly tuned, enormously powerful DBMS like Postgresql or Microsoft SQL Server. It is my personal opinion that when using a fully featured DBMS to its full advantage, a heavy-handed database abstraction layer simply gets in the way. Perhaps Persistent might work well in this use case with its migrations disabled (and without utilizing unique constraints), but I have my doubts. In either case, this particular query is well beyond the scope of this project.

5.2 Adding a dynamic route

In order to create a new route to receive requests for these database-backed pages, we first need to learn a bit more about Yesod's routing system. First, we'll discuss more advanced routes, and then we'll discuss the handlers that process them.

5.2.1 Routing with PathPieces

Yesod breaks routes into pieces, delimited by /, and parses them using data types that are instances of the `PathPiece` typeclass. It does so in a way that protects us from the details of parsing full routes and provides us with one, canonical URI for any given page [3]. There are three types of path pieces:

- **Static text** must be matched exactly. The `/static` route discussed previously is static text.
- **Single pieces** are a single token (as defined by the / delimiters in the URI). We denote a single piece with the `#` symbol followed by the name of a type that's an instance of the `PathPiece` type class.
- **Multi-pieces** can match any number of pieces. We denote multi-pieces with the `*` symbol followed by an instance of the `PathMultiPiece` typeclass. A multi-piece must be the last piece in a route.

Conversions The `PathPiece` and `PathMultiPiece` typeclasses require us to define two-way conversions between `Text` and the instance type. Converting from `Text` returns a `Maybe` value, which allows us to guard against invalid input and guarantee that we're passing valid inputs into our route handlers. For instance, a multi-piece may enforce arbitrary constraints in its function `fromPathMultiPiece :: PathMultiPiece s => [Text] -> Maybe s`; if it returns `Nothing`, the handler is never even invoked.

Ambiguous routes By default, Yesod disallows routes that overlap and thus provide ambiguity. We can (and, in our case, must) override this behavior and explicitly allow certain routes to overlap by prefacing them with a `!` symbol. Yesod's rule when multiple routes match, which is common in routing systems, is that the first route wins [3].

5.2.2 Adding the route

Our route itself is conceptually very simple: it will attempt to match any page that has not already matched a route against the known paths in our new database table. We'll use a multi-piece to allow pages to nest as needed, and we'll name our resource after the `BasicPath` table. Since only the `GET` HTTP method makes sense here, we will restrict our route to that method:

```
!/*PagePath BasicPageR GET
```

The Yesod book does not precisely describe where to place our definition for `PagePath`'s membership in the `PathPiece` typeclass, but perhaps GHC can help us out. By running the application with the route (but without a handler or a definition for `instance PagePath PathPiece`), we can see where the definition is needed and make an educated guess about where it might belong. Sure enough, we see a helpful error (edited for clarity):

```
[ 6 of 12] Compiling Foundation          ( src/Foundation.hs, ...)
```

```
src/Foundation.hs:68:1: error:
    Not in scope: type constructor or class 'PagePath'
68 | mkYesodData "App" $(parseRoutesFile "config/routes")
    |
```

Following the Yesod book's example [3], we define our own `PagePath` type and define its instantiation into `PathPiece` (as well as a few base classes), and we place the definition at the bottom of `src.Foundation.hs`:

Contain this knowledge in new sections, etc.

Make new Parts: Learning the Basics and Adding Features.

More about routing: Yesod safely breaks routes into data types that are instances of `PathPiece` and requires us to define two-way conversions between `Text` and the `PathPiece` instance type. Converting from text returns a `Maybe` value, which allows us to guard against invalid input and guarantee that we're passing valid inputs into our route handlers. There are three types of path pieces: static text that must be matched exactly, single pieces (denoted by the `#` symbol followed by the name of a `PathPiece` instance type), and multi-pieces (denoted by `*` followed by the type) that can match any number of pieces (as long as the `fromPathMultiPiece` function definition is satisfied). (Where do I put my instance declarations in the scaffolded site? Hm. Need to check the Scaffolded Site chapter.) By default, Yesod disallows overlapping routes at compile time. To override this, add `!` before a route to disable its overlap checking. In this case, the first matching route wins. (I'll need to use this.) The resource constructor gets arguments that match the `PathPiece` and `PathMultiPiece` types.

6 Reflections

Collect these thoughts into a real, formalized section in its own file.

Reflect on Yesod from the perspective of someone who's just made it through the basics. In particular, TinyOS's observation about being hard for beginners. I feel like I have to be an expert to do almost anything. Every piece of code feels like it's written for experts. And the lack of an accessible API reference (with good documentation) so far seems to be frustrating me.

Three points from TinyOS:

1. By making it harder to write bugs, you also make it harder to write code

2. By making hard things possible, you make easy things hard
3. By tailoring the language for expert users, you alienate newcomers and stifle your language's growth.

References

- [1] Michael Snoyman. *Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod*. 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book>.
- [2] Michael Snoyman. "Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod". In: 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. Chap. Haskell. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book/haskell>.
- [3] Michael Snoyman. "Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod". In: 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. Chap. Routing and Handlers. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book/routing-and-handlers>.
- [4] Michael Snoyman. "Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod". In: 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. Chap. Scaffolding and the Site Template. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book/scaffolding-and-the-site-template>.
- [5] Michael Snoyman. "Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod". In: 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. Chap. Shakespearean Templates. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book/shakespearean-templates>.
- [6] Michael Snoyman. "Developing Web Applications with Haskell and Yesod". In: 1st edition. O'Reilly, 2012. Chap. Persistent. URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/book/persistent>.
- [7] *How to add type annotation in let binding*. URL: <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/22436402/how-to-add-type-annotation-in-let-binding>.
- [8] psibi. *Home.md*. URL: <https://github.com/yesodweb/yesod-cookbook/blob/master/cookbook/Home.md>.
- [9] Michael Snoyman. *Runtime Lucius: now with mixins!* URL: <https://www.yesodweb.com/blog/2013/07/runtime-lucius-mixins>.
- [10] *Text.Lucius*. URL: <http://hackage.haskell.org/package/shakespeare-2.0.20/docs/Text-Lucius.html>.
- [11] *Want to replace Sass with Lucius or Cassius but running into problems*. URL: <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/21978823/want-to-replace-sass-with-lucius-or-cassius-but-running-into-problems>.