## Performance of the Heuristic Functions

****************  Playing Matches  ***********************************										
Match #	Opponent	AB_Imp	roved	AB_C	ustom	AB_Cus	tom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	
1	Random	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	
2	MM_Open	9	1	8	2	9 j	1	9	j 1	
3	MM_Center	10	0	10	0	9 j	1	10	i 0	
4	MM_Improved	8	2	8	2	8 i	2	9	1	
5	AB_Open	6	4	6	4	5 i	5	6	4	
6	AB_Center	4	6	6	4	4 i	6	6	4	
7	AB_Improved	3	7	7	3	4	6	5	5	
	Win Rate:	71.4%		78.6%		<u>7</u> 0.0%		78	.6%	

custom\_score: This heuristic function subtracts the number of available opponent moves from the player. I choose this function because the more moves I had the higher the chances of winning. Overall, it's pretty simple but effective. It had a 78.6% winning rate which was not bad for just a simple function. When running the tournament script, the function performed well vs Random, MM\_Open, MM\_Center, and MM\_Improved opponents but not so well with AB\_Open, AB\_Center, and AB\_Improved. I believe that the function lost most of the games vs the AB\_Center due to my game agent no selecting the center move or one that reacts properly to center moves.

custom\_score\_2: This heuristic function returns 1 if the number of available moves is greater than the opponents or 0 if not. I choose this function as it will return 1 if the player has higher chances of winning. Even though this evaluation function is simple, it achieves a winning rate of 70.0%. It did well on most opponents except the AB\_Improved. I believe this is due to my function returning 1 as long as the player has more number of moves.

Custom\_score\_3: This heuristic function returns the number of moves divided by the number of opponent moves available. I used 1 as a fallback if the opponent has no available moves. The reason I choose this function is because the returned number would be high if the player has high number of available moves and the opponent has less. This heuristic function achieves a winning rate of 78.6% which is similar to my first function. I think it had a similar score because I'm dividing the same numbers. Like my previous functions, it performed badly vs the AB\_Open, AB\_Center, and AB\_Improved. This is probably due to my agent no selecting moves optimized for center and reflection moves.