result\_analysis.md 2024-10-27

#### Overview

The load test was conducted on the <a href="https://petstore.swagger.io/v2">https://petstore.swagger.io/v2</a> API for 5 minutes with 200 Virtual Users (VUs). The objective was to evaluate the performance of user management operations under heavy load, focusing on Create, Search, Modify, and Delete operations.

# **Key Results**

• Total Iterations Completed: 11,260

• Total Requests: 67,560

• Success Rate: 99.62% of checks passed (168,268 out of 168,900)

• Failure Rate: 17.25% of requests failed (11,656 out of 67,560)

# **Detailed Operation Results**

#### 1. Create User:

Status: 100% SuccessResponse Time: <500ms</li>ID Matches: Passed

#### 2. Search User:

Status: 98% Success (11147/11360 passed)

• Response Time: <500ms

o User Details: 98% Success (11147/11360 passed)

### 3. Modify User:

Status: 100% SuccessResponse Time: <500ms</li>

#### 4. Search Modified User:

Status: 98% Success (11145/11360 passed)

• First Name Updated: 98% Success (11145/11360 passed)

# 5. Delete User:

Status: 98% Success (11088/11360 passed)

• Response Time: <500ms

# 6. Verify User Deletion:

Status: 99% Success (11256/11360 passed)

• **Response Time**: <500ms

### Performance Metrics

result\_analysis.md 2024-10-27

1. Average Request Duration: 64.11 ms

90th Percentile: 74.26 ms95th Percentile: 76.48 ms

Max Request Duration: 333.13 ms

2. Request Throughput: 221.2 requests/second

- 3. **HTTP Failures**: **17.25%** (11,656 requests failed out of 67,560)
  - The failure rate is significant and suggests that the API struggled with the load at certain times.

### 4. Data Transferred:

Data Sent: 7.7 MBData Received: 25 MB

# 5. TCP and Connection Metrics:

Connection Time: 1.03 ms (avg)

TLS Handshake Time: 561.83 μs (avg)

• Waiting Time: 63.86 ms (avg)

### Observations

### 1. High Success Rate:

Overall, 99.62% of checks passed, indicating that the API handled most requests correctly.

### 2. Significant Failure Rate:

Despite the high success rate, 17.25% of requests failed. This is a notable issue and suggests
possible server overload or timeouts under high load.

### 3. Search and Delete Failures:

• The **Search User** and **Delete User** operations had a **2%** failure rate, which could indicate performance bottlenecks in these endpoints.

### 4. Response Time:

 Response times were generally good, with an average of 64.11 ms and 95% of requests completing in under 76.48 ms.

# Recommendations

### 1. Investigate Failure Rate:

 The 17.25% failure rate needs to be investigated, focusing on potential server-side issues such as timeouts, resource exhaustion, or overload.

### 2. Optimize Search and Delete Endpoints:

result\_analysis.md 2024-10-27

• The **Search** and **Delete** operations showed slightly higher failure rates. Consider optimizing these endpoints, perhaps by improving database indexing or reviewing resource limits.

# 3. Scale Server Resources:

• If expecting sustained high traffic, consider scaling up server resources (CPU, memory) to reduce failure rates and maintain performance under load.

# Conclusion

The API demonstrated good performance overall, with low response times and high throughput. However, the **17.25% failure rate** under heavy load is a concern and should be addressed through further investigation and optimization of the API's infrastructure and endpoints.