guaranteed to be a global minimum. Some convex functions have a flat region at the bottom rather than a single global minimum point, but any point within such a flat region is an acceptable solution. When optimizing a convex function, we know that we have reached a good solution if we find a critical point of any kind.

With non-convex functions, such as neural nets, it is possible to have many local minima. Indeed, nearly any deep model is essentially guaranteed to have an extremely large number of local minima. However, as we will see, this is not necessarily a major problem.

Neural networks and any models with multiple equivalently parametrized latent variables all have multiple local minima because of the **model identifiability** problem. A model is said to be identifiable if a sufficiently large training set can rule out all but one setting of the model's parameters. Models with latent variables are often not identifiable because we can obtain equivalent models by exchanging latent variables with each other. For example, we could take a neural network and modify layer 1 by swapping the incoming weight vector for unit i with the incoming weight vector for unit j, then doing the same for the outgoing weight vectors. If we have m layers with n units each, then there are  $n!^m$  ways of arranging the hidden units. This kind of non-identifiability is known as **weight space symmetry**.

In addition to weight space symmetry, many kinds of neural networks have additional causes of non-identifiability. For example, in any rectified linear or maxout network, we can scale all of the incoming weights and biases of a unit by  $\alpha$  if we also scale all of its outgoing weights by  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ . This means that—if the cost function does not include terms such as weight decay that depend directly on the weights rather than the models' outputs—every local minimum of a rectified linear or maxout network lies on an  $(m \times n)$ -dimensional hyperbola of equivalent local minima.

These model identifiability issues mean that there can be an extremely large or even uncountably infinite amount of local minima in a neural network cost function. However, all of these local minima arising from non-identifiability are equivalent to each other in cost function value. As a result, these local minima are not a problematic form of non-convexity.

Local minima can be problematic if they have high cost in comparison to the global minimum. One can construct small neural networks, even without hidden units, that have local minima with higher cost than the global minimum (Sontag and Sussman, 1989; Brady et al., 1989; Gori and Tesi, 1992). If local minima with high cost are common, this could pose a serious problem for gradient-based optimization algorithms.

It remains an open question whether there are many local minima of high cost