

The trained DBN may be used directly as a generative model, but most of the interest in DBNs arose from their ability to improve classification models. We can take the weights from the DBN and use them to define an MLP:

$$\mathbf{h}^{(1)} = \sigma \left( b^{(1)} + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}^{(1)} \right). \quad (20.22)$$

$$\mathbf{h}^{(l)} = \sigma \left( b_i^{(l)} + \mathbf{h}^{(l-1)\top} \mathbf{W}^{(l)} \right) \forall l \in 2, \dots, m, \quad (20.23)$$

After initializing this MLP with the weights and biases learned via generative training of the DBN, we may train the MLP to perform a classification task. This additional training of the MLP is an example of discriminative fine-tuning.

This specific choice of MLP is somewhat arbitrary, compared to many of the inference equations in chapter 19 that are derived from first principles. This MLP is a heuristic choice that seems to work well in practice and is used consistently in the literature. Many approximate inference techniques are motivated by their ability to find a maximally *tight* variational lower bound on the log-likelihood under some set of constraints. One can construct a variational lower bound on the log-likelihood using the hidden unit expectations defined by the DBN’s MLP, but this is true of *any* probability distribution over the hidden units, and there is no reason to believe that this MLP provides a particularly tight bound. In particular, the MLP ignores many important interactions in the DBN graphical model. The MLP propagates information upward from the visible units to the deepest hidden units, but does not propagate any information downward or sideways. The DBN graphical model has explaining away interactions between all of the hidden units within the same layer as well as top-down interactions between layers.

While the log-likelihood of a DBN is intractable, it may be approximated with AIS (Salakhutdinov and Murray, 2008). This permits evaluating its quality as a generative model.

The term “deep belief network” is commonly used incorrectly to refer to any kind of deep neural network, even networks without latent variable semantics. The term “deep belief network” should refer specifically to models with undirected connections in the deepest layer and directed connections pointing downward between all other pairs of consecutive layers.

The term “deep belief network” may also cause some confusion because the term “belief network” is sometimes used to refer to purely directed models, while deep belief networks contain an undirected layer. Deep belief networks also share the acronym DBN with dynamic Bayesian networks (Dean and Kanazawa, 1989), which are Bayesian networks for representing Markov chains.