value of the corresponding parameters. More formally, we would like that

$$\operatorname{plim}_{m \to \infty} \hat{\theta}_m = \theta. \tag{5.55}$$

The symbol plim indicates convergence in probability, meaning that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|\hat{\theta}_m - \theta| > \epsilon) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. The condition described by equation 5.55 is known as consistency. It is sometimes referred to as weak consistency, with strong consistency referring to the almost sure convergence of $\hat{\theta}$ to θ . Almost sure convergence of a sequence of random variables $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \dots$ to a value \boldsymbol{x} occurs when $p(\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbf{x}^{(m)} = \mathbf{x}) = 1$.

Consistency ensures that the bias induced by the estimator diminishes as the number of data examples grows. However, the reverse is not true—asymptotic unbiasedness does not imply consistency. For example, consider estimating the mean parameter μ of a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(x;\mu,\sigma^2)$, with a dataset consisting of m samples: $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$. We could use the first sample $x^{(1)}$ of the dataset as an unbiased estimator: $\hat{\theta} = x^{(1)}$. In that case, $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}_m) = \theta$ so the estimator is unbiased no matter how many data points are seen. This, of course, implies that the estimate is asymptotically unbiased. However, this is not a consistent estimator as it is *not* the case that $\hat{\theta}_m \to \theta$ as $m \to \infty$.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation 5.5

Previously, we have seen some definitions of common estimators and analyzed their properties. But where did these estimators come from? Rather than guessing that some function might make a good estimator and then analyzing its bias and variance, we would like to have some principle from which we can derive specific functions that are good estimators for different models.

The most common such principle is the maximum likelihood principle.

Consider a set of m examples $\mathbb{X} = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ drawn independently from the true but unknown data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})$.

Let $p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be a parametric family of probability distributions over the same space indexed by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. In other words, $p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})$ maps any configuration \boldsymbol{x} to a real number estimating the true probability $p_{\text{data}}(x)$.

The maximum likelihood estimator for θ is then defined as

$$\theta_{\text{ML}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\text{arg max}} p_{\text{model}}(\mathbb{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
 (5.56)

$$\theta_{\text{ML}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{arg max}} p_{\text{model}}(\mathbb{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{arg max}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
(5.56)
$$(5.57)$$