g maps from $\mathbb{R}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, and f maps from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$. If $\boldsymbol{y} = g(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $z = f(\boldsymbol{y})$, then

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i}. \tag{6.45}$$

In vector notation, this may be equivalently written as

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} z = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)^\top \nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}} z, \tag{6.46}$$

where $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}$ is the $n \times m$ Jacobian matrix of g.

From this we see that the gradient of a variable $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be obtained by multiplying a Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}$ by a gradient $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}} z$. The back-propagation algorithm consists of performing such a Jacobian-gradient product for each operation in the graph.

Usually we do not apply the back-propagation algorithm merely to vectors, but rather to tensors of arbitrary dimensionality. Conceptually, this is exactly the same as back-propagation with vectors. The only difference is how the numbers are arranged in a grid to form a tensor. We could imagine flattening each tensor into a vector before we run back-propagation, computing a vector-valued gradient, and then reshaping the gradient back into a tensor. In this rearranged view, back-propagation is still just multiplying Jacobians by gradients.

To denote the gradient of a value z with respect to a tensor $\mathbf{X}$, we write $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} z$, just as if $\mathbf{X}$ were a vector. The indices into $\mathbf{X}$ now have multiple coordinates—for example, a 3-D tensor is indexed by three coordinates. We can abstract this away by using a single variable i to represent the complete tuple of indices. For all possible index tuples i, $(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} z)_i$ gives $\frac{\partial z}{\partial X_i}$. This is exactly the same as how for all possible integer indices i into a vector, $(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} z)_i$ gives $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i}$. Using this notation, we can write the chain rule as it applies to tensors. If $\mathbf{Y} = g(\mathbf{X})$ and $z = f(\mathbf{Y})$, then

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} z = \sum_j (\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} Y_j) \frac{\partial z}{\partial Y_j}. \tag{6.47}$$

6.5.3 Recursively Applying the Chain Rule to Obtain Backprop

Using the chain rule, it is straightforward to write down an algebraic expression for the gradient of a scalar with respect to any node in the computational graph that produced that scalar. However, actually evaluating that expression in a computer introduces some extra considerations.

Specifically, many subexpressions may be repeated several times within the overall expression for the gradient. Any procedure that computes the gradient