likelihood criterion is

$$\log p\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(2)} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}\right) \tag{10.15}$$

$$= \log p\left(\mathbf{y}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}\right) + \log p\left(\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}\right)$$
(10.16)

In this example, we see that at time t = 2, the model is trained to maximize the conditional probability of $\mathbf{y}^{(2)}$ given both the \mathbf{x} sequence so far and the previous \mathbf{y} value from the training set. Maximum likelihood thus specifies that during training, rather than feeding the model's own output back into itself, these connections should be fed with the target values specifying what the correct output should be. This is illustrated in figure 10.6.

We originally motivated teacher forcing as allowing us to avoid back-propagation through time in models that lack hidden-to-hidden connections. Teacher forcing may still be applied to models that have hidden-to-hidden connections so long as they have connections from the output at one time step to values computed in the next time step. However, as soon as the hidden units become a function of earlier time steps, the BPTT algorithm is necessary. Some models may thus be trained with both teacher forcing and BPTT.

The disadvantage of strict teacher forcing arises if the network is going to be later used in an **open-loop** mode, with the network outputs (or samples from the output distribution) fed back as input. In this case, the kind of inputs that the network sees during training could be quite different from the kind of inputs that it will see at test time. One way to mitigate this problem is to train with both teacher-forced inputs and with free-running inputs, for example by predicting the correct target a number of steps in the future through the unfolded recurrent output-to-input paths. In this way, the network can learn to take into account input conditions (such as those it generates itself in the free-running mode) not seen during training and how to map the state back towards one that will make the network generate proper outputs after a few steps. Another approach (Bengio et al., 2015b) to mitigate the gap between the inputs seen at train time and the inputs seen at test time randomly chooses to use generated values or actual data values as input. This approach exploits a curriculum learning strategy to gradually use more of the generated values as input.

10.2.2 Computing the Gradient in a Recurrent Neural Network

Computing the gradient through a recurrent neural network is straightforward. One simply applies the generalized back-propagation algorithm of section 6.5.6