sequence. See section 12.4.5.1 for more details.

10.5 Deep Recurrent Networks

The computation in most RNNs can be decomposed into three blocks of parameters and associated transformations:

- 1. from the input to the hidden state,
- 2. from the previous hidden state to the next hidden state, and
- 3. from the hidden state to the output.

With the RNN architecture of figure 10.3, each of these three blocks is associated with a single weight matrix. In other words, when the network is unfolded, each of these corresponds to a shallow transformation. By a shallow transformation, we mean a transformation that would be represented by a single layer within a deep MLP. Typically this is a transformation represented by a learned affine transformation followed by a fixed nonlinearity.

Would it be advantageous to introduce depth in each of these operations? Experimental evidence (Graves et al., 2013; Pascanu et al., 2014a) strongly suggests so. The experimental evidence is in agreement with the idea that we need enough depth in order to perform the required mappings. See also Schmidhuber (1992), El Hihi and Bengio (1996), or Jaeger (2007a) for earlier work on deep RNNs.

Graves et al. (2013) were the first to show a significant benefit of decomposing the state of an RNN into multiple layers as in figure 10.13 (left). We can think of the lower layers in the hierarchy depicted in figure 10.13a as playing a role in transforming the raw input into a representation that is more appropriate, at the higher levels of the hidden state. Pascanu et al. (2014a) go a step further and propose to have a separate MLP (possibly deep) for each of the three blocks enumerated above, as illustrated in figure 10.13b. Considerations of representational capacity suggest to allocate enough capacity in each of these three steps, but doing so by adding depth may hurt learning by making optimization difficult. In general, it is easier to optimize shallower architectures, and adding the extra depth of figure 10.13b makes the shortest path from a variable in time step t to a variable in time step t+1 become longer. For example, if an MLP with a single hidden layer is used for the state-to-state transition, we have doubled the length of the shortest path between variables in any two different time steps, compared with the ordinary RNN of figure 10.3. However, as argued by Pascanu et al. (2014a), this