

of obtaining a sample.

In an EBM, we can avoid this chicken and egg problem by sampling using a Markov chain. The core idea of a Markov chain is to have a state  $\mathbf{x}$  that begins as an arbitrary value. Over time, we randomly update  $\mathbf{x}$  repeatedly. Eventually  $\mathbf{x}$  becomes (very nearly) a fair sample from  $p(\mathbf{x})$ . Formally, a Markov chain is defined by a random state  $\mathbf{x}$  and a transition distribution  $T(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})$  specifying the probability that a random update will go to state  $\mathbf{x}'$  if it starts in state  $\mathbf{x}$ . Running the Markov chain means repeatedly updating the state  $\mathbf{x}$  to a value  $\mathbf{x}'$  sampled from  $T(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})$ .

To gain some theoretical understanding of how MCMC methods work, it is useful to reparametrize the problem. First, we restrict our attention to the case where the random variable  $\mathbf{x}$  has countably many states. We can then represent the state as just a positive integer  $x$ . Different integer values of  $x$  map back to different states  $\mathbf{x}$  in the original problem.

Consider what happens when we run infinitely many Markov chains in parallel. All of the states of the different Markov chains are drawn from some distribution  $q^{(t)}(x)$ , where  $t$  indicates the number of time steps that have elapsed. At the beginning,  $q^{(0)}$  is some distribution that we used to arbitrarily initialize  $x$  for each Markov chain. Later,  $q^{(t)}$  is influenced by all of the Markov chain steps that have run so far. Our goal is for  $q^{(t)}(x)$  to converge to  $p(x)$ .

Because we have reparametrized the problem in terms of positive integer  $x$ , we can describe the probability distribution  $q$  using a vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , with

$$q(x = i) = v_i. \quad (17.17)$$

Consider what happens when we update a single Markov chain's state  $x$  to a new state  $x'$ . The probability of a single state landing in state  $x'$  is given by

$$q^{(t+1)}(x') = \sum_x q^{(t)}(x) T(x' | x). \quad (17.18)$$

Using our integer parametrization, we can represent the effect of the transition operator  $T$  using a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . We define  $\mathbf{A}$  so that

$$A_{i,j} = T(\mathbf{x}' = i | \mathbf{x} = j). \quad (17.19)$$

Using this definition, we can now rewrite equation 17.18. Rather than writing it in terms of  $q$  and  $T$  to understand how a single state is updated, we may now use  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  to describe how the entire distribution over all the different Markov chains (running in parallel) shifts as we apply an update:

$$\mathbf{v}^{(t)} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^{(t-1)}. \quad (17.20)$$