



Figure 16.2: A directed graphical model depicting the relay race example. Alice’s finishing time  $t_0$  influences Bob’s finishing time  $t_1$ , because Bob does not get to start running until Alice finishes. Likewise, Carol only gets to start running after Bob finishes, so Bob’s finishing time  $t_1$  directly influences Carol’s finishing time  $t_2$ .

that is, they point from one vertex to another. This direction is represented in the drawing with an arrow. The direction of the arrow indicates which variable’s probability distribution is defined in terms of the other’s. Drawing an arrow from  $a$  to  $b$  means that we define the probability distribution over  $b$  via a conditional distribution, with  $a$  as one of the variables on the right side of the conditioning bar. In other words, the distribution over  $b$  depends on the value of  $a$ .

Continuing with the relay race example from section 16.1, suppose we name Alice’s finishing time  $t_0$ , Bob’s finishing time  $t_1$ , and Carol’s finishing time  $t_2$ . As we saw earlier, our estimate of  $t_1$  depends on  $t_0$ . Our estimate of  $t_2$  depends directly on  $t_1$  but only indirectly on  $t_0$ . We can draw this relationship in a directed graphical model, illustrated in figure 16.2.

Formally, a directed graphical model defined on variables  $\mathbf{x}$  is defined by a directed acyclic graph  $\mathcal{G}$  whose vertices are the random variables in the model, and a set of **local conditional probability distributions**  $p(x_i \mid Pa_{\mathcal{G}}(x_i))$  where  $Pa_{\mathcal{G}}(x_i)$  gives the parents of  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . The probability distribution over  $\mathbf{x}$  is given by

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(x_i \mid Pa_{\mathcal{G}}(x_i)). \quad (16.1)$$

In our relay race example, this means that, using the graph drawn in figure 16.2,

$$p(t_0, t_1, t_2) = p(t_0)p(t_1 \mid t_0)p(t_2 \mid t_1). \quad (16.2)$$

This is our first time seeing a structured probabilistic model in action. We can examine the cost of using it, in order to observe how structured modeling has many advantages relative to unstructured modeling.

Suppose we represented time by discretizing time ranging from minute 0 to minute 10 into 6 second chunks. This would make  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  each be a discrete variable with 100 possible values. If we attempted to represent  $p(t_0, t_1, t_2)$  with a table, it would need to store 999,999 values (100 values of  $t_0 \times 100$  values of  $t_1 \times 100$  values of  $t_2$ , minus 1, since the probability of one of the configurations is made