There are also transformations that we would like our classifiers to be invariant to, but which are not easy to perform. For example, out-of-plane rotation can not be implemented as a simple geometric operation on the input pixels.

Dataset augmentation is effective for speech recognition tasks as well (Jaitly and Hinton, 2013).

Injecting noise in the input to a neural network (Sietsma and Dow, 1991) can also be seen as a form of data augmentation. For many classification and even some regression tasks, the task should still be possible to solve even if small random noise is added to the input. Neural networks prove not to be very robust to noise, however (Tang and Eliasmith, 2010). One way to improve the robustness of neural networks is simply to train them with random noise applied to their inputs. Input noise injection is part of some unsupervised learning algorithms such as the denoising autoencoder (Vincent et al., 2008). Noise injection also works when the noise is applied to the hidden units, which can be seen as doing dataset augmentation at multiple levels of abstraction. Poole et al. (2014) recently showed that this approach can be highly effective provided that the magnitude of the noise is carefully tuned. Dropout, a powerful regularization strategy that will be described in section 7.12, can be seen as a process of constructing new inputs by multiplying by noise.

When comparing machine learning benchmark results, it is important to take the effect of dataset augmentation into account. Often, hand-designed dataset augmentation schemes can dramatically reduce the generalization error of a machine learning technique. To compare the performance of one machine learning algorithm to another, it is necessary to perform controlled experiments. When comparing machine learning algorithm A and machine learning algorithm B, it is necessary to make sure that both algorithms were evaluated using the same hand-designed dataset augmentation schemes. Suppose that algorithm A performs poorly with no dataset augmentation and algorithm B performs well when combined with numerous synthetic transformations of the input. In such a case it is likely the synthetic transformations caused the improved performance, rather than the use of machine learning algorithm B. Sometimes deciding whether an experiment has been properly controlled requires subjective judgment. For example, machine learning algorithms that inject noise into the input are performing a form of dataset augmentation. Usually, operations that are generally applicable (such as adding Gaussian noise to the input) are considered part of the machine learning algorithm, while operations that are specific to one application domain (such as randomly cropping an image) are considered to be separate pre-processing steps.