Supplmentary Note to KARL Library

Tsz Nam Chan Man Lung Yiu

Department of Computing

Hong Kong Polytechnic University

{cstnchan,csmlyiu}@comp.polyu.edu.hk

I. SOTA ALGORITHM

SOTA [3] adopts the multi-step approach [5], [1] for evaluating the bound functions (LB and UB), combining with existing indexing structures to support kernel density classification (query type I- τ). We extend their algorithm to support different other types of machine learning models, including approximate kernel density estimation (query type I- ϵ), 1-class SVM (query type III- τ) and 2-class SVM (query type III- τ). We name this algorithm as Multi-Step Kernel Prediction (MSKP).

Algorithm 1 Multi-Step Kernel Prediction (MSKP)

```
1: procedure MSKP(query q, weights \{w_1, ..., w_n\}, tree T, threshold \tau)
             Create a max-heap H
 3:
             e \leftarrow T.R_{root}
             \widehat{lb} \leftarrow LB(\mathbf{q}, e), \ \widehat{ub} \leftarrow UB(\mathbf{q}, e)
 4:
 5:
             enheap e to H
             while H \neq \emptyset do
 7:
                   if \widehat{lb} \geq \tau then
 8:
                         return 1
 9:
                   if ub < \tau then
                         return -1
10:
11:
                    R \leftarrow deheap an entry in H
                   \widehat{lb} \leftarrow \widehat{lb} - \widehat{LB}(\mathbf{q}, e.R), \ \widehat{ub} \leftarrow \widehat{ub} - UB(\mathbf{q}, e.R)
12:
13:
                   if e is leaf then
                          temp \leftarrow \sum_{\mathbf{p_i} \in e.R} w_i \ \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p_i})
14:
                          \widehat{lb} \leftarrow \widehat{lb} + \overline{temp}, \, \widehat{ub} \leftarrow \widehat{ub} + \overline{temp}
15:
16:
17:
                          for each child e_c in e do
                                \widehat{lb} \leftarrow \widehat{lb} + LB(\mathbf{q}, e_c.R)
18:
                                \widehat{ub} \leftarrow \widehat{ub} + UB(\mathbf{q}, e_c.R)
19:
                                enheap e_c to H
```

Algorithm 2 is only used for the classification-based queries (types I- τ , II- τ and III- τ). For query type I- ϵ , the input threshold τ should be replaced by relative error ϵ . We also need to replace lines 7 to 10 by the following termination condition.

Algorithm 2 Terminiation Condition for query type I- ϵ

```
1: if \widehat{ub} \geq (1+\epsilon)\widehat{lb} then
2: return \frac{\widehat{lb}+\widehat{ub}}{2}
```

II. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOTA AND KARL

Both SOTA and KARL utilize the same algorithm MSKP. However, compared with SOTA, KARL utilizes tighter bound functions to boost up the efficiency performance. During the implementation of KARL, we only replace LB and UB by our bounding functions [2].

III. AUTO-TUNING (OFFLLINE)

In Section III-C of our paper [2], we develop the auto-tuning method for obtaining the best index construction in the offline stage. First, we sample 1000 queries from the query dataset as the workload \mathcal{WL} . To ensure the fairness, these queries will not be used in the online phase. Then, our algorithm Auto chooses the index from either kd-tree [4] or ball-tree [6] and the most suitable leaf node capacity from the capacity list $CL = \{10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320, 640\}$ in which the best setting bs provides the fastest running time f_{time} in the selected workload \mathcal{WL} .

Algorithm 3 shows the pseudocode of our implementation.

Algorithm 3 Auto-tuning (Offline)

```
1: procedure AUTO(Workload \mathcal{WL}, weights \{w_1,...,w_n\}, tree T, thresh-
     old \tau, capacity list CL, tree list TL = \{kd, ball\})
         bs \leftarrow \mathsf{null}
3:
          f_{time} \leftarrow \infty
         for t \in TL do
              for c \in CL do
5:
                   T \leftarrow \text{Build tree } t \text{ with capacity } c
7:
                   s \leftarrow timer()
                   for q \in \mathcal{WL} do
8.
9:
                        MSKP(\mathbf{q}, weights, T, \tau)
10:
                   e \leftarrow timer()
11:
                   temp \leftarrow e - s
                   if temp \leq f_{time} then
12:
13:
                        bs \leftarrow \{t,c\}
                        f_{time} \leftarrow temp
14:
15:
                   Remove tree t
```

REFERENCES

- T. N. Chan, M. L. Yiu, and K. A. Hua. Efficient sub-window nearest neighbor search on matrix. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, 29(4):784–797, 2017.
- [2] T. N. Chan, M. L. Yiu, and L. H. U. Karl: Fast kernel aggregation queries. In ICDE, 2019.
- [3] E. Gan and P. Bailis. Scalable kernel density classification via threshold-based pruning. In ACM SIGMOD, pages 945–959, 2017.
- [4] H. Samet. Foundations of Multidimensional and Metric Data Structures. Morgan Kaufmann. 2006.
- [5] T. Seidl and H. Kriegel. Optimal multi-step k-nearest neighbor search. In SIGMOD, pages 154–165, 1998.
- [6] J. K. Uhlmann. Satisfying general proximity/similarity queries with metric trees. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 40(4):175–179, 1991.