

PRISMA-ScR Checklist

PRISMA-ScR Checklist

Instructions

- Use the boxes to confirm each reporting item.
- Add reviewer notes under each section as needed.

Title

- ☐ **1. Title:** Identify the report as a scoping review.

Abstract

- ☐ **2. Structured summary:** Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.

Introduction

- ☐ **3. Rationale:** Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge and explain why a scoping review is a suitable approach.
- ☐ **4. Objectives:** State the review questions and objectives clearly, referencing their key elements (e.g., population, concepts, context).

Methods

- ☐ **5. Protocol and registration:** Indicate if a review protocol exists, and if so, where it can be accessed (e.g., a web address) and provide registration information if available.
- ☐ **6. Eligibility criteria:** Specify the characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) and provide a rationale.
- ☐ **7. Information sources:** Describe all information sources used in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with authors) and the date of the most recent search.
- ☐ **8. Search:** Present the full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, so it can be repeated.
- ☐ **9. Selection of sources of evidence:** State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) for inclusion in the scoping review.
- ☐ **10. Data charting:** Describe the methods for charting data from the included sources of evidence and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.
- ☐ **11. Data items:** List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.

- [?] **12. Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence (Optional):** If done, describe the methods used for critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence and how this information was used in the synthesis of results.

Results

- [?] **13. Selection of sources of evidence:** Give the number of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.
- [?] **14. Characteristics of sources of evidence:** For each source of evidence, present its characteristics for which data were charted and any critical appraisal results.
- [?] **15. Results of individual sources of evidence:** For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted.
- [?] **16. Synthesis of results:** Summarize and synthesize the results, and present them in a logical and structured manner that aligns with the review's objectives and questions.

Discussion

- [?] **17. Summary of evidence:** Summarize the main results, including a discussion of how they relate to the review's questions and objectives.
- [?] **18. Limitations:** Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.
- [?] **19. Conclusions:** Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.

Funding

- [?] **20. Funding:** Describe the sources of funding for the scoping review and the role of the funders.

Optional Items

- [?] **21. Critical appraisal within sources of evidence (Optional):** If done, present the results of any critical appraisal that was conducted within the sources of evidence.
- [?] **22. Funding of included sources of evidence:** Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence.

Notes

Reviewer notes

Provenance

- Source: See sidecar metadata in `source/variants/prisma-scr.yml`
- Version: 2018
- License: CC-BY-4.0