

COREQ Checklist

COREQ Checklist

Scope: COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research.

Reference: See `source/archetypes/coreq-2007.yml` for canonical link and provenance.

Instructions

- Use the boxes to confirm each reporting item.
- Add reviewer notes under each section as needed.

Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity

- ☐ **1. Interviewer/facilitator:** Which author/s conducted the interview/focus group?
- ☐ **2. Credentials:** What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD.
- ☐ **3. Occupation:** What was their occupation at the time of the study?
- ☐ **4. Gender:** Was the researcher male or female?
- ☐ **5. Experience and training:** What experience or training did the researcher have?
- ☐ **6. Relationship established:** Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?
- ☐ **7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer:** What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research.
- ☐ **8. Interviewer characteristics:** What were the interviewer's characteristics? e.g. assumptions, reasons for doing the research, relationship with participants.

Domain 2: Study design

- ☐ **9. Methodological orientation and theory:** What is the methodological orientation and what is the theoretical framework for the study?
- ☐ **10. Sampling:** How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball.
- ☐ **11. Method of approach:** How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email.
- ☐ **12. Sample size:** How many participants were in the study?
- ☐ **13. Non-participation:** How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?
- ☐ **14. Setting of data collection:** Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace.

- ☐ **15. Presence of non-participants:** Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?
- ☐ **16. Description of sample:** What were the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date.

Domain 3: Analysis and findings

- ☐ **17. Data analysis:** How many data coders coded the data?
- ☐ **18. Derivation of themes:** Were themes identified in advance or did they emerge from the data?
- ☐ **19. Software:** What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?
- ☐ **20. Participant checking:** Did participants provide feedback on the findings?
- ☐ **21. Major themes:** Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?
- ☐ **22. Clarity of themes:** Is there a description of how themes were developed?
- ☐ **23. Quotations presented:** Were quotations presented to illustrate the themes?
- ☐ **24. Data and findings consistent:** Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?
- ☐ **25. Clarity of major themes:** Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?
- ☐ **26. Clarity of minor themes:** Were minor themes clearly presented in the findings?

Notes

Reviewer notes

Provenance

- Source: See sidecar metadata in `source/archetypes/coreq-2007.yml`
- Version: 2007
- License: All Rights Reserved