# COREQ Checklist

Scope: COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research.

Reference: See source/archetypes/coreq-2007.yml for canonical link and provenance.

## Instructions

* Use the boxes to confirm each reporting item.
* Add reviewer notes under each section as needed.

## Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity

* **1. Interviewer/facilitator:** Which author/s conducted the interview/focus group?
* **2. Credentials:** What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD.
* **3. Occupation:** What was their occupation at the time of the study?
* **4. Gender:** Was the researcher male or female?
* **5. Experience and training:** What experience or training did the researcher have?
* **6. Relationship established:** Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?
* **7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer:** What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research.
* **8. Interviewer characteristics:** What were the interviewer’s characteristics? e.g. assumptions, reasons for doing the research, relationship with participants.

## Domain 2: Study design

* **9. Methodological orientation and theory:** What is the methodological orientation and what is the theoretical framework for the study?
* **10. Sampling:** How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball.
* **11. Method of approach:** How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email.
* **12. Sample size:** How many participants were in the study?
* **13. Non-participation:** How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?
* **14. Setting of data collection:** Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace.
* **15. Presence of non-participants:** Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?
* **16. Description of sample:** What were the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date.

## Domain 3: Analysis and findings

* **17. Data analysis:** How many data coders coded the data?
* **18. Derivation of themes:** Were themes identified in advance or did they emerge from the data?
* **19. Software:** What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?
* **20. Participant checking:** Did participants provide feedback on the findings?
* **21. Major themes:** Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?
* **22. Clarity of themes:** Is there a description of how themes were developed?
* **23. Quotations presented:** Were quotations presented to illustrate the themes?
* **24. Data and findings consistent:** Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?
* **25. Clarity of major themes:** Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?
* **26. Clarity of minor themes:** Were minor themes clearly presented in the findings?

### Notes

Reviewer notes

## Provenance

* Source: See sidecar metadata in source/archetypes/coreq-2007.yml
* Version: 2007
* License: All Rights Reserved