# PRISMA-ScR Checklist

## Instructions

* Use the boxes to confirm each reporting item.
* Add reviewer notes under each section as needed.

## Title

* **1. Title:** Identify the report as a scoping review.

## Abstract

* **2. Structured summary:** Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.

## Introduction

* **3. Rationale:** Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge and explain why a scoping review is a suitable approach.
* **4. Objectives:** State the review questions and objectives clearly, referencing their key elements (e.g., population, concepts, context).

## Methods

* **5. Protocol and registration:** Indicate if a review protocol exists, and if so, where it can be accessed (e.g., a web address) and provide registration information if available.
* **6. Eligibility criteria:** Specify the characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) and provide a rationale.
* **7. Information sources:** Describe all information sources used in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with authors) and the date of the most recent search.
* **8. Search:** Present the full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, so it can be repeated.
* **9. Selection of sources of evidence:** State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) for inclusion in the scoping review.
* **10. Data charting:** Describe the methods for charting data from the included sources of evidence and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.
* **11. Data items:** List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.
* **12. Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence (Optional):** If done, describe the methods used for critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence and how this information was used in the synthesis of results.

## Results

* **13. Selection of sources of evidence:** Give the number of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.
* **14. Characteristics of sources of evidence:** For each source of evidence, present its characteristics for which data were charted and any critical appraisal results.
* **15. Results of individual sources of evidence:** For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted.
* **16. Synthesis of results:** Summarize and synthesize the results, and present them in a logical and structured manner that aligns with the review’s objectives and questions.

## Discussion

* **17. Summary of evidence:** Summarize the main results, including a discussion of how they relate to the review’s questions and objectives.
* **18. Limitations:** Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.
* **19. Conclusions:** Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.

## Funding

* **20. Funding:** Describe the sources of funding for the scoping review and the role of the funders.

## Optional Items

* **21. Critical appraisal within sources of evidence (Optional):** If done, present the results of any critical appraisal that was conducted within the sources of evidence.
* **22. Funding of included sources of evidence:** Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence.

### Notes

Reviewer notes

## Provenance

* Source: See sidecar metadata in source/variants/prisma-scr.yml
* Version: 2018
* License: CC-BY-4.0