Rough Draft Annual Campaign Vendor Performance by Degree Program

Office of Marketing and Brand Management

2020-01-30

Description

This document is intended to provide a high-level comparison of vendor performance during the 2018 and 2019 calendar years. The data gleaned from this comparison is should assist in media planning for FY21 in addition to providing insight into the overall health of USM's vendors.

The Office of Branding and Marketing uses digital advertising to promote the university and its offerings with a three prong approach that consists of:

- Umbrella Campaign: Brand advertising reaching all prospective students and influencers.
- Undergraduate Campaign: Advertising reaching High School students, Transfer students, and working adults.
- Graduate Campaign: Advertising reaching Undergraduate students and working adults.

Each campaign is made up a variety of digital ads, including display (DISP), video (VID), carousel (CAR), and text (TEXT), through 10 vendors. This report provodes an overview of the performance of each portfolio's components.

Umbrella

Program: University Brand

Audience: All Prospective Students and Influencers

Medium: DISP

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19
DBM_UNI_DISP_ALL	11,311,134	3,449,890	0.03%	0.06%	5,899	2,479	86.92%	89.47%	\$10,906.89	\$20,005.36

• This needs to be verified with Rinck. Did we Medium: VID

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	$Cost_18$	$Cost_19$	VR_2018	VR_2019	$\rm VTR_2018$
DBM_UNI_VID_ALL	1,754,624	258,660	0.14%	0.64%	1,440	871	90.23%	70.32%	\$2,919.89	\$5,889.23	~	~	6.57
HU_UNI_VID_ALL	1,175,957	~	0.06%	~	364	6	80.23%	66.67%	\$40,905.16	\$0	~	~	98.82
$YT_UNI_VID_ALL$	405,936	270,446	0.06%	0.09%	123	221	76.67%	91.04%	\$11,682.42	\$4,369.47	28.52%	45.7%	4.33

Medium: AUD

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	CTR_2018	UPV_18	BR_2018	Cost_18
DBM_UNI_AUD_ALL SPO_UNI_AUD_ALL	681,060 460,210		13 167	83.33% $83.06%$	\$15,700.06 Included in Programmatic

Undergraduate Degree

Program: Traditional Undergraduate Degree

Audience: HS Students

Medium: DISP

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19	ER_2019	RR_2019
DBM_UG_DISP_HS	~	1,023,021	~	0.08%	~	2,084	~	54.51%	\$0	\$16,504.09	~	~
FB_UG_DISP_HS	2,062,767	1,232,460	0.4%	0.43%	18,210	1,287	90.46%	92.68%	\$11,046.25	\$5,290.46	~	~
IG_UG_DISP_HS	1,582,222	736,035	0.53%	0.2%	43	671	83.72%	93.95%	\$5,037.96	\$4,409.87	~	~
PIN_UG_DISP_HS	166,153	169,449	0.25%	0.28%	73	236	93.15%	96.05%	\$669.4	\$736.01	~	~
TW_UG_DISP_HS	~	23,031	~	~	~	47	~	88.57%	\$0	\$912.05	0.13%	1.13%

- There has been a shift in how USM uses DoubleClick Bid Manager (DBM). Rinck has been able to do more targeted advertising in FY20. The audience, which has previously been broad, has now been segmented into different age groups, allowing USM to promote specific programs. The shift is showing positive results. Click-thru-rates are higher across all DBM's display campaigns and we see more web traffic and lower bounce rates(BR).
- Facebook (FB) click-through-rate (CTR) is up from 2018, however, because the budget is much lower for FB display, the web traffic has followed suit.
- Instagram (IG) CTR is down from 2018, however, it is still above the national benchmark.

Medium: VID

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19	VR_2018	VR_2019	VTR_2018	VTR_2019
FB_UG_VID_HS	123,124	281,134	0.57%	0.56%	173	356	70.81%	94.51%	\$655.94	\$1,546.89	68.85%	69.6%	5.02	1.64
IG_UG_VID_HS	229,572	651,001	0.22%	0.17%	85	328	80.77%	97.85%	\$1,253.63	\$3,309.31	77.08%	84.83%	0.44	0.85
$SC_UG_VID_HS$	139,941	501,719	0.91%	0.85%	283	1,595	96.75%	95.96%	\$226.65	\$1,259.88	2.1%	4.95%	0.27	0.88
$YT_UG_VID_HS$	~	$119,\!304$	~	0.15%	~	85	~	86.75%	\$0	\$2,032.63	~	87.86%	NaN	0.2

• YouTube(YT), Snapchat(SC), and IG are performing steadily from 2019. We see a dramatic drop in FB View Rates (VR) and View-through-Rates (VTR). I addressed with the agency and inquired about the benchmarks. The suspicion is that they won't be publishing benchmarks.

Medium: CAR

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19
FB_UG_CAR_HS IG_UG_CAR_HS	~ ~	156,196 204,990	~ ~	0.48% 0.19%	~ ~	237 167	~ ~	92.38% $93.92%$	\$0 \$0	\$685.44 \$1,004.71

- Carousel ads were introduced in 2019.
- Rinck does not have benchmarks for carousel ads.

Audience: Influencers

Medium: DISP

Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19
134,921	463,595	0.3%	0.43%	138	1,235	85.47%	93.07%	\$666.74	\$2,795.51

Pinterest ads continue to grow as a strong platform for reaching influencers. The increase in spending yielded exponentially traffic. The bounce rate went up from 2018 to 2019, but the average time on page remained about the same (1:11 in 2018 vs 1:05 in 2019).

Program: Undergraduate Degree for Transfer Students

Audience: Current Undergraduates

Medium: DISP

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	${\rm BR}_2018$	${\rm BR}_2019$	$Cost_18$	$Cost_19$	ER_2019	RR_2019
DBM_TR_DISP_CU	~	685,694	~	0.07%	~	1,325	~	58.38%	\$0	\$7,021.16	~	~
FB_TR_DISP_CU	1,661,126	186,451	0.35%	0.61%	6,594	256	74.44%	85.87%	\$4,155.35	\$946.02	~	~
IG_TR_DISP_CU	347,558	79,191	0.18%	0.41%	67	215	64.18%	98.6%	\$2,059.83	\$705.08	~	~
TW TR DISP CU	~	43,953	~	~	~	290	~	80.28%	\$0	\$2,185.21	0.34%	2.14%

• Comment

• Comment

Medium: VID

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19	VR_2018	VR_2019	VTR_2018	VTR_2019
FB_TR_VID_CU	160,500	99,207	0.65%	0.85%	312	370	76.24%	97.27%	\$966.3	\$756.26	55.92%	61.73%	9.07	2.54
IG_TR_VID_CU	214,684	36,358	0.35%	0.32%	146	40	91.49%	97.37%	\$1,783.86	\$320.81	78.95%	90.03%	0.33	1.1
$SC_TR_VID_CU$	311,642	111,859	1.22%	0.91%	~	948	~	92.3%	\$916.18	\$500	3.62%	4.07%	0.48	0.64
$YT_TR_VID_CU$	~	39,738	~	0.06%	~	15	~	80%	\$0	\$688.94	~	88.66%	NaN	0.2

• You Tube is new for program camp. Doing well. FB and IG went up well.

• Transfer has been split run times.

Program: Undegraduate Degree Completion

Audience: Working Adults

Medium: DISP

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	${\rm Imp}_19$	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	${\rm BR}_2018$	BR_2019	Cost_18	$Cost_19$	ER_2019	RR_2019
DBM_DC_DISP_WA	~	762,072	~	0.07%	~	1,495	~	62.26%	\$0	\$10,190.52	~	~
FB_DC_DISP_WA	1,031,134	1,234,508	0.33%	0.34%	6,825	2,088	92.86%	91.07%	\$2,999.49	\$3,135.16	0.29%	~
IG_DC_DISP_WA	1,105,568	67,489	0.59%	0.63%	28	212	85.71%	97.07%	\$2,606.87	\$584.93	0.59%	~
PIN_DC_DISP_WA	$180,\!438$	384,913	0.36%	0.31%	260	544	92.44%	94.11%	\$947.89	\$1,844.32	5.45%	~

• There has been a shift in how USM uses DoubleClick Bid Manager (DBM). Rinck has been able to do more targeted advertising in FY20. The audience, which has previously been broad, has now been segmented into High School students, current Undergraduates, and working adults, allowing USM to promote specific programs. The shift is showing positive results. Click-thru-rates are higher across all DBM's display campaigns and we see more web traffic and lower bounce rates(BR).

Medium: VID

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19	VR_2018	VR_2019	VTR_2018	VTR_2019
FB_DC_VID_WA	61,511	273,919	1.35%	1.17%	526	683	87.81%	90.72%	\$559.89	\$2,186.44	66.26%	74.17%	27.06	23.25
$IG_DC_VID_WA$	48,981	45,313	0.73%	0.95%	67	120	90.32%	96.67%	\$655.16	\$558.75	75.47%	79.37%	1.12	1.83

Graduate Degree

Program: Graduate Degree Programs

Audience: Current Undergraduates

Medium: Display

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19
FB_GR_DISP_WA IG_GR_DISP_WA	873,993 1,328,146	$444,025 \\ 109,196$	0.38% $0.38%$	$0.6\% \\ 0.52\%$	2,190 18	1,616 249	87.82% $81.25%$	89.64% $97.13%$	\$2,042.55 \$2,714.32	. ,

Medium: Text

Ven_Med_Prod Imp_18 Imp_19 CTR_2018 CTR_2019 UPV_18 UPV_19 BR_2018 BR_2019 Cost_18 Cost_19 OR_2018 OR_2019

Audience: Working Adults

Medium: Display

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	Cost_19
FB_GR_DISP_CU IG GR DISP CU	395,166 40.242	886,545 151,913	0.22% $0.22%$	0.12% $0.22%$	10,637 27	450 50	93.17% 73.91%	95.81% 100%	\$732.53 \$377.06	\$1,437.58 \$1.180.4

Medium: TEXT

Ven_Med_Prod	Imp_18	Imp_19	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	$Cost_18$	$Cost_19$	OR_{2018}	OR_{2019}
LI_GR_TEXT_ALL	~	5,408	~	52.24%	~	64	~	80.95%	\$0	\$811.2	~	52.24%

Medium: VID

Ven_Med_Prod	${\rm Imp_18}$	${\rm Imp}_19$	CTR_2018	CTR_2019	UPV_18	UPV_19	BR_2018	BR_2019	Cost_18	$Cost_19$	VR_2018	VR_2019	$\rm VTR_2018$	$\rm VTR_2019$
FB_GR_VID_CU	49,224	262,305	0.48%	0.37%	78	217	98.72%	93.52%	\$267.47	\$1,312.38	49.98%	81.53%	1.13	NA
$IG_GR_VID_CU$	$33,\!517$	72,000	0.26%	0.22%	15	31	85.71%	92.86%	\$372.94	\$569.6	70.78%	84.42%	0.32	0.96

${\bf Benchmarks}$

Platform	Objective	Metric	KPI	USM_18	USM_19	$Benchmark_Source$
FB	Awareness & Engagement	Impressions & CTR	0.73% Nat'l, 0.45% USM	0.42%	0.35%	Nat'l Higher Ed
GM	Engagement	CTR & Open Rate	6% OR	10.35%	15.92%	National
IG	Awareness	CTR	0.16%	0.22%	0.32%	National
LI InMail	Engagement	CTR & Open Rate	1.5% CTR & 25% OR	0.83% & 49%	11% & 54%	National
LI Spon Content	Engagement	CTR	0.15%	~	~	National
PIN	Awareness & Engagement	CTR	0.2%	0.31%	0.36%	National
DBM Disp	Awareness	CTR & Impressions& Site Activities	0.53% Nat'l, 0.05% USM	0.04%	0.08%	Nat'l Higher Ed
DBM Video	Awareness	VTR	70% VR	59%	62%	National
SC	Awareness	Swipe Rate	0.83%	1.22%	0.86%	National
YT	Awareness	VTR	20% VR	25.5%	61.4%	National
TW	?	Engagement Rate & Results Rate	0.079% ER & $1.11%$ RR	~	0.05% & 1.79%	National

Conclusions

- There has been a shift in how USM uses DoubleClick Bid Manager (DBM). Rinck has been able to do more targeted advertising in FY20. The audience, which has previously been broad, has now been segmented into High School students, current Undergraduates, and working adults, allowing USM to promote specific programs. The shift is showing positive results. Click-thru-rates are higher across all DBM's display campaigns and we see more web traffic and lower bounce rates(BR).
- Facebook (FB) display ad's CTR are only slightly down from the previous calendar year across campaigns Undergraduate and Graduate campaigns, stable for Degree Completion, and up for Transfer. Individual Course and Transfer campaign ad's CTR are above the USM benchmark, but still below the national benchmark. The Facebook platform does generate a good deal of web traffic, however, now that we are able to leverage DBM for targeted campaigns, FB is not the only significant driver of traffic.
- Instagram ads perform well across most campaigns. The CTR's are all above the national benchmark for display ads.
- Other vendors, Pinterest (PIN), Snapchat (SC), LinkedIn (LI), and YouTube (YT), have continued to have CTRs above benchmark. FY19 Snapchat videos show a drop in CTR from the previous year, however the metric is still above the national benchmark.
- Gmail (GM) inbox ads did not perform as well this FY.
- In order to evaluate the effectiveness of FB, IG, and DBM video ads, I will need some additional input from Rinck on benchmarks.