1 Background

2 Methods

Need to speak about of the period of time of the gamma measurements

3 Results (result and control charts)

Table 1: Averages, standard deviation, lower control limits, upper control limits and action limits of γ 3%, 3 mm, γ 3%, 2 mm and percentage of difference of dose

Test	Area	Average (%)	Standard deviation (%)	LCL (%)	UCL (%)	AL (%)
3% 3 mm Local	Abdominal	96.99	0.07	89.07	_	87.85
	Breast	85.53	0.47	64.36	_	51.98
	Head & Neck	95.69	0.07	88.82	_	84.84
	Prostate	96.78	0.08	90.20	_	87.16
3% 2 mm Global	Abdominal	98.95	0.04	94.98	_	93.17
	Breast	96.02	0.22	82.66	_	81.65
	Head & Neck	99.64	0.04×10^{-3}	97.82	_	97.73
	Prostate	97.58	0.07	90.17	_	89.17
Dose	Abdominal	-0.15	0.01	-1.79	1.48	± 2.12
difference	Breast	0.29	0.06	-4.96	5.54	± 7.47
test	Head & Neck	-0.08	0.02	-4.01	3.85	± 4.19
	Prostate	0.02	0.01	-3.41	3.45	± 3.61

4 Comparison

4.1 Gamma (table comparison with the literature)

Table 2: Criteria γ 3% 3mm Local normalization compare to literature result (10% threshold dose)

Anatomical	Author - year	Reported γ passing	Local γ passing
sites		$\mathbf{rates}~(\%)$	$\mathbf{rate}~(\%)$
Abdominal	Rajasekaran(41), 2014	85.33 ± 3.26	87.85 ± 0.07
Breast + SVC			51.98 ± 0.47
Head & Neck	Song $JH(35)$, 2015	98.65 ± 0.43	84.84 ± 0.07
Prostate	Song JH(35), 2015	97.70 ± 1.21	87.16 ± 0.08

Table 3: Criteria γ 3% 2mm Global normalization compare to literature result (10% threshold dose)

Anatomical	Author - year	Reported γ passing	Local γ passing
sites		$\mathbf{rates}~(\%)$	$\mathbf{rate}~(\%)$
Abdominal			93.17 ± 0.04
Breast + SVC	Kaneko (44), 2019	85.90 ± 2.30	81.65 ± 0.22
Head & Neck			$97.73 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-3}$
Prostate	Tomori (45), 2018	94.15 ± 1.28	89.17 ± 0.07

5 Stability of the test verifications in the time

- results of the comparison in the time of the process and graph of that results (box plots)
- Differences doses

Table 4: Comparison table

		Period of time		
Test	Area	First Evaluation	Second Second	
		(%)	(%)	
	Abdominal	88.43 ± 0.06	87.85 ± 0.07	
3% 3 mm Local	Breast+SVC	77.38 ± 0.13	51.98 ± 0.47	
370 3 IIIII Locai	Head & Neck	90.29 ± 0.03	84.84 ± 0.07	
	Prostate	89.10 ± 0.06	87.16 ± 0.08	
	Abdominal	94.86 ± 0.02	93.17 ± 0.04	
3% 2 mm Global	Breast+SVC	88.30 ± 0.10	81.65 ± 0.22	
370 2 IIIII Global	Head & Neck	81.09 ± 0.30	97.73 ± 0.04	
	Prostate	91.86 ± 0.04	89.17 ± 0.07	
	Abdominal	4.27 ± 0.02	2.12 ± 0.01	
Difference of dose	Breast+SVC	6.34 ± 0.04	7.47 ± 0.06	
Difference of dose	Head & Neck	3.08 ± 0.01	4.19 ± 0.02	
	Prostate	5.57 ± 0.03	3.61 ± 0.01	

References

- [1] Mai, Y., Kong, F., Yang, Y. et al. Voxel-based automatic multi-criteria optimization for intensity modulated radiation therapy. Radiat Oncol 13, 241 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-018-1179-7
- [2] Vieillevigne, Laure; Molinier, Jeremy; Brun, Thomas; Ferrand, Regis. Gamma index comparison of three VMAT QA systems and evalua-

- tion of their sensitivity to delivery errors. Physica Medica, (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2015.05.016
- [3] Mohammad Hussein, Pejman Rowshanfarzad, Martin A.Ebert, Andrew Nisbet, Catharine H.Clark. A comparison of the gamma index analysis in various commercial IMRT/VMAT QA systems Radiotherapy and Oncology, Volume 109, Issue 3, December 2013, Pages 370-376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2013.08.048
- [4] Moyed Miften, Arthur Olch, Dimitris Mihailidis, Jean Moran, Todd Pawlicki, Andrea Molineu, Harold Li, Krishni Wijesooriya, Jie Shi, Ping Xia, Nikos Papanikolaou, Daniel A. Low Report No. 218 - Tolerance Limits and Methodologies for IMRT Measurement-Based Verification QA: Recommendations of AAPM Task Group No. 218 Medical Physics, (2018),https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mp.12810
- [5] Low DA, Harms WB, Mutic S, Purdy JA. A technique for the quantitative evaluation of dose distributions. Med Phys. 1998 May;25(5):656-61. doi: 10.1118/1.598248. PMID: 9608475.
- [6] Mackie TR. History of Tomotherapy. Phys Med Biol. 2006 Jul 7;51(13):R427-53. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/51/13/R24. Epub 2006 Jun 20. PMID: 16790916.
- [7] J.F.MacGregorT.Kourti Statistical process control of multivariate processes
 Control Engineering Practice, Volume 3, Issue 3, March 1995, Pages 403-414
- [8] Palta JR, Kim S, Li J, Liu C. Tolerance limits and action levels for planning and delivery of IMRT Intensity- Modulated Radiation Therapy: The State of Art. Madison: Medical Physics Publishing; 2003:593–612.
- [9] Hawbir Omer Ghafour, Serenella Russo, Statistical process control analysis for patient-specific pre-treatment VMAT QA with PTW Octavius 4D system: setting tolerance limit and action threshold for different anatomical sites MEDICAL PHYSICS INTERNATIONAL Journal, vol.7, 2019, 11.
- [10] Fenoglietto P, Lalibert B, Aill eres N, Riou O, Dubois JB, Azria D. Eight Years of IMRT quality assurance with ionization chambers and film dosimetry: experience of the montpellier comprehensive cancer center. Radiat Oncol. 2011;6:85.
- [11] Tom Depuydt, Ann Van Esch, dominique Pierre Huyskens A quantitative evaluation of IMRT dose distribution: refinement and clinical assessment of the gamma evaluation. Elsevier, radiotherapy and oncology 62 (2002) 309-319.
- [12] Van Dyk J, Barnett RB, Cygler JE, Shragge PC. Commissioning and quality assurance of treatment planning computers Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1993;26:261–273

- [13] Riley, C., Yang, Y., Li, T., Zhang, Y., Heron, D.E. and Huq, M.S. (2014), Dosimetric evaluation of the interplay effect in respiratory-gated RapidArc radiation therapy. Med. Phys., 41: 011715. doi:10.1118/1.4855956
- [14] Duan J, Shen S, Fiveash JB, Brezovich IA, Popple RA, Pareek PN. Dosimetric effect of respiration-gated beam on IMRT delivery. Med Phys. 2003;30:2241–2252.
- [15] Song, Ji-Hye et al. Gamma analysis dependence on specified low-dose thresholds for VMAT QA. Journal of applied clinical medical physics vol. 16,6 263-272. 8 Nov. 2015, doi:10.1120/jacmp.v16i6.5696
- [16] M. Hussein, C.H. Clark, A. Nisbet Challenges in calculation of the gamma index in radiotherapy Towards good practice, Volume 36, P1-11, April 01, 2017, DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2017.03.001
- [17] S. Bresciani, A. Di Dia, A. Maggio, C. Cutaia, A. Miranti, E. Infusino, M. Stasi Tomotherapy treatment plan quality assurance: The impact of applied criteria on passing rate in gamma index method, 12 November 2013 Medical physics. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4829515
- [18] Tomotherapy Accuray customer documentation ETT.700500.A $VoLO^{TM}$ Technology and Planning, n.d.
- [19] Breen SL, Moseley DJ, Zhang B, Sharpe MB. Statistical process control for IMRT dosimetric verification. Med Phys. 2008 Oct;35(10):4417-25. doi: 10.1118/1.2975144. PMID: 18975688.
- [20] Gérard K, Grandhaye JP, Marchesi V, Kafrouni H, Husson F, Aletti P. A comprehensive analysis of the IMRT dose delivery process using statistical process control (SPC). Med Phys. 2009 Apr;36(4):1275-85. doi: 10.1118/1.3089793. PMID: 19472636.
- [21] Pawlicki T, Whitaker M, Boyer AL. Statistical process control for radiotherapy quality assurance. Med Phys. 2005 Sep;32(9):2777-86. doi: 10.1118/1.2001209. PMID: 16266091.
- [22] Blau, N.; Hoffmann, G.F.; Leonard, J.; Clarke, J.T.R. (Eds.), Physician's Guide to the Treatment and Follow-Up of Metabolic Diseases 2006, XVIII, 415 p. 12 illus. With CD-ROM., Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-540-22954-4
- [23] Mackie, T.A Bielajew, et al. Generation of phton energy deposition kernel using the EGS Monte Carlo code. Phys.Med.Bio 33(1):1-20 (1988)
- [24] Mohan, T. R., Scrimger, et al A convolution method of calculatin g dose fron 15 MeV x-ray Med. Phy 12:188-196 (1985)
- [25] Papanikolaou, N., T. R. Mackie, et al Investigation of the convolution method for polyenergetic spectra Medical Physics 20 (5): 1327 36 (1993).

- [26] Nearlandse Commissie Voor Stralingsdosimetrie Quality assurance for Tomotherapy systems, Report 27 Netherlands Comission on Radiation Dosymetry, March 2017
- [27] Tomotherapy Accuray customer documentation 1049311-ITA A QA dell' emissione radiante, n.d.(2015)
- [28] Sun nuclear corporation *Characteristics of Arccheck device* http://www.scienty-med.com/sunnuclear/arccheck-english.pdf
- [29] Montgomery DC. *Introduction to Statistical Process Control*, 6th edn. Hoboken: Wiley, 2009.
- [30] A. Nisbeta, I. Beangea, H. Vollmara, C. Irvineb, A. Morganb, I. Thwaitesc, Dosimetric verification of a commercial collapsed cone algorithm in simulated clinical situations, Radiotherapy and Oncology 73 (2004) 79–88
- [31] Daniel A. Lowa, Jean M. Moran, James F. Dempsey, Lei Dong, Mark Oldham, Dosimetry tools and techniques for IMRT Medical Physics 38, 1313 (2011); doi: 10.1118/1.3514120.
- [32] Tamer Dawod, Evaluation of collapsed cone convolution superposition (CCCS) algorithms in prowess treatment planning system for calculating symmetric and asymmetric field size, International Journal of Cancer Therapy and Oncology (2015).
- [33] Emiliano Spezi, D. Geraint Lewis, Gamma histograms for radiotherapy plan evaluation, Radiotherapy and Oncology 79 (2006) 224–230.
- [34] Heilemann G, Poppe B, Laub W. On the sensitivity of common gamma-index evaluation methods to MLC misalignments in Rapidarc quality assurance. Med Phys. 2013 Mar;40(3):031702. doi: 10.1118/1.4789580. PMID: 23464297.
- [35] Song JH, Kim MJ, Park SH, Lee SR, Lee MY, Lee DS, Suh TS. Gamma analysis dependence on specified low-dose thresholds for VMAT QA. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2015 Nov 8;16(6):263-272. doi: 10.1120/jacmp.v16i6.5696. PMID: 26699582; PMCID: PMC5691030.
- [36] Aristophanous M, Suh Y, Chi PC, Whittlesey LJ, LaNeave S, Martel MK. Initial clinical experience with ArcCHECK for IMRT/VMAT QA. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2016 Sep 8;17(5):20-33. doi: 10.1120/jacmp.v17i5.6118. PMID: 27685107; PMCID: PMC5874100.
- [37] Xu S, Xie C, Ju Z, Dai X, Gong H, Wang L, Yang J. Dose verification of helical Tomotherapy intensity modulated radiation therapy planning using 2D-array ion chambers. Biomed Imaging Interv J. 2010 Apr-Jun;6(2):e24. doi: 10.2349/biij.6.2.e24. Epub 2010 Apr 1. PMID: 21611040; PMCID: PMC3097769.

- [38] Sanghangthum T, Suriyapee S, Kim GY, Pawlicki T. *A method of setting limits for the purpose of quality assurance*. Phys Med Biol. 2013 Oct 7;58(19):7025-37. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/58/19/7025. Epub 2013 Sep 17. PMID: 24043363.
- [39] Bresciani S, Di Dia A, Maggio A, Cutaia C, Miranti A, Infusino E, Stasi M. Tomotherapy treatment plan quality assurance: the impact of applied criteria on passing rate in gamma index method. Med Phys. 2013 Dec;40(12):121711. doi: 10.1118/1.4829515. PMID: 24320497.
- [40] Sanghangthum T, Suriyapee S, Srisatit S, Pawlicki T. Statistical process control analysis for patient-specific IMRT and VMAT QA. J Radiat Res. 2013 May;54(3):546-52. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrs112. Epub 2012 Dec 7. PMID: 23220776; PMCID: PMC3650738.
- [41] Rajasekaran D, Jeevanandam P, Sukumar P, Ranganathan A, Johnjothi S, Nagarajan V. A study on the correlation between plan complexity and gamma index analysis in patient specific quality assurance of volumetric modulated arc therapy. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother. 2014 Sep 6;20(1):57-65. doi: 10.1016/j.rpor.2014.08.006. PMID: 25535586; PMCID: PMC4268596.
- [42] Stasi M, Bresciani S, Miranti A, Maggio A, Sapino V, Gabriele P. Pretreatment patient-specific IMRT quality assurance: a correlation study between gamma index and patient clinical dose volume histogram. Med Phys. 2012 Dec;39(12):7626-34. doi: 10.1118/1.4767763. PMID: 23231310.
- [43] Zhang D, Wang B, Zhang G, Ma C, Deng X. Comparison of 3D and 2D gamma passing rate criteria for detection sensitivity to IMRT delivery errors. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2018 Jul;19(4):230-238. doi: 10.1002/acm2.12389. Epub 2018 Jun 15. PMID: 29905004; PMCID: PMC6036388.
- [44] Kaneko, Akari Sumida, Iori Mizuno, Hirokazu Isohashi, Fumiaki Suzuki, Osamu Seo, Yuji Otani, Keisuke Tamari, Keisuke Ogawa, Kazuhiko. (2019). it Comparison of gamma index based on dosimetric error and clinically relevant dose—volume index based on three-dimensional dose prediction in breast intensity-modulated radiation therapy. Radiation Oncology. 14. 10.1186/s13014-019-1233-0.
- [45] Tomori, S., Kadoya, N., Takayama, Y., Kajikawa, T., Shima, K., Narazaki, K. and Jingu, K. (2018), A deep learning-based prediction model for gamma evaluation in patient-specific quality assurance. Med. Phys., 45: 4055-4065. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.13112
- [46] Langen KM, Papanikolaou N, Balog J, Crilly R, Followill D, Goddu SM, Grant W 3rd, Olivera G, Ramsey CR, Shi C; AAPM Task Group 148. QA for helical Tomotherapy: report of the AAPM Task Group 148. Med Phys. 2010 Sep;37(9):4817-53. doi: 10.1118/1.3462971. PMID: 20964201.

[47] Chan, Lai K., Smiley W. Cheng, and Frederick A. Spiring. A new measure of process capability: Cpm. Journal of Quality Technology 20.3 (1988): 162-175