

2.4.1 First-Order Logic.

Prove the statements:

- $\forall x p(x) \wedge \forall x q(x) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \wedge q(x))$
- $\forall x (p(x) \rightarrow q(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x p(x) \rightarrow \forall x q(x))$ is a valid formula (but its converse $(\forall x p(x) \rightarrow \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$ is not).

2.4.2 First-Order Logic.

Prove that the formula $(\forall x p(x) \rightarrow \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$ is not valid by constructing a semantic tableau for its negation.

2.4.3 First-Order Logic.

Prove that the following formulas are valid

- $\exists x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$
- $(\exists x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$
- $\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x))$
- $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$