More and more of our lives are mechanized, and at some point, we have to start wondering, what's the limit of that mechanization? Many factory workers in the 19th century thought their jobs <u>1</u> were safe but we know now that they were wrong. Many people <u>2</u> in today's world believe there jobs are safe, but how safe are those jobs really?

Studies abound that ask whether man or machine is better at particular tasks, and the results are not always so obvious. Sure, a machine is obviously better at say, welding huge pieces of steel together, but what would you say if someone told you people are more likely to open up to a machine than to a psychologist? Or that a machine could write a quicker, more efficient news story than an experienced reporter could?

A. NO CHANGE
B. were safe, but we know
C. were safe; but we know
D. were safe. But we know

A. NO CHANGE
B. in todays world believe their jobs
C. in todays world believe they're jobs
D. in today's world believe their jobs

A. NO CHANGE
B. better at, say welding

C. better at, say, welding

• D. better at say welding
These questions may seem overly pessimistic (or overly optimistic depending on 4 your point of view);
however, some recent studies have been truly remarkable. Take Ellie, a computer program used
primarily to diagnose patients with 5 depression, PTSD and other mood disorders. Many patients
found it easier to talk to "Ellie" than 6 to a real person: she didn't react in some of those seemingly
judgmental ways that a person would, and her voice 7 never broke on top of that she could help
psychologists to diagnose mental illnesses better than human observation could. She could detect
facial movements or voice tones that a person might have not heard or ignored.

4.

- A. NO CHANGE
- B. your point of view), however,
- C. you're point of view), however,
- D. you're point of view); however,

5.

- A. NO CHANGE
- B. depression, PTSD, and other
- C. depression, PTSD, and, other
- D. depression, PTSD, and other,

6.

	. 0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. to a real person, she
	.0	C. to a real person; but she
7.	. 0	D. to a real person she
	.0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. never broke, on top of that,
	. 0	C. never broke. On top of that,
ques also On the uppe hum they drye the f	ation that about a he other or hand ans hat have a r's wha astest	D. never broke; on top, of that, lie is the way of the future is yet to be determined. We can't know right now, but there is no at she raises some interesting questions, not only about the work of psychologists, but all of what we think are definitively human activities. In some of the discussion, however, there's some evidence that humans may have the lie in some of the more basic tasks those learned before the age of about 10 we a huge upper hand. Computers can do the complex thinking, but one thing with which a lot of trouble is, paradoxically, simplicity. Sure, a computer can tell your washer's and a perfect washing and drying cycle is, but can it fold your laundry? Your GPS can tell your route to the next state, but can it tell you the prettiest way to go or the best restaurants ray? Not without humans!
0.	. 0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. psychologists work
	.0	C. the work of psychologists
9.	.0	D. the work of psychologist's
•	. 0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. tasks those learned before the age of about 10, humans
	.0	C. tasks, those learned before the age of about 10 humans
10.	. 0	D. tasks, those learned before the age of about 10, humans
	.0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. can tell your washer and dryer what
	.0	C. can tell you're washers and dryers
thou	gh, hur	D. can tell you're washer and dryer attle of man against machine rages 11 on. The questions will persist. No matter who wins, mans will almost assuredly find ways to adapt: that's something we've been doing for of years, which is something that no computer can say.
	.0	A. NO CHANGE
	.0	B. on; the

- C. on—the
- D. on, the