Probability theory is essential to forecasting because we need a confidence bound

Given CDF, to get inverse CDF (quantile function), make x the subject

$$F(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{100}$$

$$F^{-1}(p) = \sqrt{100p}$$

Joint probability only tells association not causality

Bayesian analysis

0 Conduct forecasting in low data situation

Use Bayesian prior to compensate

Results in a posterior probability distribution (can see the distribution if we have infinite number of scenarios)

Highest posterior density interval is the shortest interval containing a given portion of probability density

As sample size increase Bayesian tends to frequentist

Hypothesis testing

Simple returns are log normally distributed $((r-u)^2) = E[r^2 + u^2 - 2ru] = E[r^2] + E[u^2] - 2E[ru]$

MEMORI7F

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)}$$

$$E[r] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rf(r)dr$$

$$V[r] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (r-\mu)^2 f(r)dr$$

Independence is a subset of covariance, if independent covariance = 0

Correlation is necessary for causation but not sufficient

 $Cov[x, y] = E[(X - \mu_x)(Y - \mu_y)]$

Estimator

Bias - difference between expected value and true parameter, 0 bias is unbiased

Consistency - as N increase, expected value becomes closer to true parameter

Statistical Distribution

Not everything follows normal distribution

It should have a pdf or pmf whos equation is known

Valid PDF is when integrating f(x) across region = 1

Bernoulli (single coin flip)

0 discrete

 $0 \qquad \mu = p, \sigma^2 = p(1-p)$

Binomial distribution

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\circ & pdf = \binom{N}{n} p^n (1-p)^{N-n} \\
\circ & \mu = np, \sigma^2 = np(1-p)
\end{array}$$

If p is unknown, can use $\frac{n}{n}$

To show p is unbiased, prove that $E\left[\frac{n}{N}\right] = p$

$$\int_{n=0}^{N} \int_{n}^{n} f(x_{i} = n) dx = \sum_{n}^{N} \binom{N}{n} p^{n} (1-p)^{N-n}$$

Poisson distribution

0

 $pdf = \frac{\lambda^n}{2}e^{-\lambda}$

 $\mu = \lambda, \sigma^2 = \lambda$

Relation to binomial, replace p with $\frac{\lambda}{v}$, as sample size increases, binomial tends to poisson

Scale poisson with time λt

0 Uniform distribution

Continuous 0

 $\mu = \frac{a+b}{a}, \sigma^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{a}$ 0

Normal distribution

0 Continuous

Linear combination of independent normal random variable is also normal

Chi-squared distribution

With k independent standard normal variables, sum of their squares is a chi square

As k tends to infinity, chi-squared tends to normal

Variance is chi-squared distributed

0

T distribution

As k (degree of freedom) approaches infinity, t distribution tends to normal, excess kurtosis decreases

F distribution

Ratio of chi squared distributed variables

Order of the 2 degrees of freedom matters

As both variables increase to infinity, mean and mode converge to 1

Square of a t variable is an F distribution

Test if model 2 is better than model 1
 Cross Sectional Estimation Frameworks

Goodness of fit test (compare sum of squared errors with chi squared distribution)

Always include constant, if not will bias slope coefficient increase t stat and larger slope coefficient

OLS assumptions

Mean of error is 0 => unbiasedness

If != 0 can shift the line parallelly to force the mean error to be 0

Variance of error is constant σ_e^2 (homoscedastic)

Assign equal importance to all data points

Weighted least squares, weight is inversely proportional to variance so underweight outliers

Tests

Goldfeld-Ouandt

Split sample into 2, run regression and compare variances, the null is both variance is equal (F distribution with larger variance as numerator) Issue: how to split

White test

Predict variance of error using independent variable, variance of independent variable and pairs of independent variables

If all coefficients are 0, means variance cannot be predicted, good

Null is error is homoscedastic $N obs * R^2 \sim \chi^2 (n regressor)$

Assumption: Positive covariance, estimated standard error < True standard error => absolute value of estimated t-stat > True t-stat => probability of rejecting null > true correct probability of rejecting null => more likely to conclude coefficient is significant when it is noise

Mitigation

Use Whites heteroscedasticity consistent standard error estimates

Dummy variables

Error term follows normal with mean 0 and variance σ_e^2

No autocorrelation, covariance between error term is 0

Error term for one does not help in predicting error term of another

Information either in model or error, if error can predict, just increase all values by error term, put the information of the error in the model

Positive autocorrelation – cyclical residual plot over time

Negative autocorrelation - residuals cross x axis more frequently

TEST

Durbin-Watson test statistic for the residual of lag 1 (F distribution)

If DW ~ 2, no autocorrelation

 Close to 0 = positive autocorrelation

Close to 4 = negative autocorrelation

Breusch-Godfrey test for r order autocorrelation

Null is all orders are 0, if any one is not • 0, test will fail

Estimate linear regression, take the residuals and regress on X plus lag residuals, take the R-squared (~\gamma^2) and check p-value

Implication

Coefficient estimates still unbiased

Standard error inappropriate => wrong inferences

R-squared inflated

If autocorrelation, use ARIMAX

Gauss Markov Theorem states that among all linear and unbiased estimators, OLS has minimum variance and are efficient

 $X'v = X'X\beta + X'e, X'e = 0$

 $(X'X)^{-1}X'y = (X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta$, making β the subject, $\beta = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$

 $VAR(\beta) = \sigma_e^2 (X'X)^{-1}$, distribution of variance depends on σ_e^2 aka χ^2 with df N-1K independent variables, incl. constant

Compare 2 valid OLS

2 models, M1 has all parameters of M2 + additional parameters

Check if r-squared between both models is statistically different

Use F-test to test all coefficients of a model Restricted vs unrestricted

Restricted SSR > unrestricted, harder to explain due to

E distribution with df (number of restrictions in observation – total parameters estimated)

CML (x-axis = sigma), SML(x-axis = beta)

OLS against market + other variables, other variables would be statistically insignificant

Interaction variables

The unconditional slope coefficient is that of the control variable

Slope coefficient – incremental difference between the variable and the control

Jarque-Bera stat

Null: regression residuals are normally distributed

Consider outliers impact

Handling outliers

Apply logarithmic to both independent and dependent variables

Intra cluster correlation (eg. Observations within same industry, violates iid assumption)

Overstates t-stat

Doesn't affect R-squared or coefficient or prediction of the model

Only affects statistical inference of the model and confidence of prediction

Adjust by clustering

Non-intuitive estimates of coefficients

High R-squared but high standard errors

Regression becomes sensitive to small changes

Confidence intervals wide

Drop 1 of the collinear variable

Transform the highly correlated variables into ratio

Lasso (can set x to 0) or ridge

Output of logit model

 $Autocorrelation = \frac{\frac{COV(C_{t}, C_{t-k})}{\sqrt{VAR(Y_{t})}\sqrt{VAR(Y_{t-k})}}$

Acf can see seasonality, autocorrelations will be larger for seasonal lags

Slow decay in ACF means not covariance stationary

time series whose moments do not depend on time of observation

parameters of models remain constant

stationarity is a subset of covariance stationarity 0

0

unit root test (KPSS)

null: stationary, small p-val => differencing required

Non-stationary

shocks do not die out

confidence intervals not valid

spurious regression

induce stationarity

Removing deterministic effects before modelling

calendar adjustments

population adjustment

Log and sort transformation reduces variation (box cox transformation)

Time series decomposition

split time series into individual components, model each before merging back

additive decomposition - variation in data doesn't change across time

moving average to detect trend, remove trend component, estimate seasonal component (average for each season), adjust to ensure sum to 0, replicate sequence across entire data and compute remainder

If CAPM is correct

OLS of excess return against excess market returns would have constant term of

Fixed effect

Dummy variable for each sector

y intercept is the average value of dependent variable

y-intercept for sector $\hat{1} = \alpha + \beta_1$ v-intercept for sector $2 = \alpha + \beta$

Skew and kurtosis

Winsorize

Multicollinearity

Higher, less invertible of the data matrix, caused by high collinearity

Solutions

Collect more data

Estimated coefficients are odds-ratio (p(1-p)), p is probability of 1

Acf trend, autocorrelation for small lags tend to be large and positive, slowly decrease as lags

Stationarity

bias AR coefficients

differencing

inflation adjustment

- Benchmark models
 - naïve model last observed value as the forecasted value
 - seasonal naïve model use last observed value of previous season
 - drift method last value + average drift
 - average method average of historical returns
- In sample performance evaluation
 - check if residual still contain time series information (Ljung-Box test, null: no time series information in residuals, ~\gamma^2)
 - if have, there will be correlation and non zero mean
- choice of out of sample period
- classical decomposition
 - suffers from end effects
 - trend estimates oversmooths rapid rise and falls
 - assumes seasonal patterns are constant overtime
 - not robust to outliers
 - alternative STL & X11
 - uses rolling window
- STL
- Handle seasonal period
- Control rate of change of seasonal component and smoothness 0
- Can use on additive only, if data is multiplicative need to log or box cox

ARIMA

- AR regression of variable against lag values
 - $y_t = c + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$
 - AR(1) Expectation = $\frac{c}{1-\phi}$, $|\phi| < 1$ else no finite unconditional mean
 - AR(1) Variance = $\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}$, $|\phi| < 1$ else no finite unconditional variance
 - Autocorrelation function correlation decays as lag grows
 - $Corr(Y_t, Y_{t+k}) = \lambda^{|k|}$
 - Hard to distinguish between AR(1) and AR(2) using ACF if coefficients are positive Can use partial autocorrelation - correlation between y and lag after
 - controlling for all intermediate lags
 - ACF exponentially decay, PACF of AR(p) = 0 after lag p
- I if raw is not stationary, need first differences before AR MA
- MA moving average
 - $y_t = c + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$
 - Capture short horizon correlation between observations
 - Error term can be correlated
 - Expectation = c
 - Variance = $\sigma^2(1 + \theta_1^2)$
 - Autocovariance = $\theta_1 \sigma^2$ between lag 1, else 0
 - Autocovariance $\theta_1 \theta_1 = \frac{\theta_1 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 (1+\theta_1^2)} = \frac{\theta_1}{(1+\theta_1^2)}$ between lag 1, else 0
 - ACF drops to 0, indicates MA(p), PACF decays exponentially
- ARMA
 - Stationarity depends solely on AR coefficients 0
 - ACF and PACF decay exponentially
 - Slowly decaying ACF need differencing data not stationary 0
- White noise
 - Expected value = 0, error cannot be predicted by past lags 0
 - Variance = σ^2
 - Cov = 0, behaviour fully describe by y and its lag 0
- Estimation
 - Information criteria, minimize AIC
- Constant terms meaning
 - C=0, d=0 => long term forecast 0
 - C=0, d=1 => long term forecast non-zero constant
 - C=0, d=2 => long term forecast straight line
 - C!=0, d=0 => long term forecast mean
 - C!=0, d=1 => long term forecast straightline 0
 - C!=0, d=2 => long term forecast quadratic

ARMIA-X

- All inputs should be stationary
 - Remove spurious regression
 - Else biasness in estimation of coefficients
 - If inputs are stationary then estimate a ARMA model not ARIMA
- 1st stage errors don't have to be stationary, 2nd stage errors must pass Ljung Box test
- (p, d, q) SEASONAL(P, D, Q)
 - Imagine seasonal lags without bars in between for PDQ
 - Look at each year by itself for pdg

Vector Autoregression and Vector error correction models

- Model selection
 - For K variables and p lags, number of coefficients = $K + pK^2$ 0
 - Use BIC for evaluation

- AIC tends to pick models with larger number of lags due to lower penalty factor
- Modelling seasonally adjusted components with ETS, ARIMA, VAR
- Use Ljung-Box test on residuals (remb null is no time series so need to fail to reject
- Evaluate goodness of fit using out of sample
- Spurious regression when v and t are non-stationary and have a time trend and both receive a positive shock at the same time
- Cointegration
 - 0 Non-stationary time series but move together over time
 - Linear combination of the series will be stationary
- Engle-Granger
- Check order of integration for both variables are the same using
 - If different order, cannot have cointegration
- If cointegration exist, then $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \epsilon_t$
- Residual is stationary, use ADF test, null = unit root in residuals, no cointegration
- Scenarios
 - If 2 variables stationary then use OLS if assumptions satisfied
 - If not stationary and not cointegrated => spurious regression, use first difference before modelling
 - If not stationary but cointegrated, OLS estimator is super consistent
 - Error correction model for cointegrated variables
 - Model nonstationary directly without differencing
 - $\Delta y_t = \gamma + \beta_1 \Delta X_t + \alpha (Y_{t-1} \beta_0 \beta_1 X_{t-1}) + v_t$
 - Note $(Y_{t-1} \beta_0 \beta_1 X_{t-1})$ is the error term from prior period forecast with expectation of 0 hence a large value should revert back to 0 over
- Building VECM
 - Estimate VAR(p) model for variables
 - Determine number of cointegrating vectors using maximum likelihood
 - Johansen procedure (null: there is n cointegrated variable, large t stat => no cointegration, if fail to reject null then there is cointegrations)
 - If 0 cointegrated vectors then no VECM go back to VAR
 - Make variables stationary
 - Run VARSelect for starting order of model
 - Estimate model based on VARSelect, run LjungBox test
 - If pass then finish, if fail keep increasing order of model until pass
 - If still fail consider if need to difference an additional time

- Given new dataset, try OLS, ARIMA, ARIMA-X, VAR, VECM
- From each class, choose the best representive using their own metrics (R-squared, AICC, BIC)
- Evaluate out of sample RSME, MAPE

GARCH

- EWMA
- Follows MA model with m lag
- More towards volatility clustering
- Unable to account for mean reversion
- GARCH(1,1)
 - ARMA(1.1) with constant OR ARIMAX with long run mean as constant 0
 - Unconditional stationary 0
 - Long term finite mean and variance
 - Unconditional mean is long run variance rate
 - Use Liung Box test to make sure no more time series information else need more lags

- AR(1) process unconditional mean
 - 0
- Auto correlation 0
- autoplot, monthdays, BoxCox, nsdiffs, diff (as many times as is necessary), ndiffs, diff (as many times as is necessary), tsdisplay, Arima, checkresiduals
- high kurtosis more observations in tails than normal, skew is on one side of tail, means outliers, how to control use log, hyperbolic tangent